



Planet Depos®
We Make It *Happen™*

Transcript of Nigel A. Jones

Date: September 24, 2025

Case: BMW of North America, LLC -v- Foras Technologies Ltd. (PTAB)

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY

Transcript of Nigel A. Jones
Conducted on September 24, 2025

1 (1 to 4)

1	3
1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	1 A P P E A R A N C E S
2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	2 ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
3 - - - - - x	3 XIRUI ZHANG, Ph.D., ESQUIRE
4 BMW OF NORTH : Case Nos. IPR2024-01346,	4 CORY C. BELL, ESQUIRE
5 AMERICA, LLC, : IPR2024-01347	5 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
6 Petitioner, :	6 GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
7 v. : U.S. Patent Nos.	7 Two Seaport Lane
8 FORAS TECHNOLOGIES LTD., : 7,624,302; 7,627,781	8 Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2001
9 Patent Owner. :	9 (617) 646-1626
10 - - - - - X	10
11	11 ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:
12 Deposition of NIGEL A. JONES	12 ROBERT AUCHTER, ESQUIRE
13 Conducted Virtually	13 SETH LINDNER, ESQUIRE
14 Wednesday, September 24, 2025	14 BC LAW GROUP, P.C.
15 10:01 a.m. EDT	15 200 Madison Avenue, 24th Floor
16	16 New York, New York 10016
17	17 (212) 951-0100
18	18
19	19
20 Job No.: 600699	20
21 Pages 1 - 143	21
22 Reported by: Debra A. Whitehead	22
2	4
1 Deposition of NIGEL A. JONES, conducted	1 C O N T E N T S
2 virtually.	2 EXAMINATION OF NIGEL A. JONES PAGE
3	3 By Ms. Zhang 5
4	4
5 Pursuant to notice, before Debra Ann Whitehead,	5 EXHIBITS MARKED IN TODAY'S SESSION
6 E-Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of	6 (none marked)
7 Virginia.	7 EXHIBITS MARKED IN PRIOR SESSIONS
8	8 (not attached)
9	9 FROM IPR2024-01346 PAGE
10	10 Exhibit 1001 15
11	11 Exhibit 1005 75
12	12 Exhibit 1008 98
13	13 Exhibit 1028 105
14	14 Exhibit 2004 19
15	15 FROM IPR2024-01347 PAGE
16	16 Exhibit 1001 13
17	17 Exhibit 1005 75
18	18 Exhibit 1008 98
19	19 Exhibit 1028 105
20	20 Exhibit 2004 10
21	21 Exhibit 2005 20
22	22

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

5 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 NIGEL A. JONES, 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER 5 BY MS. ZHANG: 6 Q Good morning, Mr. Jones. 7 A Good morning. 8 Q Would you please state and spell your 9 full name, for the record. 10 A Nigel Andrew Jones. N-I-G-E-L 11 A-N-D-R-E-W J-O-N-E-S. 12 Q Thank you. Have you been deposed before? 13 A Yes. 14 Q For how many times? 15 A I think this is number five zero for me. 16 Q And were any of those depositions in 17 inter partes review proceedings, or IPRs? 18 A No. 19 Q So you have never testified in an IPR 20 proceeding? 21 A If by testifying you mean a deposition, 22 that is correct.	7 1 A Yes. 2 Q And if you ever feel like you need to 3 take a break, let me know. If there's a question 4 pending, please finish answering the question 5 before taking a break. 6 Is that okay? 7 A Yes. 8 Q What did you do to prepare for today's 9 deposition? 10 A I reviewed the patents. I reviewed the 11 prior art submitted by the petitioner. I reviewed 12 my declarations. And I met with counsel for 13 Foras. 14 Q And who are the counsel for Foras you met 15 with? 16 A The two gentlemen on the deposition 17 today. So Mr. Auchter and Mr. Lindner. 18 Q And did you speak with anyone else? 19 A You mean in preparation for this 20 deposition? 21 Q Correct. 22 A I don't think so.
6 1 Q I do have some general housekeeping. 2 Since we are doing a remote deposition, 3 please bear with me. 4 Please note it is important for the court 5 reporter that we do not talk over each other at 6 the same time. So please let me finish my 7 question before you answer, and I will do my best 8 to wait until your answer is done before asking my 9 next question. 10 Is that okay? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you also need to give audible answers 13 instead of simply nodding your head, so the court 14 reporter can record your answers. 15 Is that okay? 16 A Understood. 17 Q And if at any time you do not understand 18 a question, please let me know. I will do my best 19 to rephrase or make my question clear. If you 20 answer a question, I will assume you understood 21 the question. 22 Is that okay?	8 1 Q When did you meet with Mr. Auchter and 2 Mr. Lindner? 3 A I met with them several times within the 4 last week. 5 Q By "several times," can you specify how 6 many times you met? 7 A I think it was three times with both of 8 them, and once just with Mr. Auchter. 9 Q And for how long did you meet each time? 10 A Typically between one and two hours. 11 Q Did you review any other documents 12 besides the patents, the prior art, and your 13 declarations? 14 A So to be clear, I don't agree that what 15 you characterized as the prior art is necessarily 16 prior art. So it's the prior art cited by BMW 17 that I reviewed. 18 I don't recall reviewing any other 19 documents. That's not true. 20 Oh, no. I'm sorry. Yes, that is true. 21 Q Are you an expert opining what is and 22 what is not prior art?

9 1 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, what was the 3 objection? 4 MR. AUCHTER: Form. 5 THE WITNESS: To form. Thank you. 6 A I'm just trying to make clear that when 7 you use the term "prior art," I was just 8 clarifying that you were referring to what BMW has 9 cited as prior art. I'm not necessarily agreeing 10 with that characterization. I was just clarifying 11 your question. 12 I believe at the start of the deposition 13 you asked me to do so for that. 14 Q So it's your view certain references 15 submitted with the petition is not prior art. 16 Is that correct? 17 A As I explained in my declaration -- let 18 me take you to the relevant part. So this is for 19 the '302. 20 Q Let me hand you your declaration. 21 Do you have the link for downloading the 22 exhibits?	11 1 exhibits? 2 MS. ZHANG: Yeah, I do want to confirm 3 with Mr. Jones there's some shorthand for 4 referring to those documents. 5 MR. LINDNER: Okay. 6 A Could I have the previous question, 7 please, if there's one still outstanding? 8 Q Yes. You recognize this document as your 9 declaration in the '302 IPR. Correct? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Yes. And as Mr. Lindner noted, I would 12 like to note that you understand that your 13 testimony will be part of two IPRs. Correct? 14 A My understanding is it's one IPR related 15 to '302 and the other IPR related to the '781 16 patents. 17 Q Yes. The IPR for the '302 patent is IPR 18 Case Number IPR2024-01347 involving U.S. Patent 19 Number 7,624,302. 20 And if I refer to that -- 21 A Excuse me. That's not my understanding 22 of the IPR number. Maybe I misheard you or maybe
10 1 A It was in the email. I'll have to open 2 up my email to get that. One minute, please. 3 Q I just uploaded Exhibit 2004 on the link. 4 Please let me know when you can open that 5 document. 6 (IPR2024-01347 Exhibit 2004, previously 7 marked, not attached.) 8 A Okay. I just opened the link for the 9 exhibit share, and I am seeing my '302 10 declaration. 11 Q Okay. So you recognize this document as 12 your declaration for the IPR of the '302 patent. 13 Correct? 14 MR. LINDNER: Counsel, for the record, I 15 just wanted to check what was your plan for 16 handling the exhibit numbers, given that there's 17 overlap between the two different IPRs? 18 MS. ZHANG: Yeah. If that's okay for 19 you, I will identify the IPR and identify the 20 number of the exhibit. 21 MR. LINDNER: Okay. So you'll just do 22 that for each question referring to any of the	12 1 I have the wrong IPR number on my declaration. 2 But what you just said does not match my 3 declaration. 4 Q Okay. So let's look at cover page of 5 your declaration. 6 A Yes. 7 Q And I see that on the front page you 8 identified the case number as IPR2023-01347. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Where did you get that number? 11 A I'm sorry? 12 Q Where did you get this case number? 13 A It was given to me by counsel. 14 Q Okay. I can represent to you that this 15 case number is incorrect. The IPR case number for 16 the '302 patent is IPR2024-01347. 17 A Okay. 18 Q And this is for Patent 7,624,302. 19 A So is that a question or a statement? 20 I'm unclear. 21 Q Not a question yet. 22 MS. ZHANG: I'm going to upload the '302

13 1 patent to the exhibit link. 2 (IPR2024-01347 Exhibit 1001, previously 3 marked, not attached.) 4 Q Please let me know when you see it. 5 A So I'm just seeing the first exhibit. Do 6 I have to do something to get the list of 7 exhibits? 8 Q No, you don't. So this is Exhibit 1001 9 in the IPR2024-01347. And this is the '302 10 patent. 11 Do you recognize this document? 12 A You misunderstood my question. At the 13 link I clicked on for the share file I am seeing 14 one and only one exhibit, and that was the first 15 exhibit you uploaded. I am not seeing any more 16 exhibits. So my question to you is, what do I 17 have to do to see the list of exhibits as they 18 currently exist at the link? 19 Q I have only uploaded two exhibits to the 20 link, so you should only see two exhibits in the 21 share folder, at the website. 22 A I understand that. I only see one	15 1 number for the '302 patent is IPR2024-01347. And 2 if I refer to this IPR as the '302 IPR, do we 3 understand what I'm talking about? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And the second IPR today is IPR Case 6 Number 2024-01346, involving U.S. Patent Number 7 7,627,781. 8 And if I refer to that IPR as the '781 9 IPR, do you understand what I'm talking about? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. I'm uploading another exhibit from 12 the '781 IPR. And as Debbie mentioned, you might 13 need to refresh your browser to see it. 14 (IPR2024-01346 Exhibit 1001, previously 15 marked, not attached.) 16 MR. AUCHTER: And if I could interject 17 for a moment, you may also have to reload the 18 share file repository at least after the first 19 document. I had the exhibit, but I was no longer 20 able to go back to the directory. 21 A Okay, I now have three documents. Two of 22 them are labeled Exhibit 1001.
14 1 exhibit. 2 (Discussion off the record; technical 3 difficulty.) 4 A Okay. I now have what appears to be the 5 '302 patent on my screen. 6 Q Okay. Do you recognize this document? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And is it okay we keep referring to this 9 as the '302 patent? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you have reviewed this document 12 before? 13 A Yes. 14 Q And you have reviewed it in its entirety? 15 A Yes. 16 Q And do you understand what this document 17 discloses? 18 A I'm sorry, what was the question? 19 Q Do you understand what this document 20 discloses? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And now as we discussed, the IPR case	16 1 Q The second Exhibit 1001, can you open it? 2 A Okay. 3 Q And do you recognize this document? 4 A So to be clear, it is unclear what you 5 meant by "second," because there's no particular 6 order here. But I have clicked on one of the 7 exhibits labeled Exhibit 1001, and I recognize it 8 as the '781 patent. 9 Q Okay. You see the exhibit name. Right? 10 Exhibit 1001 dash U.S. Patent Number 7,627,781. 11 Correct? 12 A Well, in my view, the full name is 13 truncated. So all I see is Exhibit 1001, dash, 14 U.S. Patent N, and then an ellipsis. 15 Q So you open it and you see, you recognize 16 it as the '781 patent? 17 A Correct. 18 Q Have you reviewed this document before? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And you have reviewed it in its entirety? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And you understand the disclosure in this

17 1 document? 2 A I'm sorry, please say that again? 3 Q You understand what this document 4 discloses? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And now, if I refer to this IPR as the 7 '781 IPR, you understand what I'm talking about? 8 A Yes. 9 Q And who retained you for these IPRs? 10 A BC Law Group. 11 MS. ZHANG: I'm going to upload two 12 documents to the share file link. Give me one 13 minute. 14 MR. AUCHTER: While you're doing that, I 15 would like to note for the record that I, also, 16 have the same display that Mr. Jones had, inasmuch 17 as all it says is, EXP 1001, hyphen, U.S. Patent 18 N, followed by an ellipses for both exhibits. So 19 the full names are not appearing on the screen. 20 MS. ZHANG: Thank you, Mr. Auchter. 21 Q I just uploaded Exhibit 2004 in the '302 22 IPR. Please take a second to open it.	19 1 you're going to refer to page numbers, you are 2 referring to the page number of the document and 3 not the exhibit. 4 Is that correct? 5 Q Yes, I can do that. 6 A Okay. So, yes, that is my signature. 7 MS. ZHANG: Okay. I uploaded another 8 document. This is Exhibit 2004 in the '781 IPR. 9 (IPR2024-01346 Exhibit 2004, previously 10 marked, not attached.) 11 Q Please let me know if you can see it. 12 A Okay, I have it open. 13 Q And can you confirm this is the 14 declaration you provided in the '781 IPR? 15 A Yes. 16 Q And is it okay if I refer to this 17 document as your '781 declaration? 18 A Yes. 19 Q And if you could turn to Page 23 of your 20 declaration. 21 A I am there. 22 Q And confirm, is that your signature?
18 1 A Okay. 2 Q This is the declaration you provided in 3 the '302 IPR. Correct? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And is it okay if I refer to this 6 document as your '302 declaration? 7 A Yes. 8 Q Please turn to Page 20. 9 At the end of Page 20 please confirm 10 that's your signature. 11 A Can we have an agreement that when you 12 refer to page numbers, you're referring to the 13 page number as printed on the document? 14 Q Yes. If that works for you. I can also 15 refer to the page number of the exhibit, if you 16 prefer that. 17 A Well, so just the point I'm making is 18 that Page 20 of my '302 declaration has stamped in 19 the bottom right-hand corner Page 22. 20 Q Right. So it's Page 20 in your 21 declaration, Page 22 of the exhibit. 22 A Of the exhibit. So in general, when	20 1 A Yes, it is. 2 Q Okay. I am handing you another document. 3 This is Exhibit 2005 of the '302 IPR. 4 (IPR2024-01347 Exhibit 2005, previously 5 marked, not attached.) 6 Q Please let me know when you can open it. 7 A Okay. I have it open. 8 Q This is a copy of your CV. Correct? 9 A It's a copy of my CV as of March the 1st, 10 2025. 11 Q It accurately reflects your education, 12 professional positions, and experience. Correct? 13 A Well, I guess, no. That's my point, is 14 that since that date I have testified in several 15 other matters. 16 Q So it accurately reflects your education, 17 professional positions, and experience by March 18 1st, 2025. Correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q So it says you are currently president of 21 RMB Consulting, Inc. 22 Is that still correct?

21 1 A Yes. 2 Q What type of consulting do you do in this 3 role? 4 A So my consulting is in matters related to 5 electrical engineering and software. A lot of 6 that consulting is litigation-based. 7 Q And for how long have you been in this 8 position or in this role? 9 A I formed RMB Consulting in 1995. 10 Q What percentage of your work time is 11 devoted to consulting work? 12 A 100 percent. 13 Q So let's look at Paragraph 9 of your '302 14 declaration. 15 A Okay, I'm there. 16 Q You state that you have 40 years of 17 experience designing complex electronic systems in 18 a number of fields. Correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q What complex electronic systems are you 21 referring to here? 22 A You mean, are you looking for a list of	23 1 Oh, yes. I've done designs for 2 electromagnetic and radiofrequency-based cable 3 system detectors. 4 Yeah. I think that gives you a flavor. 5 I am not saying that's exhaustive, but that's just 6 what comes to mind. 7 Q Thank you. And did any of that work, 8 your design work of complex electronics systems, 9 involve studying or design the architecture of a 10 computer system? 11 A Yes. 12 Q Can you give an example? 13 A So as I mentioned here, I have extensive 14 experience designing life-support systems, which 15 are safety critical systems where errant behavior 16 in a processor could lead to loss of life. So 17 I've worked on life-support systems. I worked on 18 them for 20 years. 19 Q Does that system have multiple 20 processors? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And did you do any work related to the
22 1 everything I designed in 40 years? 2 Q Yeah, if you could give us some examples, 3 that would be helpful. 4 A All right. I started off designing strip 5 chart recorders. I moved on to designing PID 6 controllers. 7 From there I moved on to designing basis 8 weight beta gauges. And that involved consumable 9 electromechanical systems, largely software as 10 well as the electronics design. 11 I then moved into designing life-support 12 systems. I had designed control systems for a 13 very sophisticated burner. I have designed 14 products in use in consumers. I've designed smart 15 batteries. I've worked on a sophisticated 16 microwave telecommunications link. I've designed 17 networking cards. 18 I -- oh, I designed a system for a link 19 cat (phonetic) changer. I designed a system for 20 controlling an encrypted circuit board 21 manufacturing line. I have designed a 22 near-infrared moisture gauge.	24 1 design of the architecture of those processors? 2 A I'm sorry, your question is ambiguous. 3 Can you clarify it, please? 4 Q Did you do any work involving the design 5 of the architecture of those processors? 6 A So you asked me to clarify your question. 7 So are you asking me did I design silicon that 8 makes up the microprocessor? 9 Q No. My question is, did you do any work 10 involving the design of the architecture of the 11 processors in the life-support system that you 12 worked on? 13 A I understand words you spoke. I am 14 seeking clarification. 15 To me the question did I design the 16 architecture of the processor suggests to me that 17 you're asking did I design the actual processor, 18 in other words its instruction set, its caches, 19 and all that sort of thing. Is that what you're 20 asking me, or are you asking me something else? 21 Q I can repeat my question. My question 22 is, did you do any work involving the studying or

25 1 the design of the architecture of the processors 2 in the life-support system? 3 A You just restating your question, when I 4 asked you to clarify it, doesn't clarify the 5 question. 6 So at the beginning of this deposition 7 you asked me explicitly that if I answered a 8 question, you would understand that I understood 9 the question. I am telling you I do not 10 understand your question as stated. And so I'm 11 asking you to clarify it such that I can give you 12 an answer. 13 Q So you don't understand what architecture 14 mean when I was asking my question. Correct? 15 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 16 Mischaracterizes prior testimony. 17 A So this is going to be a long deposition 18 if you start mischaracterizing my testimony. 19 I was very clear. You asked a question. 20 I asked a clarification for it, and you just 21 reiterated your question. So to then come back 22 and mischaracterize my answer is not acceptable.	27 1 the art. So that's not a complete statement of 2 it. 3 Q So do you have any work experience with 4 computer architecture? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And what is that experience? 7 A The life-support system. 8 Q So you consider life-support system as a 9 computer system? 10 A It most definitely was a computer system, 11 yes. 12 Q And do you understand what is the 13 architecture of that computer system? 14 A I hope so. I designed it. 15 Q And can you explain that, please. 16 A Explain what? 17 Q Can you explain that architecture of that 18 life-support system? 19 A Yes. It was a three-processor system 20 involving redundant processing, redundant 21 intercommunication links between the processors, 22 with a voting architecture to ensure that the
26 1 So please clarify your question, and I will 2 endeavor to answer it. 3 Q So do you consider the life-support 4 system that you just described is a computer 5 system? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Would you turn to Paragraph 26 of your 8 '302 declaration. 9 A I'm there. 10 Q In this paragraph you said, "It is my 11 opinion that a person of ordinary skill in the art 12 as related to the '302 patent would have at least 13 a bachelor's degree in electrical engineering, 14 computer engineering, or equivalent, and at least 15 two years of prior work experience with computer 16 architecture, including experience relating to 17 fault tolerant computer architecture, as of the 18 earliest priority date of the '302 patent, October 19 25, 2004." 20 Correct? 21 A That's correct. But I do continue in 22 terms of my definition of one of ordinary skill in	28 1 right critical decisions were being made 2 correctly. 3 It was fault-tolerant, in that the system 4 could use any -- actually, it could use all three 5 computers -- 6 (Discussion off the record; technical 7 difficulty.) 8 Q Would you like me to repeat the question? 9 A Yes, please. 10 Q Can you explain the architecture of that 11 life-support system? 12 A I'm sorry, can I have the question again? 13 I didn't quite hear you. 14 Q Can you explain the architecture of that 15 life-support system. 16 A Yes. It was a three-processor system. 17 It was redundant in that any two out of the three 18 systems could fail and the life-support system 19 would continue to operate. It included redundant 20 communication links, voting logic, and redundant 21 power supplies, all sorts of things to essentially 22 ensure that the person would stay alive in the

29 1 event of any single -- or, actually, any two 2 processor failures. 3 Q Do you recall what types of those 4 processors in that system? 5 A What do you mean by "types of 6 processors"? 7 Q Do you remember what brand of those 8 processors? 9 A No, I'm afraid I don't. 10 Q Do you recall referring to any 11 instructions or specifications of those 12 processors? 13 A I'm sorry, did I what? 14 Q Do you recall looking at any instructions 15 or specifications of using those processors? 16 A Well, I started work on that in 1991. 17 Excuse me. 1989. So if you're asking me do I 18 have a specific recollection of looking at a 19 document, no. But I have, of course. 20 Q And have you done any work involving 21 Intel's processors specifically? 22 A Yes, I've done work using Intel	31 1 current up to March 1st, 2025. Correct? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Did you list that experience in your CV? 4 A No. This CV that you have in front of 5 you is what I refer to as my expert witness CV, 6 where people are mostly interested in what cases I 7 worked on. I have a separate CV that lists my 8 engineering experience in detail. 9 Q Did you provide that separate CV with 10 your declaration? 11 A No, I haven't provided that CV to anybody 12 in years. To provide it I would have to spend a 13 considerable amount of time updating it, because 14 most of the time you lawyers are interested in the 15 cases I've worked on. 16 Q Do you recall what Intel processors were 17 used in your work relating to the fully autonomous 18 underwater vehicle? 19 A I don't recall the specific processor, 20 no. 21 Q Do you recall looking at any instruction 22 set for the Intel processors you used?
30 1 processors. 2 Q Can you give an example? 3 A I was involved in -- involved in, I did 4 the architectural design for a fully autonomous 5 underwater vehicle, and that vehicle used Intel 6 processors. That's not the only time I've used 7 Intel processors, but it's the first one that 8 comes to mind. 9 Q And when was that work? 10 A Somewhere I think it was 15 to 20 years 11 ago. 12 Q You said this is related to autonomous 13 underwater vehicle. Correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And that work was done 20 years ago? 16 A No. I said my best guess it was done 17 between 15 and 20 years ago. 18 Q Oh, my apologies, yes. That was done 19 between 15 to 20 years ago. Correct? 20 A No. Again, my best guess is that it was 21 done between 15 and 20 years ago. 22 Q And earlier you testified your CV is	32 1 A So again, 15, 20 years ago do I have an 2 explicit recollection of looking at data sheets or 3 manuals, obviously not. Would I have looked at 4 something, of course. 5 Q What would you have looked at? You said 6 you would have looked at something. What would 7 you have looked at when using those processors? 8 A Well, for example, I'll give you an 9 example. An autonomous underwater vehicle is 10 battery powered by definition. Well, excuse me. 11 I guess not necessarily battery powered. It's not 12 connected to the mains. And so power consumption 13 would have been a significant parameter that I 14 would be interested in. And so I would certainly 15 have studied information related to the power 16 requirements of the system. 17 Q I would like to transition to talking 18 about multiprocessor systems. 19 Do you agree that a multiprocessor system 20 typically includes a boot processor? 21 MR. AUCHTER: Objection to form. 22 Ambiguous.

33 1 A Yeah, so are we talking in terms of any 2 specific patent here? Are we still on the '302? 3 What are we doing here? 4 Q We're talking about in general. In a 5 multiprocessor system, does it typically include a 6 boot processor? 7 (Clarification by the court reporter.) 8 MR. AUCHTER: Same objection. 9 A So you're asking me a vague question 10 without reference to date, any particular 11 architecture, nothing. You're just throwing a 12 question out there with no context whatsoever. 13 So I just don't think I can answer that 14 question. So, you know, unless you can focus it 15 for me and give me proper context, I think any 16 answer I give would just be misleading. 17 Q Do you understand what is a 18 multiprocessor system? 19 A Well, I would say a multiprocessor system 20 is a system with multiple processors. 21 Q Are you aware of Intel's multiprocessor 22 systems?	35 1 about it. 2 Q I understand your answer, but my question 3 is different. 4 My question is, are you aware of any 5 Intel multiprocessor system before 2004? 6 A And my answer was, I hadn't given it any 7 thought, or I hadn't thought about it. So if you 8 want me to sort of sit here and rack my brains to 9 see if I can recall working on Intel 10 multiprocessor system before 2004, I can certainly 11 do that. But it's not something I've given any 12 thought to, so I can't really answer your 13 question. 14 MS. ZHANG: Okay. So we've been going 15 now for an hour. If that's okay for you, we take 16 a break and come back in ten minutes? 17 THE WITNESS: Ten minutes, ten past the 18 hour? Okay. Very good. Thank you. 19 (A recess was taken.) 20 BY MS. ZHANG: 21 Q Mr. Jones, before we took our break you 22 answered you didn't give any thought to Intel
34 1 A In what time frame, what architecture? 2 You're being very vague here, so if you can narrow 3 it down then I can maybe give you an answer. 4 Q Are you aware of any Intel multiprocessor 5 systems before 2004? 6 A Oh, you have now changed your question. 7 So before 2004 was there an Intel multiprocessor 8 system? Is that your question? 9 Q Correct. Correct. 10 A That's not something I've thought about. 11 Q So you are not aware of any Intel 12 multiprocessor system before 2004. Correct? 13 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 14 Mischaracterizes prior testimony. 15 A Yeah, I have -- I have to agree with 16 Mr. Auchter here. You know, I've already put you 17 on notice once about mischaracterizing me. So I 18 just said I haven't thought about it. And you 19 then turned that around and said I'm not aware of 20 it. You know, that's a blatant 21 mischaracterization. So please don't do that. 22 So my answer stands, I hadn't thought	36 1 multiprocessor system before 2004. 2 Is that correct? 3 A That's what I said, yes. 4 Q Is it fair to say, in forming your 5 opinions in your '302 declaration and '781 6 declaration, you did not consider any Intel's 7 multiprocessor systems from before 2004? 8 A So in forming my opinions that appear in 9 my '302 declaration, I considered the documents 10 that I mention in that declaration. 11 Q That didn't answer my question. My 12 question is, in forming your opinions in your '302 13 and '781 declarations, did you consider any Intel 14 multiprocessor systems before 2004? 15 A So I disagree. I did answer your 16 question. I told you what I considered in forming 17 my opinion. 18 Q My question is specific to whether you 19 have considered any Intel multiprocessor systems 20 prior to 2004? 21 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. Asked 22 and answered. Ambiguous. Argumentative.

37 1 A Yeah, I have to agree with Mr. Auchter. 2 I feel that I've answered that question perfectly 3 adequately. I told you exactly what I considered 4 in coming to my opinions expressed in the '302 and 5 '781 declarations. 6 Q So besides what you told me what you 7 considered in forming your opinion, you considered 8 nothing else. 9 Is that fair? 10 MR. AUCHTER: Objection to form. 11 A So in my previous answer I specified the 12 documents that appear in my declaration. I also 13 considered the legal principles that I enumerate 14 in my declaration. That certainly formed part of 15 how I came to my opinion, but that is not a 16 document per se. This is the understanding -- my 17 understanding of the law as explained to me by 18 counsel for Foras. 19 I also considered the documents in light 20 of one of ordinary skill in the art. 21 Q Anything else? 22 A Yeah, I'm just looking.	39 1 the petition that BMW filed in this matter, but I 2 did read and consider the petition as part of 3 forming my opinions here. 4 Q So you said you considered the documents 5 in light of one of ordinary skill in the art. 6 Correct? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And in that consideration, did you 9 consider any Intel multiprocessor systems from 10 before 2004? 11 A Well, you've asked me that question I 12 think four times now, and I've told you what I 13 considered. 14 Q You did not answer my question whether 15 you considered any Intel processor system from 16 before 2004. It's a yes-or-no answer. 17 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 18 Argumentative. 19 Is there a question pending? 20 A My understanding of my role in these 21 proceedings is to help the PTAB understand my 22 opinions. And you asking me to give yes-or-no
38 1 So I don't see an explicit reference to 2 it, but I also considered the petition. But I 3 also clarified that right now, this answer I've 4 just given is limited to the '302. If you want me 5 to expand it to include '781, then I'll need to 6 review the '781 declaration. 7 Would you like me to do that? 8 Q No. I'd like to focus on the '302 for 9 now. 10 A Well, the reason I ask is because I 11 believe the previous question from you encompassed 12 both the '302 and the '781. So I just want to 13 clarify that we are talking at this point solely 14 about the '302. 15 Q So in forming your opinion for the '302 16 declaration, did you consider anything else? 17 A So other than the documents explicitly 18 listed in my declaration, I considered the legal 19 principles that are listed in my declaration. I 20 considered the documents in light of one of 21 ordinary skill in the art. And flipping quickly 22 through it, I didn't see an explicit reference to	40 1 answers to questions doesn't necessarily help the 2 PTAB understand my opinion. 3 So my opinion is is that the documents 4 that I have considered in forming my opinion are 5 as listed in my declaration, together with the 6 things that I previously enumerated. 7 Q In considering the documents that you 8 have listed in forming your opinions, in light of 9 the ordinary skill in the art, did you consider 10 any Intel multiprocessor systems from before 2004? 11 A So I understand that you just reasked the 12 same question, so I will just give you the same 13 answer as previously. 14 Q Can you answer the question? 15 A Yes. I gave you my answer previously. 16 If you'd like, we can ask the court reporter to 17 read it back for us. But I don't think you 18 changed your question, and so I haven't changed my 19 answer. 20 Q Is it fair to say in your answer you did 21 not list any Intel processor -- multiprocessor 22 system before 2004 as document that you

41 1 considered? 2 A No, it's not fair to say. 3 Q Why? 4 A Because again, you're trying to spin my 5 answer. I told you exactly the documents I 6 considered. They are spelled out in my 7 declaration. That tells you everything you need 8 to know about what I considered. 9 Q I'd like to turn to your '781 10 declaration. In forming your opinions in the '781 11 declaration, did you consider any Intel 12 multiprocessor system from before 2004? 13 A Can I ask that when you switch documents, 14 you give me a chance to bring them up? 15 So I just loaded the '781 declaration. 16 So if I can have the question again, please? 17 Q Yes. In forming your opinions in the 18 '781 declaration, did you consider any Intel 19 multiprocessor system from before 2004? 20 A So you basically asked me exactly the 21 same question, but this time with respect to the 22 '781 declaration.	43 1 consider any Intel multiprocessor system from 2 before 2004? 3 MR. AUCHTER: Objection to form. 4 A So I've answered this question now 5 multiple times. I considered the documents, and 6 in the legal section, and one of ordinary skill in 7 the art, and the petition in coming to my opinions 8 in the '781 declaration. 9 Q Would one of ordinary skill in the art -- 10 scratch that. 11 What do you mean by "one of ordinary 12 skill in the art"? 13 A So can I direct you to Paragraph 24 of my 14 '781 declaration. 15 Q I have your declaration. 16 A So Paragraph 24 is my understanding of 17 what a person of ordinary skill in the art is. 18 Q What is your understanding of a person of 19 ordinary skill in the art for these IPR 20 proceedings, the '302 IPR and the '781 IPR? 21 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 22 Compound.
42 1 Is that true? 2 Q Do you understand my question? 3 A Well, I tell you my understanding of the 4 question, but you've asked the same question but 5 with respect to the '781 declaration. And I would 6 say that the materials I considered for the '781 7 declaration are all of the documents that I 8 referred to in my declaration. In addition, I 9 considered the legal principles that are in 10 Section 3 of my declaration. I considered one of 11 ordinary skill in the art in Section 4. 12 Q Anything else? 13 A I'm nearly there. 14 So again, looking through here, I don't 15 see any reference to the petition, but I certainly 16 considered the petition as well. 17 Q Anything else? 18 A Nothing is coming to mind. 19 Q So with respect to the '781 declaration, 20 in considering the documents and sections that you 21 listed above, in light of the ordinary skill in 22 the art defined in your declaration, did you	44 1 A So my opinion of one of ordinary skill in 2 the art for the '781 patent is as laid out in 3 Paragraph 26 of my '781 declaration. 4 My opinion about one of ordinary skill in 5 the art for the '302 patent is laid out in 6 Paragraph 26 of my '302 declaration. 7 Q Are your opinions of one of ordinary 8 skill in the art different for these two patents, 9 any difference between your definition of one of 10 ordinary skill in the art for the '302 IPR and 11 your definition one of ordinary skill in the art 12 for the '781 IPR? 13 A One minute, please. 14 Q I'm sorry? 15 A I said, One minute, please. 16 Q Okay. 17 A Yes, they're different. 18 Q What's the difference? 19 A So the '302 person of skill in the art is 20 as of the earliest priority date of the '302 21 patent. And the '781 person of ordinary skill in 22 the art is as of the earliest priority date of the

45 1 '781 patent. 2 Now, it so happens the '302 and the '781 3 were filed on the same day, October 25th, 2004. 4 So in practice these two are the same. But if 5 you're doing a strict textual comparison, they are 6 different. 7 Q Is that the only difference? 8 A So to answer that question, as you will 9 note in both definitions of one of ordinary skill 10 in the art, I finish it with the statement, "It is 11 my opinion that I qualify as a POSITA under either 12 Dr. Brogioli's proposed level of skill in the art 13 or my own." 14 So to give you a complete answer on that, 15 I would have to check whether Dr. Brogioli's 16 definition of one of ordinary skill in the art is 17 the same for the '302 and the '781. 18 Q And you have quoted Dr. Brogioli's 19 definition in the paragraph above your definition. 20 Correct? 21 A Which declaration are we referring to? 22 Q For both declarations you have quoted	47 1 matters in terms of his meaning, but I don't want 2 to suggest that his two definitions are identical, 3 because they aren't. 4 And sorry, to be fair, it's unclear 5 whether I am -- whether the differences in 6 capitalization, hyphenation, is me misquoting him 7 or failing to copy and pasting correctly. 8 Q Are you looking at your declarations for 9 Dr. Brogioli's definitions or are you looking at 10 his declarations? 11 A I'm sorry, I lost you there. Can you say 12 that again? 13 Q So you were looking at Dr. Brogioli's 14 definition of one of ordinary skill in the art. 15 Correct? 16 A No. I was looking at -- you pointed me 17 to Paragraph 25 of my declaration as containing 18 Dr. Brogioli's statement of one of ordinary skill 19 in the art. 20 So what I am saying is, when I compare 21 Paragraph 25 of the '302 to Paragraph 25 of the 22 '781 declarations, I see some differences. They
46 1 Dr. Brogioli's definition before stating your 2 opinion of one of ordinary skill in the art. 3 Correct? 4 A So it's not that I disagree with you. I 5 don't recall if that was the entirety of his 6 definition, I suppose is my point. 7 It certainly looks complete, but I don't 8 know for sure without referring to his -- his 9 declarations. And to the extent that these 10 paragraphs are a complete statement of his 11 opinion, then -- well, let me compare the two. 12 Q So based on your definition of one of 13 ordinary skill in the art -- 14 A Excuse me. I haven't answered your 15 question yet, your previous one. I'm still 16 looking. 17 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Please continue. 18 A So Dr. Brogioli's view of one of skill in 19 the art for the '302 and '781 do differ. He 20 refers to the patents by name in two places. 21 There is also differences in the capitalization 22 and hyphenation of words. I'm not sure what that	48 1 reference different patents, they have different 2 capitalization, and they have different 3 hyphenation. 4 I was making the point that I don't know 5 if those differences exist in Dr. Brogioli's 6 actual declaration or that they are a result of me 7 failing to copy what he had in his declaration 8 properly. 9 Q Would a person of ordinary skill in the 10 art as defined in your declaration -- in your 11 declarations, in analyzing the patents and 12 documents you have considered give any thought to 13 Intel's multiprocessor systems prior to 2004? 14 MR. AUCHTER: Objection to form. 15 A So I'm not sure how you expect me to know 16 what someone would have thought. I don't think 17 that's a reasonable thing to ask me. 18 You can certainly ask me what they would 19 have known, but not what they would have thought. 20 So I think someone reading the documents 21 that I reference in my declaration would certainly 22 read about an Intel multiprocessor system, but

49 1 that doesn't mean that they've thought about it or 2 considered it prior to 2004. 3 Q Does the '302 and '781 patents describe 4 Intel's processors? 5 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 6 Compound. 7 A Yes, that's a compound question. Can you 8 break it apart, please? 9 Q Does the '302 patent describe Intel 10 processors? 11 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 12 Ambiguous. 13 A So what do you mean by "Intel 14 processors"? 15 Q You don't understand what is Intel 16 processor? 17 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 18 Argumentative. 19 A So I have my understanding of what the 20 term "Intel processor" means. And so if you don't 21 wish to clarify it, then we will go with my 22 understanding of it.	51 1 references to Hewlett Packard. 2 So I'll note first that the assignee of 3 the patent was Hewlett Packard Development 4 Company. 5 I forget. We are talking about the '302 6 right now. Correct? 7 A Correct. 8 Q Okay. 9 A If I direct you to Column 8, Line 16. It 10 says, "In certain embodiments, processes 12A, 12B, 11 15A and 15B are processors from the Itanium 12 Processor Family (IPF). IPF is a 64-bit processor 13 architecture co-developed by Hewlett Packard and 14 Company and Intel Corporation, which is based on 15 Explicitly Parallel Instruction Computing (EPIC). 16 IPF is a well-known family of processors. IPF 17 includes processors such as those having the code 18 names of MERCED, MCKINLEY, and MADISON." 19 So there is an example showing that that 20 is a Hewlett Packard system that's being described 21 here. Would you like me to continue? 22 Q So based on what you just looked at, do
50 1 And so the answer to your question is, 2 no, I don't think the '302 does describe an Intel 3 processor. 4 Q What's your understanding of an Intel 5 processor? 6 A A processor made by Intel. 7 Q Do you think the '302 patent describes an 8 Intel processor, based on your understanding? 9 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 10 A Can I have the question again? 11 Q Do you think the '302 patent describes 12 the Intel processor, based on your understanding 13 of what an Intel processor is? 14 A Oh, it seems to be describing an HP 15 processor. 16 Q Where does it describe an HP processor? 17 A So I'll note that the applicant was 18 Hewlett Packard, or HP. 19 Do I take it that the patent that you've 20 uploaded into the exhibit list is not searchable? 21 I'm certainly unable to search within it, so I'm 22 going to have to manually go through it to find	52 1 you think the -- do you stand by your answer that 2 the patent does not describe any Intel processor? 3 A Right. It's describing an HP processor. 4 Q And does your answer change for the '781 5 patent? 6 A So I'll note again that the assignee is 7 Hewlett Packard Development Company. 8 So for the '781, in Column 7, Line 53, it 9 states, "In certain embodiments, processors 12A, 10 12B, 15A, and 15B are processors from the Itanium 11 Processor Family (IPF). IPF is a 64-bit processor 12 architecture co-developed by Hewlett Packard and 13 company and Intel Corporation, which is based on 14 Explicitly Parallel Instruction Computing (EPIC). 15 IPF is a well-known family of processors. IPF 16 includes processors such as those having the code 17 names of MERCED, MCKINLEY, and MADISON." 18 So, yes, it appears the '781 is also 19 describing Hewlett Packard processors. 20 Q So based on what you just read, the IPF 21 is a 64-bit processor architecture co-developed by 22 Hewlett Packard Company and Intel Corporation.

53 1 In your view, this discloses an HP 2 processor, not an Intel processor. Correct? 3 A Well, so I asked for clarification from 4 you what you meant by an Intel processor. You 5 declined to give me your definition, and you 6 encouraged me to use my own. 7 My definition for an Intel processor is a 8 processor made by Intel. This appears to be a 9 processor made by Hewlett Packard. 10 Q Does the patent, the '302 patent and the 11 '781 patent, disclose a boot processor? 12 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 13 Compound. 14 A Yes, that is a compound question. Can 15 you break up the question, please? 16 MS. ZHANG: Respectfully, Mr. Auchter, 17 the PTAB prefers one-word objections. If the 18 witness doesn't understand the question, the 19 witness can ask for clarification. 20 MR. AUCHTER: Respectfully, I am 21 permitted to explain the basis for the objection 22 in a single word. I believe the word "compound"	55 1 detecting loss of lockstep (LOL) for a processor 2 in a multiprocessor system. The method further 3 comprises determining that the processor for which 4 the LOL is detected is assigned the role of boot 5 processor, and switching the role of boot 6 processor to a spare processor without shutting 7 down the system's operating system," and it goes 8 on. 9 So I would say the '302 patent does 10 disclose a boot processor. 11 Q Does the '781 patent disclose a boot 12 processor? 13 A So the title of the '781 patent is System 14 and Method for Establishing a Spare Processor for 15 Recovering from Loss of Lockstep in a Boot 16 Processor. 17 The abstract reads, "A system comprises a 18 plurality of processors, and data storage storing 19 information that assigns a role of boot processor 20 to one of the plurality of processors and assigns 21 a role of spare processor to another of the 22 plurality of processors. The system further
54 1 is a single word. 2 If you want to keep asking questions 3 about two patents, then keep going and I'm going 4 to keep objecting because it's a compound question 5 because you're asking about two things. 6 Q Do you understand the question, 7 Mr. Jones? 8 A I understand you asked me a compound 9 question. So if you'd like to narrow it to one 10 patent I will endeavor to ask it -- excuse me, 11 answer it. 12 Q If there is any word that you don't 13 understand, I can explain. And I can ask you 14 separately about these patents. 15 Does the '302 patent describe a boot 16 processor? 17 A The '302 patent is titled System and 18 Method for Switching the Role of Boot Processor to 19 a Spare Processor Responsive to Detection of Loss 20 of Lockstep in a Boot Processor. 21 So in the abstract I see the following. 22 "According to one embodiment, a method comprises	56 1 comprises logic operable, responsive to detecting 2 loss of lockstep for the boot processor, for 3 transferring, during system runtime, the role of 4 boot processor to the spare processor." 5 So I would say that the '781 patent 6 discloses a boot processor. 7 Q What is your understanding of a boot 8 processor? 9 A So is this with respect to the '302 or 10 the '781? 11 Q You can answer with respect to the '302 12 first. 13 A Okay. So I would like to direct you to 14 my '302 declaration, Paragraph 33. 15 And in Paragraph 33 I cite to Column 12, 16 Lines 1 through 21, of the '302 patent. 17 Q Does this -- sorry, did you finish your 18 answer? 19 A I did, yes. 20 Q Does this patent -- does this passage 21 quoted in your declaration describe the role of 22 the boot processor?

57 1 A So at the bottom of the '302 patent, 2 Column 11, starting at Line 61, it describes the 3 boot-up process as, "The boot-up process of a 4 traditional IPX system, for example, proceeds as 5 follows: When the system is first powered on 6 there are some sanity checks (e.g., power on 7 self-test) that are performed by microprocessors, 8 including the platform, which are not the main 9 system processors that run applications. After 10 those checks have passed, power and clocks are 11 given to a boot processor (which may, for example, 12 be master processor 12A." 13 And then we start with the section that I 14 quote here which goes all the way through Line 21. 15 So I would say my understanding of boot processor 16 is what I have cited here in my declaration, 17 together with the paragraph I just read into the 18 record, which was Column 11, Line 61 through 19 Column 12, Line 1. 20 Q In forming your understanding of what is 21 a boot processor, did you consider anything else 22 besides these passages?	59 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 Q So in forming your understanding of what 3 a boot processor is, did you consider anything 4 else besides the specification, the prosecution 5 history? 6 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. Asked 7 and answered. 8 A Yeah, I don't understand the difference 9 between this question and the previous question. 10 Q In forming your understanding of what a 11 boot processor is, did you consider any other 12 documents besides the specification and 13 prosecution history? 14 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. Asked 15 and answered. 16 A Yeah, I'm struggling to understand the 17 difference between this question and the first 18 time you asked the question. If you would like to 19 clarify the difference between the two questions, 20 I'll try and answer it. 21 Q Is it fair to say that you did not 22 consider any other documents in forming your
58 1 A So I considered the entirety of the 2 specification. I considered the prosecution 3 history of the patents. I put myself in the 4 position of one of ordinary skill in the art as of 5 the time of the invention. And so those were some 6 of the things that I did in coming to my 7 conclusions about boot processor. 8 THE WITNESS: At that point we're about 9 another hour in. Is now a good time for a break? 10 MS. ZHANG: Yeah. I just have a couple 11 more questions, and then maybe you can have a 12 longer break for lunch. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. That's -- are you on 14 the East Coast? 15 MS. ZHANG: Yes. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. Good. I didn't want 17 to send you to lunch if you were, you know, 9 18 o'clock in California or something. So, yeah, 19 shall we go to, say, 12:30 then? Does that work 20 for you? 21 MS. ZHANG: Let me finish a couple of 22 questions, and then we can go on break.	60 1 opinion about what is a boot processor, other than 2 the specification and the prosecution history? 3 A So in considering the specification for 4 the '302 patent, I note that the '302 patent, in 5 Column 1, and Lines 11 through 51 and elsewhere, 6 does incorporate by reference other applications 7 that were filed contemporaneously with the '302 8 application. And so I just want to clarify that I 9 did consider those documents as well. 10 Q Understood. Does your answer change for 11 the '781 patent except I understand the quoted 12 passages probably change citation column and line 13 numbers? 14 A So I think my answer is similar. I 15 considered the specification -- well, the entirety 16 of the '781 patent, its prosecution history, and 17 then, as you quite rightly pointed out, the '781 18 does include by reference in Column 1, Lines 9 19 through 48, and I think elsewhere as well, 20 contemporaneously filed applications which I 21 considered. And I considered all of that in light 22 of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

61 1 the invention. 2 I just realized – can I just clarify 3 that we switched from the '302 to the '781 when 4 you asked me what I relied upon for forming my 5 opinion about a boot processor, without actually 6 addressing what my opinion is for a boot processor 7 for the '781. 8 So I just want to point out that we have 9 that logical inconsistency right now, and that I 10 told you what I relied upon for the '781 without 11 actually telling you what my opinion is for the 12 boot processor for the '781. 13 Q Does your opinion change regarding what 14 is a boot processor for the '781 patent? 15 A So for the '781, I direct you to my '781 16 declaration, Paragraph 33. So in a similar vein 17 as the '302, I suppose my opinion really starts at 18 Column 11, Line 60, which reads, "The boot-up 19 process of a traditional IPF system, for example, 20 proceeds as follows: When the system is first 21 powered on, there are some sanity checks (e.g., 22 power on self-test) that are performed by	63 1 have said in the beginning of Paragraph 33, that 2 initializing the multiprocessor system and booting 3 the operating system can be part of the role of 4 the boot processor. 5 Q Does the boot processor perform any other 6 responsibilities? 7 A So I'm not precluding that. I think it, 8 as a minimum, must initialize the system and load 9 the operating system. But, as I mentioned in my 10 previous answer, this is an exemplary discussion. 11 The acronyms that appear in here, PAL, SAL, EFI, 12 are acronyms that are pretty much specific to the 13 IPF architecture. 14 And so I can certainly envisage non-IPF 15 systems that would not necessarily have a PAL or 16 SAL or EFI, but the boot processor would still 17 perform system initialization, including loading 18 the operating system. 19 Q Can you give an example of a non-IPF 20 system where a boot processor performs system 21 initialization? 22 A Yeah, I can. Pretty much all modern
62 1 microprocessors included in the system platform, 2 which are not the main system processors that run 3 applications. After those checks have passed, 4 power and clocks are given to a boot processor 5 (which may, for example, be master processor 6 12A)." And then it continues, "The boot processor 7 begins executing," which is the excerpt I have 8 cited here in my declaration in Paragraph 33. 9 Q And in this Paragraph 33 before this 10 quoted passage from the patent, the '781 patent, 11 you said, For example, in the '781 patent the boot 12 processor roles a master processor 12A are 13 described as follows. 14 Based on your understanding, does the 15 boot processor perform any other functions other 16 than what's described in this passage? 17 A So I will start by saying, so we're 18 referring to the '781 here. And this passage and 19 the preceding passage that I read is just one 20 embodiment that is being described. And this 21 section here describes some of the 22 responsibilities of a boot processor. And as I	64 1 cellphones use the ARM big.LITTLE architecture. 2 And in the big.LITTLE architecture they have 3 multiple processors. And one of those processors 4 is designated for initializing the system and 5 loading the operating system. 6 Q Can you give an example of a non-IPF 7 system that exists prior to 2004? 8 A I'm sorry, could I have that question 9 again? 10 Q Yes. Can you give an example of a 11 non-IPF system where the boot processor performs 12 system initialization, but -- sorry, let me 13 repeat. 14 Can you give an example of a non-IPF 15 system prior to 2004 where the boot processor 16 performs system initialization? 17 A So in 2001, and I know it was 2001 18 because I was working on this, so I'm quite clear 19 on the year. 20 I was working for Hughes Network Systems, 21 and we were designing a point-to-point multi-point 22 microwave link. That system had dozens of

65 1 processors, and they were built using a boot 2 processor. 3 Q And for that system, what roles did the 4 boot processor perform in addition to initializing 5 the system? 6 A It loaded the operating system. 7 Q Anything else? 8 A It's been 24 years, so I – I recall 9 that, you know, we had dozens of processors in the 10 system, and we had a very specific boot process 11 with a boot processor which loaded the operating 12 system. That's all I can recall from that, I'm 13 afraid. 14 Q Are you aware of any other system prior 15 to 2004 that has a boot processor that performs 16 system initialization? 17 A I don't recall anything I worked on that 18 had that architecture. That doesn't mean it 19 didn't exist, of course. 20 Q Would a person of ordinary skill in the 21 art under your definition aware of any other 22 system prior to 2004 that had a boot processor	67 1 answer. And correct me if I'm wrong. 2 In your opinion, one of ordinary skill in 3 the art under your definition would not know about 4 boot processors. Is that your answer? 5 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 6 Mischaracterizes prior testimony. 7 A No. My answer was the person of ordinary 8 skill in the art, their requirements to meet one 9 of ordinary skill in the art, in my opinion, are 10 laid out in Paragraph 26. And in there I don't 11 say anything about whether they knew about boot 12 processors or not. Similarly, nor did 13 Dr. Brogioli in his definition of one of ordinary 14 skill in the art. 15 MS. ZHANG: Okay. We can take a lunch 16 break. 17 (A recess was taken.) 18 BY MS. ZHANG: 19 Q Mr. Jones, before our break you said you 20 don't say anything about whether a person of 21 ordinary skill in the art knew about boot 22 processors or not.
66 1 that performs system initialization? 2 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 3 A Yeah, I'm not sure how you expect me to 4 know what any particular person would know. 5 My definition of one of ordinary skill in 6 the art, I direct you to Paragraph 26 and also 7 Paragraph 25. I don't think one of ordinary – I 8 don't opine that one of ordinary skill in the art 9 would know about boot processors. And more to 10 the – but not more to the point, but neither does 11 Dr. Brogioli either. 12 So can I just ask, by the way, am I 13 pronouncing Dr. Brogioli's name correctly? Is it 14 Brogioli or is it something different? 15 MR. AUCHTER: Yes, you are pronouncing 16 his name correctly. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. It is now well past 18 an hour, and so I'd like to take a lunch break, 19 please. 20 MS. ZHANG: One minute. I just want to 21 see your answer right now. 22 Q So just want to clarify I understand your	68 1 Is that correct? 2 A Well, it's been 30-something minutes 3 since I gave you my answer. I think my answer was 4 something to the effect that neither Dr. Brogioli 5 nor myself opined whether a person of ordinary 6 skill in the art would know about boot processors. 7 Q My question is about your declaration. 8 In your declaration, the '302 declaration, for 9 example, is it fair to say that you did not opine 10 on whether a POSITA would have understood a boot 11 processor? 12 A So I think you've changed your question 13 slightly. 14 So in my declaration, since you're 15 limiting the question to my declaration, I cited 16 both Dr. Brogioli and my definitions of what we 17 believe a person of ordinary skill in the art for 18 the '302 patent would be, or would have. 19 Neither of our definitions considered a 20 boot processor. So I'm not saying that a POSITA 21 would know about a boot processor or wouldn't; it 22 just doesn't appear in the definition of either me

69 1 or Dr. Brogioli. 2 So – so that's purely definitional. So 3 I believe you've now changed your question to 4 would a person of ordinary skill in the art, what 5 did you say, know about a boot processor? 6 Is that what you asked? 7 Q In your opinion, would a person of 8 ordinary skill in the art understand what a boot 9 processor is? 10 A So the trouble about your question is 11 that it's incredibly broad. And so a boot 12 processor in what context? 13 A person of ordinary skill in the art 14 wouldn't have to know what a boot processor is, 15 because the specification for the '302 lays out 16 what a boot processor is. And so whether there's 17 a person of ordinary skill in the art that has a 18 different definition, a narrower or broader, I 19 obviously cannot comment. But the '302 20 specification, as I cite here in my declaration at 21 Paragraph 33, does give a description on what a 22 boot processor is.	71 1 different context or different architecture? 2 A No. I'm just saying that there – the 3 pantheon of one of ordinary skill in the art is 4 large, and so those people may have had different 5 experience and different knowledge to that date of 6 what a boot processor is. 7 But when they come to the specification 8 for the '302, that doesn't matter, because the 9 '302 makes it clear what it means by a boot 10 processor. 11 Q Why is it doesn't matter of what a person 12 of ordinary skill in the art would have known what 13 a boot processor is? 14 A Well, my point being is is that they may 15 have used the term "boot processor" in a different 16 context to that envisaged in the '302. 17 And so it wouldn't matter if their 18 experience of a boot processor was slightly 19 different or fundamentally different. When they 20 came to the '302 and they read the specification 21 in '302, they would be able to go, Oh, I 22 understand what they mean by boot processor in
70 1 Q So if I understand you correctly, you're 2 saying a person of ordinary skill in the art 3 wouldn't have to know what a boot processor is 4 before -- because the '302 patent provides a 5 description of a boot processor. 6 Is that right? 7 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 8 A So I'm not really opining whether the one 9 of ordinary skill in the art knew or didn't know 10 what a boot processor is. They may have used the 11 term "boot processor" in a different context or in 12 a different architecture or whatever. 13 My point being is is that the '302, as 14 laid out in Paragraph 33 of my declaration, spells 15 out what a boot processor is. And so one of 16 ordinary skill in the art, reading the 17 specification of the '302, would have a clear 18 understanding of what a boot processor is. 19 Q You mentioned a person of ordinary skill 20 in the art may have used the term "boot processor" 21 in a different context or in a different 22 architecture. Can you give an example of such	72 1 this context. 2 Q Can you give an example of that different 3 context a POSITA would have? 4 A No. I mean, there are a pantheon of 5 different system architectures and things. So all 6 of the different architectures that people have 7 experienced in their career up to the point they 8 read the '302 may or may not be the same as for 9 the '302. And that's just the point I'm making. 10 It doesn't matter, because the '302 is 11 very clear, as I lay out in Paragraph 33 of my 12 '302 declaration, what a boot processor is. 13 Q So you're saying a POSITA would have 14 understood there are different systems for 15 different architectures that use a boot processor. 16 Correct? 17 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 18 Mischaracterizes prior testimony. 19 A Yeah, I have to agree with Mr. Auchter 20 here again. You just mischaracterized me now, and 21 this is the third time you've done it. And that 22 is not what I said. So if you'd like to go back

73 1 and reread my previous answer, you will see what I 2 said. 3 I realize that your characterization is 4 incorrect. 5 MS. ZHANG: Counsel, respectfully, I 6 think we talked about this. PTAB prefers one-word 7 objections. And if you keep doing this, as the 8 witness said, he has to agree with you, and we 9 will have to have a conversation with the Board. 10 MR. AUCHTER: You know, if you want to 11 keep asking the same question over and over again 12 and harassing the witness, I'm perfectly willing 13 to go to the Board and see if we can terminate the 14 deposition based on a continued harassment of the 15 witness with repeated question. 16 If you are objection to the fact that I 17 am simply stating, in short terms of three words 18 or less, what my objection is and the basis for 19 the objection, as opposed to a speaking objection, 20 then look at the record, and it is quite clear 21 what's going on there. 22 MS. ZHANG: We may need to do that if	75 1 being used in the '302. 2 (IPR2024-01346 and IPR2024-01347 Exhibit 3 1005, previously marked, not attached.) 4 Q I've handed you another document. This 5 is Exhibit 1005 in the '302 IPR, also Exhibit 1005 6 in the '781 IPR. 7 A Okay, I have it up. 8 Q Do you recognize this document? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Is it okay if we refer to this document 11 as the Fox reference? 12 A That's fine. 13 Q Have you reviewed it before? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Have you reviewed it in its entirety? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And do you understand what this document 18 discloses? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Fox -- excuse me. Fox discloses a 21 multiprocessor system. Correct? 22 A So looking at the abstract it says, "A
74 1 this continues. 2 Q But, Mr. Jones, I'm asking you a question 3 regarding your testimony earlier that you said 4 POSITA would have understood there are different 5 systems or architectures that would have used a 6 boot processor. 7 Do you disagree with that? 8 A That sounds basically what I said. 9 Q My question is, can you give an example 10 of the different systems or different 11 architectures? 12 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 13 A So there are thousands of different 14 multiprocessor architectures, each subtly 15 different, designed according to the particular 16 requirements of the system. 17 And so the fact that these exist, in my 18 view is irrelevant. The '302 patent, Column 12, 19 Lines 1 through 21, spells out what a boot 20 processor is. The fact that there may be other 21 forms of boot processors somewhere I don't think 22 matters. This is what the definition that is	76 1 method and system for dynamically replacing a 2 failing processor in a server system configured 3 with IA-32 architecture without requiring hardware 4 changes to the IA-32 architecture or 5 administrative effort. At least one processor of 6 the multiprocessor system (MP) is initially 7 provided as a reserve or (hot-spare processor) 8 that remains in an idle, off, low-power mode." 9 So on that basis I would agree that Fox 10 discloses a multiprocessor system of some type. 11 Q And Fox's multiprocessor system is 12 configured with IA-32 architecture. Correct? 13 A So the reason for the delay is the 14 phrase, as I just read into the record, "a method 15 and system for dynamically replacing a failing 16 processor in a server system configured with IA-32 17 architecture." 18 That's a very strange expression, 19 "configured with IA-32 architecture." And I was 20 looking in the specification to see if there was 21 anywhere else where it gave a better explanation 22 for what it meant by configured with the

77 1 architecture. 2 But that term, "configured with IA-32 3 architecture," does appear in the abstract, but I 4 can't find it elsewhere in the specification. 5 Q If I may direct you to Paragraph 14 of 6 Fox. 7 A You are quite right. I missed that on my 8 very quick read through it. It does say the same 9 thing again there. 10 Q And if I may direct you to Paragraph 23 11 of Fox. 12 A Yeah, I saw 23. Twenty-three doesn't 13 say, configure with IA-32 architecture. It says, 14 "an IA-32 configured multiprocessor computer 15 system," which makes much more sense to me. 16 Q So you agree Fox discloses the IA-32 17 configured multiprocessor system? 18 A Yes. 19 Q And Fox discloses the system is provided 20 with BIOS of the IA-32 architecture. Correct? 21 A So I've just done a search on BIOS. 22 There are 42 instances of the word "BIOS." I'm	79 1 the IA-32, whereas I'm not sure that BIOS is a 2 feature of the IA-32. 3 Does that distinction make sense? 4 Q Could you go to Paragraph 40 of Fox? 5 A Paragraph 40? Okay. 6 Q First sentence says, "Implementation of 7 the invention involves the utilization of Systems 8 Management Interrupt functionality provided within 9 basic input output systems (BIOS) of the IA-32 10 systems." 11 A Correct. 12 Q Do you see that? 13 A So now we switched from IA-32 14 architecture to system. So the system makes much 15 more sense to me. There is a difference between 16 the architecture and the system. 17 Q Okay. So you agree that Fox's system is 18 provided with BIOS of the IA-32 systems? 19 A That's not what that says. 20 I agree that Fox is provided with -- Fox 21 discloses the use of a BIOS. 22 Q And do you agree that Fox's system is an
78 1 sure you have somewhere in this application that 2 you're thinking of. Would you like to direct me 3 to where you're thinking of? 4 Q Sure. If you could look at Paragraph 15 5 of Fox. The first sentence says, "Implementation 6 of the invention involves the utilization of 7 Systems Management Interrupt (SMI) functionality 8 provided within basic input output system (BIOS) 9 of the IA-32 architecture." 10 Do you see it? 11 A I see that, yes. 12 Q So Fox discloses a system is provided 13 with BIOS of the IA-32 architecture. Correct? 14 A I'm sorry, could I have that question 15 again slowly? 16 Q Sure. Fox discloses the system is 17 provided with BIOS of IA-32 architecture. 18 Correct? 19 A So I'm not sure that an architecture has 20 a BIOS. My interpretation of that sentence is 21 that it's the System's Management Interrupt of the 22 IA-32 architecture. So the SMI is a feature of	80 1 IA-32 system? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And do you agree that Fox's system has 4 multiple processors? 5 A Yes. So, for example, if I direct you to 6 Figure 1 in Fox, Figure 1 discloses multiple 7 processors. 8 Q And do you agree that Fox discloses those 9 processors are IA-32 processors? 10 A Yes, I agree that Fox is limited to IA-32 11 processors. 12 Q In your view, Fox does not disclose a 13 boot processor. Correct? 14 A So which patent are we talking about 15 here? 16 Q We're still talking about the Fox 17 reference. 18 A Well, I understand that, but I can't 19 answer your question. To answer your question I 20 need to know in which context, '302 or '781. 21 Q Could you please answer in a context of 22 '302 patent first. And if your answer is

81 1 different for the '781 patent, please continue and 2 clarify the difference. 3 A So for the '302 in Paragraph 28 I state, 4 "As Dr. Brogioli concedes, Fox does not explicitly 5 disclose a boot processor." 6 So, and then for the '781, so in my '781 7 declaration, Paragraph 28, I state, "As 8 Dr. Brogioli concedes, Fox does not explicitly 9 disclose a boot processor." 10 Q Do you agree with those statements? 11 A Yes. I wrote them. 12 Q Would a person of ordinary skill in the 13 art under your definition understood Fox's system 14 would have a boot processor? 15 A I think they would be completely agnostic 16 to it. 17 So I checked. The word "processor" 18 appears over 350 times in Fox. Not once is it 19 qualified with the word "boot." In fact, booting 20 isn't even really mentioned in Fox. And so I 21 think someone reading Fox would conclude that how 22 the system is booted is irrelevant to what Fox is	83 1 is just not disclosed. It's not part of the 2 invention. It's not relevant to the invention. 3 So I don't think a POSITA would look at 4 this and say anything about booting. 5 Q Earlier you testified you agreed that Fox 6 system is an IA-32 system. Correct? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you agreed that Fox system has 9 multiple processors? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you agreed those processors are IA-32 12 processors? 13 A Yes. 14 Q If a POSA -- sorry, a person of ordinary 15 skill in the art, with the understanding that Fox 16 discloses an IA-32 system, including multiple 17 processors, would have understood the Fox system 18 would have a boot processor. Correct? 19 A No. Fox is completely agnostic as to how 20 this system boots. Fox is all about what do you 21 do when a processor fails. That's what Fox is 22 about. He has said nothing about how the system
82 1 claiming is his invention. 2 Q So is it fair to say in your view a 3 POSITA would not have understood Fox disclosing a 4 boot processor because Fox does not use the term 5 "boot processor" in the specification? 6 A So it's my view that someone reading Fox, 7 a POSITA reading Fox, would read Fox and 8 understand that Mr. Fox -- I think it's a mister. 9 Yes, Thomas James Fox -- is disclosing a method 10 and system for dynamically replacing a failing 11 processor in a server system configured with IA-32 12 architecture without requiring hardware changes to 13 the IA-32 architecture or administrative effort. 14 He would also understand, as the abstract 15 goes on, that at least one processor of the 16 multiprocessor system is initially provided as a 17 reserve (or hot-spare), et cetera. 18 And so I think the POSITA would read 19 the -- this patent application and say this has 20 got everything to do with a failing processor 21 server system configured with IA-32 architecture. 22 How the system is booted, what happens with boot	84 1 comes up. It's just up in Fox's view of the 2 world, because it's not important to him. 3 Q Would a person of ordinary skill in the 4 art under your definition understand an IA-32 5 multiple processor system would include a boot 6 processor? 7 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 8 A So I think one of ordinary skill in the 9 art with an IA-32 multiprocessor system would 10 recognize that there would be multiple ways of 11 booting that system. And so looking at Fox, it 12 doesn't really matter how Fox came up. That's not 13 what Fox is about. Fox is about what do you do 14 when a processor starts to encounter a hard 15 failure. 16 And so I think a POSITA, reading Fox, 17 would not even look to Fox for anything regarding 18 booting. That's not what Fox is about. It's what 19 do you do when you're running and one of the 20 processors that you're relying upon has a hard 21 failure. 22 Q So Fox doesn't disclose how a system is

85 1 booted. 2 Is that right? 3 A That's correct. I see nothing in Fox 4 that discloses how a system is booted. 5 Q And you -- I hear you said it, and please 6 correct me if I am wrong, you said, I think one of 7 ordinary skill in the art with an IA-32 8 multiprocessor system would recognize that there 9 would be multiple ways of booting that system. 10 Is that right? 11 A Well, I don't have an eidetic memory. 12 That sounds about what I said. I'm not sure if 13 you've quoted me completely correctly. By my 14 basic point is is that with Fox, how you get up 15 and running is irrelevant. 16 Q What are the ways of booting an IA-32 17 system that a POSITA would have known? 18 A Well, for example, every processor could 19 boot itself. You could have multiple processors. 20 You could do it entirely in hardware. You know, 21 there are any number of different architectures 22 for bringing a system up.	87 1 would be helpful. 2 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 3 A Okay. Here's a few other possibilities 4 for you. 5 The system could be booted with a 6 non-IA-32 processor whose sole job is to boot the 7 system and then die, essentially. That's one 8 alternative. 9 Another alternative is the system could 10 boot over a network and rely upon a network 11 connection in order to bring the system up. 12 As I mentioned in my previous answer, you 13 could do it entirely using hardware, so there's no 14 processors involved at all, it's purely dedicated 15 logic. 16 Another alternative is you could boot the 17 processors in pairs, where one of the pair of a 18 processors boots the other one. You could boot 19 them in triplets, where one processor boots the 20 other three. You could boot them as duals, where 21 two processors, in turn, boot the third processor, 22 and all permutations thereof.
86 1 Q What are ways of booting an IA-32 2 multiprocessor system? 3 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 4 A Well, I've just given you some examples. 5 Q Can you give other examples? 6 A Well, so I believe what I said to you is 7 it could be done purely in hardware or it could be 8 done using multiple processors, including one 9 processor -- including each processor booting 10 itself. 11 So I think that's a pretty significant 12 array of choices for how the system in Fox could 13 be booted. 14 Q Are you aware of any other ways for 15 booting an IA-32 multiprocessor system? 16 A Well, if you'd like me to sit here and 17 think about it for a while, I'm sure I could dream 18 up some other alternatives. So is that something 19 you'd like me to do? 20 Q Yeah, if you could give us some 21 alternatives from the perspective of one person 22 of -- a person of ordinary skill in the art, that	88 1 So all of those are theoretical 2 possibilities for how the system in Fox was 3 booted. Fox just doesn't tell us how it was 4 booted. 5 Q You just give an example where you said 6 you could boot them in triplets, where one 7 processor boots the other three. 8 A If I said "the other three," then that's 9 not what I meant to say, but okay. 10 Q That's okay. So do you mean that one 11 processor can boot the other two processors? 12 A Right. So if we got a 64 processor 13 system, I believe -- I think it's Fox that talks 14 about having boat loads of processors, and they 15 have (indiscernible) -- 16 (Clarification by the court reporter.) 17 A So certainly Figure 1 of Fox shows six 18 explicit processors and three ellipses, 19 pre-ellipses, indicating a system containing many 20 processors, perhaps 64. 21 And so the point I was making is one 22 possible architecture is to take three processors,

89 1 have one of those processors boot the other two, 2 and divide all 64 processors up into triplets, and 3 that's how the system boots. 4 Q So if the system just has three 5 processors, one processor would boot the other two 6 processors? 7 A Well, you know, Fox isn't disclosing 8 that. Fox is disclosing at least six, as I said. 9 So, you know, you're now getting into 10 hypotheticals on top of hypotheticals. My point 11 being is there are many different ways of 12 booting a system. And to assume that Fox 13 discloses a boot processor I just don't think is 14 justified. 15 Q Yeah. Just in your example where you 16 describe the triplets and one processor boots the 17 other two processors, in that example, the one 18 processor that boots the other two processors, 19 would one -- would one of ordinary skill in the 20 art consider that processor as the boot processor? 21 A Well, hang on a second. You asked me for 22 alternatives to a boot processor. Okay? And I	91 1 a system where we have clusters of processors and 2 the clusters booting. Which is different than 3 booting the system, booting the clusters. 4 Q I understand that you're describing ways 5 to boot a system, a multiprocessor system. And 6 you're giving me an example, booting clusters. 7 And when booting a cluster, one processor would 8 boot the remaining processors in that cluster. 9 Is that a fair understanding? 10 A Right. So at this point you've had a 11 processor boot the cluster. Okay? This is 12 nothing about the system. You've got all these 13 other clusters that need to come up. And more 14 importantly, if we take this back to the '302 and 15 Paragraph 33 of my declaration, what a boot 16 processor is is spelled out there. 17 Q So your opinion, Fox does not disclose 18 the boot processor as described in Paragraph 33 of 19 your declaration. 20 Is that fair? 21 A I would say that Fox is agnostic. Fox 22 doesn't care how he came. He's just completely
90 1 gave you a plethora of examples. And now you've 2 taken my example and reduced it back to your 3 original question. 4 Q So is it your opinion that in your 5 example that processor is not a boot processor? 6 A Let me see if I can explain this simply. 7 Your premise was that Fox disclosed a 8 boot processor. I said that is not true, Fox does 9 not disclose how the system could be booted. 10 You said, Well, can you come up with 11 other ways to boot it? And I said yes. And I 12 gave you a plethora of examples of how one could 13 boot a multiprocessor system. 14 One of the examples I gave you was to 15 divide up the multiprocessors into clusters and to 16 have one processor in that cluster boot the 17 cluster. 18 Now you've taken that cluster and reduced 19 it to the system. That means you've reduced it 20 all the way back to Fox, wherein you say, Hey, the 21 only way to boot Fox is with a single boot 22 processor. And my answer is no. I was describing	92 1 silent on it. So it's not a case that the system 2 didn't boot as described in Paragraph 33; Fox just 3 is completely silent. He's up and running. And 4 his invention is about what happens when I'm up 5 and running when a processor in a multiprocessor 6 system fails. 7 Q Fox does not describe booting at all? 8 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 9 A I -- my recollection is is the word 10 "boot" appears twice. 11 Q Can I direct you to -- can I direct you 12 to Paragraph 34 of Fox. 13 A Okay. 14 Q Paragraph 34 says, "When the MP is 15 initially activated for processing, one IA-32 16 processor is held off as a spare processor. 17 Holding off the processor involves having the 18 basic input output system (BIOS) recognize the 19 processor as a spare during BIOS boot (i.e., power 20 on self-test (POST), et cetera, of the MP, and 21 having the bio respond by not enabling the spare 22 processor to be initially allocated for OS and

93 1 application processing." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q What does "BIOS boot" mean? 5 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 6 A Well, in this case they describe BIOS 7 boot as power on self-test. 8 Q How does the power on self-test use the 9 BIOS? 10 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 11 A So Fox doesn't tell us that. But all 12 this paragraph says is that during initialization, 13 one processor is held off as a spare. It doesn't 14 say anything about how the system is booted. 15 Q Would a person of ordinary skill in the 16 art understand that Fox system boot would need the 17 BIOS? 18 A Can I have that question again? 19 Q Yes. Let me rephrase. 20 A Thank you. 21 Q Would a person of ordinary skill in the 22 art understand that Fox's system would use the	95 1 "As provided at Block 301, the process begins with 2 the BIOS setting up and installing the main 3 processors P0 and P1, during the initial POST of 4 the MP." 5 Do you see that? 6 A I do. 7 Q How does the BIOS set up and install the 8 main processors? 9 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 10 A I'm just looking at Figure 3. 11 What paragraph are we in, sorry? 12 Q We're at Paragraph 45. 13 A Okay. Sorry, I was just trying to get it 14 so I can look at Figure 3 at the same time as 15 Paragraph 45. 16 Okay. What's your question? 17 Q How does the BIOS set up and install the 18 main processors? 19 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 20 A So Fox doesn't tell us. But what is 21 obviously clear is whatever is executing the BIOS 22 is not one of the main processors.
94 1 BIOS to boot the system? 2 A So the BIOS is just software. So I don't 3 see anything here that says the BIOS is used to 4 boot system. 5 Q What is a BIOS? What is BIOS? 6 A It's a acronym for basic input/output 7 system. It's typically well-known code on an X86 8 based system. 9 Q What is the role of BIOS in booting a 10 multiprocessor system? 11 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 12 A So you just made an assumption that I 13 don't know is valid. 14 In the context of Fox, the BIOS is the 15 basic input/output system. So Paragraph 34 is 16 just talking about how when the system is 17 initially activated for processing, one processor 18 is held off as a spare. That's what this is 19 talking about. 20 Q Can you go to Paragraph 45 of Fox. 21 A Okay, I'm there. 22 Q Starting from the third sentence it says,	96 1 Q So you said BIOS is software. Correct? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And would a person of ordinary skill in 4 the art understand that a processor would need to 5 execute the software to perform its functions? 6 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 7 A I'm sorry, could I have that again? I 8 didn't quite understand what you said. 9 Q Sure. Would a person of ordinary skill 10 in the art understand that the BIOS would need to 11 be executed by software to perform its functions? 12 A I still don't hear -- the BIOS will need 13 to be what? 14 Q Would a person of ordinary skill in the 15 art understand that a processor would execute the 16 BIOS to perform the functions? 17 A I'm sorry, there's one word that you're 18 saying that I'm just not parsing. Can you put it 19 in the chat or something. Because I'm sorry, I am 20 just not computing what word you're using there. 21 Q Yeah, I can speak more slowly if that 22 helps.

97 1 Would a person of ordinary skill in the 2 art understand that a processor would execute the 3 BIOS to perform the functions? 4 A It's the word between "would" and "the 5 BIOS" that I'm not hearing. 6 Q Execute? 7 A Oh, execute? 8 Q E-X-E-C-U-T-E. 9 A Oh, execute. 10 Q Oh, execute. Thank you. 11 A Your English is fantastic, so there's 12 no -- okay. Now I understand the question. I 13 think you're asking me would one of ordinary skill 14 in the art understand that a processor is required 15 to execute software? 16 Q Correct. 17 A Is that the question? 18 Yes, of course they would understand 19 that. 20 Q Execute the BIOS. 21 A Oh, well, execute the BIOS? Yes, there 22 needs to be something that's executing the BIOS.	99 1 1008, previously marked, not attached.) 2 Q Please let me know when you see it. 3 A Okay. It's up. 4 Q Do you recognize this document? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Is it okay if I refer to this document as 7 the IA-32 specification? 8 A No, I don't think so. That to me would 9 imply software. This is the IA-32 Software 10 Developer's Manual. So I think a better term for 11 it is IA-32 Software Developer's Manual. 12 Q Okay. Can we say IA-32 software -- okay. 13 We can say that. IA-32 Software Developer's 14 Manual. 15 Is that okay? 16 A Yeah. Thank you. 17 Q Have you reviewed it in its entirety? 18 A It's 798 pages long. I have not read it 19 in its entirety. I have certainly looked at the 20 sections that Mr. -- excuse me, Dr. Brogioli 21 referenced in his declaration. 22 Q And those portions that you have
98 1 But Fox doesn't tell us what that thing is. It 2 could certainly be split between multiple 3 processors. It could be being done over a -- over 4 a network. Who knows. He doesn't tell us. 5 Q Could it be a processor executing the 6 BIOS? 7 A That's one of multiple possibilities, 8 yes. But he doesn't tell us. He just says the 9 BIOS is executed. 10 THE WITNESS: So we've been going for a 11 little over an hour. Is now a good time for a 12 break? 13 MS. ZHANG: Yeah, we can take a break. 14 Would you like a five-minute break or ten minutes? 15 THE WITNESS: Just give me ten minutes so 16 I can get a bit of fresh air. 17 (A recess was taken.) 18 BY MS. ZHANG: 19 Q I just handed you an exhibit, Exhibit 20 Number 1008 in the '302 IPR. Also Exhibit 1008 in 21 the '781 IPR. 22 (IPR2024-01346 and IPR2024-01347 Exhibit	100 1 reviewed, do you understand what those portions 2 disclose? 3 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 4 A I had no difficulty understanding the 5 document. I'm not sure that it discloses 6 anything. 7 Q Now referring to the exhibit page number. 8 And now could you turn to exhibit Page Number 29 9 of this document. 10 A I'm there. 11 Q In the first paragraph on this page it 12 says, "This developer's manual is part of three 13 volume set that describes the architecture and 14 programming environment of all IA-32 Intel 15 architecture processors." 16 Correct? 17 A So I agree that you've just correctly 18 read into the record what this document says. But 19 I would like to draw your attention to what I have 20 to say about this document in my declaration 21 before we go any further. Sorry. I wanted to 22 define it.

101 1 Can I direct you, so this is the -- my 2 '781 declaration, Paragraph 31. 3 So therein I state, "Dr. Brogioli relies 4 on Exhibit 1008 for disclosures relating to the 5 initial selection of a boot processor or bootstrap 6 processor in Fox, but has not presented evidence 7 as to: Whether Exhibit 1008 was available to a 8 POSITA at the time of the invention of the '781 9 patent, why a POSITA would have understood Exhibit 10 1008 as a relevant description of the system 11 described in Fox, or why any of the implementation 12 details in Exhibit 1008 would have been known in 13 the art at the relevant time." 14 Q Are you completed with your answer? 15 A I'm sorry? 16 Q Are you done with your answer? 17 A Almost. 18 Q Okay. 19 A So I have similar or identical language 20 in my '302 declaration at Paragraph 31. So for 21 both the '302 and '781, it's my opinion that 22 there's no evidence that's been presented as to	103 1 that you presented to me meets the requirements I 2 spelled out in my declarations. 3 Q So you have never seen this document 4 prior to being retained for these IPRs? 5 A So we're talking 23 years, 24 years here. 6 I can't tell you whether I've seen something or 7 not in the last 24 years. That's just not 8 answerable. 9 Q Do you recall any specification manual 10 published by Intel for its processors? 11 A Yes. 12 Q Can you give an example? 13 A So the reason I can remember this is 14 because when I was fresh out of university I 15 recall that in university we worked exclusively on 16 Motorola microprocessors. And then the company I 17 went to work for used exclusively Intel 18 processors. And so pretty much on Day 1 on my new 19 job fresh out of college I was handed an Intel 20 manual for an Intel processor. So this would have 21 been 1983. 22 Q And do you recall what type of that
102 1 whether Exhibit 1008, which is what you're asking 2 me questions about, was available to a POSITA at 3 the time of the invention of the '302 patent or 4 why a POSITA would have understood Exhibit 1008 as 5 a relevant description of the system described in 6 Fox, or why any of the implementation details in 7 Exhibit 1008 would have been known in the art at 8 the relevant time. 9 Q Okay. Were you aware of this document 10 before being retained for these IPRs? 11 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 12 A I have no idea. I hadn't thought about 13 it. 14 Q Earlier you had testified that you had 15 worked with Intel's processors. Correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Intel publishes software manuals for 18 these processors. Correct? 19 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 20 A So I don't dispute that Intel publishes 21 technical documentation about its processors. I'm 22 just not convinced that this particular document	104 1 processor is? 2 A What type, meaning model number? 3 Q Yes. 4 A Yes. 5 Q What is the model number of that Intel 6 processor? 7 A It was an 8052. 8 Q And you recall seeing a manual for that 9 processor. Correct? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And before 2004, when someone asked to 12 work on an IA-32 processor, like you had when you 13 just graduated from college, would someone working 14 on an IA-32 processor look at a manual for that 15 processor? 16 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 17 A So let me just make sure I understand 18 this. So now we're in a hypothetical world where 19 we have a fresh graduate, straight out of college, 20 obviously not a POSITA, who is going to work on an 21 Intel processor. 22 Have I got it right so far?

105 1 Q Yeah, let's simplify that hypothetical. 2 Assuming someone working on an IA-32 processor. 3 A Okay. So someone is working on an IA-32 4 processor. Now what? 5 Q And that person would like to know how an 6 IA-32 processor works. 7 A Yes. 8 Q Would that person consult a manual 9 published by Intel for that processor? 10 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 11 A Well, it depends what they were working 12 on exactly. 13 They could be working at a level where 14 the particulars of the processor aren't relevant, 15 so no. They could consult with a more senior 16 engineer. So there are alternatives to that 17 premise. 18 Would there be some people that would 19 consult Intel documentation? Yes. 20 Q I am handing you another document. This 21 is Exhibit 1028 in the '302 IPR and the '781 IPR. 22 (IPR2024-01346 and IPR2024-01347 Exhibit	107 1 on the Exhibit 1008. But my opinion about Exhibit 2 1028 is the same as the Exhibit 1008, in that 3 there is no evidence that this was available to a 4 POSITA at the time of the invention of the '781 5 patent. And it's a draft document. And I would 6 be amazed if Intel had published this in this 7 form. 8 Q Do you understand what you read in this 9 document? 10 A Well, the little that I bothered to read, 11 I certainly understood. It's not a complicated 12 document. And as I said, it's a draft document, 13 so I can't believe that this was ever published in 14 this form. And so its relevance in this matter 15 escapes me. 16 Q You're not giving a legal opinion of 17 whether this document was publicly available as of 18 the priority date of the patents. Right? 19 A Well, I'm not offering any legal opinion. 20 But I can tell you, as someone who has consumed 21 vast quantities of technical documentation over 22 the years, that I have never seen a reputable
106 1 1028, previously marked, not attached.) 2 Q Please let me know when you see it. 3 A Okay, I have it open. 4 Q Do you recognize this document? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Have you reviewed it before? 7 A I have. 8 Q And have you reviewed the entire 9 document? 10 A So this document appears to be a draft, 11 as you can see all the unaccepted changes within 12 it. And so given that it was a draft document, I 13 had no reason to think that anything in here is 14 correct. And so I just glanced at it, because as 15 far as I'm concerned this isn't reliable. 16 I think if we turn to my declaration, I 17 say something about this document. 18 Q Did you finish your answer? 19 A No. Sorry. I'm still looking. 20 All right. So, for example, looking 21 through my declaration here, I didn't expressly 22 give the same opinion on the Exhibit 1028 as I did	108 1 company like Intel put out a draft document, as 2 this clearly is. 3 Q So you have never seen the document -- 4 scratch that. 5 You have never seen this document before 6 being retained for these IPRs? 7 A I'm sorry, I lost you there. 8 Q Sorry. 9 A Could you come again? 10 Q My apologies. So you've never seen this 11 document before being retained for these IPRs? 12 A I feel very confident on that. I can't 13 believe this was published. 14 Q So your answer is you don't believe this 15 was published. 16 A Correct. 17 Q My question is, you never reviewed this 18 document before being retained for these IPRs. 19 Correct? 20 A So my answer was, it's difficult for me 21 to have read something that I don't believe was 22 published. But if you want an answer, I don't

109 1 believe I've ever read this document prior to 2 being retained in this matter. 3 Q All right. I am going to switch to the 4 patents. And I would like to talk about the '302 5 patent first. 6 Earlier you had testified that you had 7 reviewed the '302 patent in its entirety and 8 understood its disclosure. Correct? 9 A I'm sorry, I am having great difficulty 10 following you. Please say that again? 11 Q You testified that you have reviewed the 12 '302 patent in its entirety and understood its 13 disclosure. Correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And do you agree the '302 patent 16 describes switching the role of the boot processor 17 to the spare processor without shutting down the 18 operating system? 19 A So I'm a little loathe to summarize an 20 18-page patent in one sentence. And so I think a 21 good summary of the '302 is the abstract. It 22 reads, "According to one embodiment, a method	111 1 petition in the '781 IPR. Correct? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And is it fair to say that you understand 4 the claim numbering of the claim limitations as 5 set forth in the petitions? For example -- sorry, 6 I just want to make sure that we are on the same 7 page regarding claim limitation numbering. 8 MR. AUCHTER: Counsel, can I just say 9 something. The echo that we had earlier seems to 10 be coming back from your sound, which I think is 11 causing some of the confusion in the questioning. 12 If you could perhaps get closer to the mic or 13 something, it might be a little easier for 14 Mr. Jones and the court reporter to hear. 15 MS. ZHANG: Okay. I apologize, 16 Mr. Jones. I don't have a different setup from 17 this morning, but I will try to speak louder and 18 see, make sure that you can hear me clearly. 19 THE WITNESS: So, actually, I don't think 20 speaking louder is the issue. It might be that 21 you are speaking louder, causing the echo. But I 22 am getting an echo that I'm having to listen
110 1 comprises detecting loss of lockstep (LOL) for a 2 processor in a multiprocessor system. The method 3 further comprises determining that the processor 4 for which the LOL is detected is assigned the role 5 of boot processor, and switching the role of boot 6 processor to a spare processor without shutting 7 down the system's operating system. In another 8 embodiment, a method comprises system firmware 9 determining that an LOL is detected for a lockstep 10 error processors that are assigned to the role of 11 boot processor in a system. The method further 12 comprises determining one of a lockstep pair of 13 processors that is not the cause of the LOL, and 14 copying the state of the determined one of the 15 lockstep pair of processors that is not the cause 16 of the LOL to a spare processor. The method 17 further comprises switching the role of boot 18 processor to the spare processor." 19 So I think that is a pretty good summary 20 of my understanding of the '302 patent. 21 Q Earlier you have testified that you have 22 reviewed the IPR petition in the '302 IPR and the	112 1 extremely hard to you, so, but we'll persevere. 2 So as Mr. Auchter said, perhaps just leaning a 3 little closer to the microphone might help. 4 I'm sorry, you had a question pending 5 that it was about the petition, but I can't 6 remember what it was now. 7 Q Sure. Sure. So my question is, do you 8 understand the claim numbering as laid out in the 9 petitions; for example, Claim 1 has limitations, 10 one preamble, 1A, 1B, 1C, and 1D. 11 Are you aware of those claim limitation 12 numbering? 13 A Yes. Sort of implicit in your question 14 is are the parties differing in the way they 15 number the limitations? 16 Q Yeah. Do you see any difference for 17 numbering in any of the petition's numbering? 18 A To tell you the truth, I didn't notice 19 any differences, so I'm quite happy to use 20 whatever notation you want to use, so long as 21 we're clear what we're talking about. 22 Q Okay. Yeah. I do see you refer to

113 1 limitation for example 1B, 1C in your declaration, 2 but you did not provide a claim listing. So I 3 just want to make sure that we're talking about 4 the same claim limitation. 5 A Oh, I see. Could you point me to an 6 example in my declaration? 7 Q For example, in Paragraph 40 of your '302 8 declaration you said, "For these reasons I 9 disagree with Dr. Brogioli that Fox in view of 10 Safford and Arai renders obvious Limitation 1D," 11 and then I think you quoted a claim language. 12 A Right. So I think if I quoted the claim 13 language, it's pretty clear what I'm referring to, 14 isn't it? 15 Q Yes. So I think we are on the same page 16 about the numbering. 17 A Okay. Well, let's -- let's just do this: 18 To the extent there is any ambiguity, we'll just 19 agree on which limitation we're talking about, and 20 then talk about it. Does that work for you? 21 Q Yeah, that works for you. I will refer 22 to the claim limitations as Limitation 1B and 1C.	115 1 Do these limitations recite any 2 operations other than changing system identifiers 3 to switch the role of boot processor to a spare 4 processor without shutting down the system's 5 operating system? 6 A I don't understand. What do you mean by 7 "operations"? 8 Q Operations like changing a system 9 identifier, changing a system identifier of the 10 spare processor, changing a system identifier boot 11 processor. 12 I'm asking you what kind of operations or 13 steps are recited in these limitation to perform 14 the switching of the role of the boot processor to 15 a spare processor. 16 A So because the letters B, C, and D sound 17 so similar, are you okay with me saying 1 bravo, 1 18 Charlie, 1 delta to make clear what I'm referring 19 to? 20 Q That's okay. 21 A Okay. So 1 delta is what describes 22 switching the role of boot processor to a spare
114 1 A Okay. 2 Q And as you used in your declaration. And 3 I believe that's the same as the petition. 4 So going to the '302 patent, the claims. 5 Claim 1, Limitation 1C recites, "Changing a system 6 identifier used by the system's operating system 7 to recognize the boot processor, of said boot 8 processor for which said loss of lockstep is 9 detected to a different value, which causes 10 system's operating system to not recognize the 11 processor for which said loss of lockstep is 12 detected as a boot processor." 13 A Okay. 14 Q Yes. And claim Limitation 1D recites, 15 "Changing a system identifier of the spare 16 processor to that of the boot processor, thereby 17 switching the role of the boot processor to a 18 spare processor without shutting down the system's 19 operating system." Okay? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Okay. So we are looking at these 22 limitations.	116 1 processor without shutting down the system's 2 operating system. And that step that is described 3 there is changing a system identifier of the spare 4 processor to that role of the boot processor. 5 Q Does that limitation recite anything 6 else? 7 A No. 8 Q Does Claim 1 require any other operations 9 for switching the role of the boot processor to a 10 spare processor without shutting down the system's 11 operating system? 12 A It states, "Changing a system identifier 13 of the spare processor to that of the boot 14 processor." 15 Q But your declaration, your position is 16 that switching the identifiers of the boot 17 processor with that of the spare processor does 18 not change the role of the boot processor. Right? 19 A I'm sorry, I can't agree with that. Can 20 you point me to where I say that in my 21 declaration? 22 Q Sure. If you could turn to Paragraph 34

117 1 of your '302 declaration. 2 A Okay, I've reviewed Paragraph 34. It 3 doesn't say anything along the lines of what you 4 just said. 5 Q So in Paragraph 34 you said, starting 6 from the second sentence, "A POSITA would have 7 understood that such switching would have changed 8 the logical APIC ID (system identifier) of the 9 processor in error to the logical APIC ID of the 10 reserved processor (a different value)." 11 Even if -- even if correct, this does not 12 demonstrate the role of boot processor has been 13 transferred to a spare processor. Correct? 14 A That's exactly what it says. And of 15 course, what it's talking about here is this 16 Fox-Safford-Arai combination. It's not talking 17 about the patent. So in the Fox-Safford-Arai 18 combination, the petitioner is relying upon these 19 APIC IDs, suggesting that the APIC ID is 20 sufficient to change the role of the boot 21 processor. That's just not true. 22 The APIC ID is nothing more than a number	119 1 be known as you come out of power on reset. The 2 APIC IDs that Dr. Brogioli points to, which I 3 believe I think that comes from Arai -- let me 4 just check that. 5 All right. So I'm looking in Arai, 6 Paragraph 26. And this is a paragraph that 7 Dr. Brogioli pointed to. And so he's -- therein 8 it talks about the MAPIC, but I think that's the 9 same as the APIC, it's just a sort of variance on 10 it. And this is created during boot time. 11 So given that it's created, it cannot 12 possibly identify the boot processor, because the 13 boot processor is already run. 14 Q So just to make sure I understand. 15 You're saying that the boot processor role has 16 already been invoked before the APIC IDs are 17 assigned? 18 A Exactly. 19 Q And at this time it is still doing the 20 boot process of the system. Right? 21 A Right. So could I direct you to Column 22 11, Line 61 of the '302.
118 1 that dictates where interrupts get vectored to on 2 the bus. It says absolutely nothing about which 3 processor is used to boot the system. 4 And in fact, the APIC IDs don't even 5 exist until after the system has been booted, so 6 they can't possibly be an identifier that, quote, 7 identifies the role of the boot processor. 8 Q So I heard you just said APIC IDs don't 9 even exist until after the system has been booted, 10 so they can't possibly be an identifier that 11 identifies the role of the boot processor. 12 A Right. 13 Q And to help me understand this sentence, 14 am I correct that your understanding of the role 15 of the boot processor is before a system has been 16 booted? 17 A Well, so if we go back to Paragraph 33 of 18 my declaration. And therein I cite paragraph -- 19 or Column 12, Lines 1 through 21 of what a boot 20 processor does. 21 And so the boot processor -- the 22 processor that is going to do all of this has to	120 1 So from Line 61 through to the bottom of 2 the column, they are describing some hardware that 3 is external to all of these other processes that 4 runs after the first power up. 5 And then you can see in the last sentence 6 it says, "After those checks have passed, power 7 and clocks are given to a boot processor." 8 At that stage in the system power up, the 9 boot processor must be known; otherwise, how can 10 you possibly give power and clock to it? The boot 11 processor must be known at this stage. 12 Then we go to the top of Column 12. And 13 we talk about all of the things the boot processor 14 does when it begins execution. And one of the 15 things that Dr. Brogioli points out is that in an 16 IA-32 system you may build an APIC table. Well, 17 that building the APIC table occurs between 18 control being given to the boot processor and the 19 operating system being run. Which means it occurs 20 after the boot processor has already been 21 identified, and hence the APIC ID cannot possibly 22 be the identifier that specifies the boot

121 1 processor. 2 Q What you're pointing to here from Column 3 11, Line 61, to Column 12, you're talking about 4 the boot process. Right? 5 A Right. Which is different to the boot 6 processor. 7 Q And you're saying the boot processor is 8 already selected before the APIC IDs are 9 designated. Correct? 10 A Well, what I'm saying is, if you look in 11 Column 11, Line 66 and 67, is as part of the 12 system powering on, it says, Power and clocks are 13 given to a boot processor. At that point in the 14 powering up of the entire system, the boot 15 processor, the processor that is going to assume 16 the role of boot processor, must be known. 17 Otherwise how can it possibly pass power and 18 clocks to it. 19 Q And is role of the boot processor only 20 for what you have pointed me to here from Column 21 67 to Column 12? 22 A Well, in my declaration I point you to	123 1 not running yet. Right? 2 A Yeah; but the boot processor is. 3 Q Sir, are you saying that the boot 4 processor role are performed during this boot 5 process that we just looked at? 6 A The boot processor's role starts Column 7 12, Line 1, and then comes down. 8 Now, in my declaration I cited it as far 9 as 21 where we're saying, Okay, we are now going 10 to branch into the OS loader program. And then it 11 starts talking about the OS loader program and so 12 on. Okay? 13 And so we start getting into highly 14 OS-specific stuff at this point, which is pretty 15 much where I cut off my quote in my declaration 16 here. 17 The point being is, is that on a power on 18 reset there is hardware and stuff out there that 19 does its thing, and then says, which processor is 20 going to be the boot processor. But that needs 21 some information. It takes that information and 22 says, That's the processor that I am going to
122 1 Column 12, Lines 1 through 21, describing what the 2 boot processor does once it begins execution. 3 And so it starts with the processor 4 beginning executing code out of the system's 5 read-only memory, and culminates at Line 21 by 6 branching into the operating system loader 7 program. Okay? 8 Q Okay. 9 A So, if I go and read Arai, which is what 10 Dr. Brogioli is pointing to, the MAPIC table is 11 built once the boot processor is run. So how can 12 it possibly identify the processor to be the boot 13 processor? It doesn't even exist. 14 Q So going to the Column 12 of the '302 15 patent that you were referring to, at the end of 16 Line -- actually, between Line 20 and to Line 21, 17 you just read that sentence. "By branching the 18 boot processor into the entry point of such OS 19 loader program." 20 A Correct. 21 Q So this point, this is still the boot 22 process. Right? The OS, the operating system, is	124 1 apply power and clocks to, such that it becomes 2 the boot processor and then goes and initializes 3 the system and loads the operating system, as 4 described in Column 12, Lines 1 through 21. 5 Q So does the boot processor play any role 6 after the operating system is up and running? 7 A I -- that is -- I think that is 8 implementation-dependent. Certainly the role of 9 the boot processor is built into the name, is to 10 boot the system. And the specification lays out 11 what that means. 12 If for a particular architecture 13 thereafter that processor is special in some way, 14 I don't think the claims of the '302 discuss that. 15 Certainly if we look at what Dr. Brogioli says, he 16 contradicts himself. On the one hand he says that 17 once the operating system is up and running, the 18 boot processor just becomes an applications 19 processor. That suggests it's no different to any 20 other processor, suggesting that it has no role 21 during runtime. 22 And then in another part of his

125 1 declaration he starts talking about all of the 2 special things that the boot processor does during 3 runtime. So it's very unclear to me what 4 Dr. Brogioli's real opinion is. 5 But my opinion is it doesn't feature into 6 the claims of the '302. 7 Q Does the claims of the '302 patent recite 8 switching the role of the boot processor without 9 shutting down the operating system? 10 A Yes. Or to be clear, switching the role 11 of a boot processor to the spare processor without 12 shutting down the system's operating system. 13 Q Okay. Could we go to Paragraph 37 of 14 your declaration? 15 MR. AUCHTER: While we're doing that, I 16 think we've been going almost an hour. So if you 17 can get to a break point relatively soon. I 18 thought we started at 20 after last time, but I 19 could be wrong. 20 THE WITNESS: We did. 21 MS. ZHANG: Court reporter, can I know 22 how much time we have left for this deposition?	127 1 an entire patent in one sentence. I think the 2 abstract is a reasonably good summary of the 3 patent. The abstract reads, "A system comprises a 4 plurality of processors, and data storage storing 5 information that assigns a role of boot processor 6 to one of the plurality of processors and assigns 7 a role of spare processor to another of the 8 plurality of processors. The system further 9 comprises logic operable, responsive to detecting 10 loss of lockstep for the boot processor, for 11 transferring, during system runtime, the role of 12 boot processor to the spare processor." 13 So I think that's a reasonable summary of 14 the '781 patent. 15 Q So if you look at Claim 1 of the '781 16 patent. 17 A Okay. 18 Q If you can look at Claim Limitation 1C. 19 A I'm sorry, can you use bravo, Charlie, 20 delta. 1? 21 Q This is 1 Charlie. 22 A One Charlie. Thank you.
126 1 (Discussion off the record.) 2 Can we be back in ten minutes? 3 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ten, one zero? 4 Very good. Thank you. 5 (A recess was taken.) 6 BY MS. ZHANG: 7 Q I'd like to switch to the '781 patent. 8 And you testified that you have reviewed 9 the '781 patent. Correct? 10 A One minute, please. I'm still bringing 11 it up. Because I can't -- the numbers are 12 missing, I've got to randomly choose. 13 So I have the '781 up. So can I have the 14 question, please? 15 Q You have reviewed the '781 patent? 16 A Correct. 17 Q And you understood its disclosure? 18 A Yes. 19 Q And do you agree the '781 patent 20 describes transferring during system runtime the 21 role of the boot processor to the spare processor? 22 A So again, I'm not happy with summarizing	128 1 Q This limitation recites, "Logic operable, 2 responsive to detecting loss of lockstep for said 3 boot processor, for transferring, during system 4 runtime, the role of boot processor to said spare 5 processor." Okay? 6 A Yes. Oh, are you thinking the rest of 7 the "wherein" clause is 1 delta? 8 Q No. That's limitation -- starting from 9 limitation -- starting from "wherein," that would 10 be Limitation 1 delta, yeah. 11 A Okay. That's -- that's what I was 12 checking. Okay. So you're limiting it to that. 13 All right. Very good. Yes? 14 Q Does the claim -- does Claim 1 recite any 15 operations for performing transferring during 16 system runtime the role of boot processor to said 17 spare processor? 18 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 19 A Could I have the question again? 20 Q Yes. Does Claim 1 recite any operations 21 for performing transferring during system runtime 22 the role of boot processor to said spare


129 1 processor? 2 A I'm not completely sure what you're 3 asking me. So Limitation 1 Charlie says that 4 there's logic that is operable, to transfer, 5 during system runtime, the role of boot processor 6 to said spare processor. So 1 Charlie is saying 7 that there's logic with respect to transfer. 8 And then if we go to Claim Limitation 1 9 bravo, there's data storage storing information 10 that assigns a role of boot processor to one of 11 said plurality of processors and assigns a role of 12 spare processor to another of said plurality of 13 processors. 14 So given that 1 bravo identifies data 15 storage storing information that assigns a role, 16 and then in 1 Charlie we have logic operable for 17 transferring the role, then to me that means that 18 the data storage needs to be updated. 19 Q So your understanding is that to perform 20 the transfer of the role of the boot processor to 21 the spare processor, the data storage storing 22 information of the role of the boot processor and	131 1 That's the opinion I'm asking -- you're asking 2 about. And I have the same opinion for the '781 3 as I do for the '302: That changing the APIC ID 4 cannot change the role because the APIC IDs don't 5 exist when the processor -- the boot processor is 6 selected. 7 Q Yes. I just wanted to confirm that. 8 And this is discussed in your 9 declaration, Paragraphs 34 and 36. Right? 10 A That is correct. And to be clear, I'm 11 talking about my '781 declaration, so Paragraphs 12 34 through 36 explain how APIC IDs cannot assign 13 role of the boot processor. 14 Q Could you go to Paragraph 37 of your '781 15 declaration. 16 A Okay. 17 Q At the end of the paragraph -- sorry, you 18 were saying something? 19 A I just said, I'm there. 20 Q Thank you. At the end of the paragraph 21 you cited to the '781 patent, Column 14, Lines 4 22 to 32. Can we go to Column 14, Lines 4 to 32?
130 1 the spare processor need to be updated. Correct? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Earlier we were talking about your 4 declaration for the '302 patent. You said, 5 switching the APIC IDs would now switch the role 6 of the boot processor to spare processor. 7 Correct? 8 A Right. I make a point that the APIC IDs 9 of Arai is held in -- is built by the boot 10 processor after the boot processor is running. 11 And so it cannot possibly be identifying the boot 12 processor. 13 Q And does your opinion change with respect 14 to the '781 patent's transferring the role of boot 15 processor to the spare processor? 16 A I'm sorry, which opinion, that Arai 17 doesn't close, or what? It's unclear what I'm 18 giving an answer to. 19 Q You said that changing the APIC IDs does 20 not change the role of the boot processor to the 21 spare processor. Right? 22 A Thank you. I was just clarifying.	132 1 A Okay, I'm there. 2 Q In this passage it describes a user 3 interface which allows the user to designate the 4 system's boot processor and spare processor. 5 Correct? 6 A Yes. Your sort of question suggests it 7 is the only thing described here. But a user 8 interface is very definitely described here, yes. 9 Q And if you go to Line 17 to 18, Column 10 14, Line 17 to 18. 11 A Okay. 12 Q It's describing that the user can use the 13 interface to specify which OS to boot. Correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Well, to be clear, it's talking about a 16 command line interface. And what is described 17 here is a system which for sure under certain 18 circumstances allows a user to specify the boot 19 processor. 20 And then it's also providing additional 21 examples of things that could be configured. 22 Q And one example is that a user can use

133 1 the command line interface to specify which OS to 2 boot. Correct? 3 A That's an example they give, yes. 4 Q So if the user is selecting the OS to 5 boot, that means the OS is not running yet. 6 Correct? 7 A I disagree. 8 Q Can you explain? 9 A Sure. Let's just use a hypothetical 10 example to make things clear. 11 Let's say I have a multiprocessor system 12 that can run either some variant of Linux or some 13 variant of Windows. 14 Then I see nothing in these disclosures 15 which say that, for example, this PAR manager, 16 that's the parmgr program, that is mentioned in 17 this section, why that program can't be run, 18 assuming it can be compiled, of course, on the 19 system. 20 And looking at it, the operating system 21 wouldn't have to be running, but I don't -- I 22 don't see it precluded to do this.	135 1 a user to set the role of boot processor. 2 Q And before the user selects a boot 3 processor, the operating system is not running 4 yet. Correct? 5 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 6 A No, I don't think that -- that follows at 7 all. 8 I can certainly envisage a system which 9 has a default boot processor. It boots the 10 system, including the operating system, and then a 11 system administrator comes in while the operating 12 system is running, to run a program to say, Hey, 13 you may have booted from Processor 3 this time, 14 but henceforth I'd like to you boot from Processor 15 6. 16 So there's nothing that's described there 17 in that paragraph or in Figure 6 to suggest one 18 way or the other whether the operating system is 19 running. 20 Q So you said -- in your hypothetical, you 21 said the administrator can change, ask the system 22 to change the boot processor from, for example,
134 1 Q Could you go to Column 18, starting from 2 Line 14. 3 A Okay, I'm there. 4 Q The patent says here in Block 601, "The 5 role of boot processor is assigned to one of a 6 plurality of processors in the system. As 7 described above, the role of boot processor may be 8 so assigned in a device tree. Such role of boot 9 processor may be assigned by a user (e.g., via a 10 user interface) in certain embodiments, and 11 assigned by the system's firmware in other 12 embodiments." 13 Do you see that? 14 A Yes. 15 Q So is it fair to say that a user 16 interface can use -- can be used to assign a role 17 of boot processor here? 18 A Well, as it says in the introduction to 19 this paragraph, returning to Figure 6, "A general 20 flow diagram for operation of certain embodiments 21 is shown." And for certain embodiments it 22 absolutely describes a user interface which allows	136 1 Boot Processor 3 to Boot Processor 6 next time the 2 system reboots. 3 Is that correct? 4 MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form. 5 A If I said Boot Processor 3 to Boot 6 Processor 6, that's not what I meant to say. 7 What I'm saying is, in my hypothetical, 8 Figure 6 doesn't say anything about whether an 9 operating system is running. It's just saying 10 that when the system is running, a user can come 11 in and assign a role of boot processor to one of 12 the processors in the system. And so the 13 hypothetical I gave you is I can envisage a system 14 that by default boots from Processor 3. And after 15 it's booted for the first time and it has loaded 16 the operating system, the system administrator can 17 run a program that says, next time you boot, 18 please boot using Processor 6. 19 I think that's a perfectly valid 20 possibility envisaged by Figure 6. 21 Q So in your hypothetical, after the 22 administrator identify another processor as the

137 1 boot processor, for the next time the system 2 reboot, the new boot processor needs to perform 3 the system initialization loading the operating 4 system the next time the system reboot. Correct? 5 A I think you've got it right. I'm just 6 saying that basically you have expressed the 7 opinion that the steps in Figure 6 do not preclude 8 the use of an operating system. I'm saying I 9 don't see anything about Figure 6 or the 10 description in Figure 6 in Column 18 that 11 precludes those steps from being done while the 12 operating system is running. 13 I'm not saying it is running; I'm just 14 saying I don't see anything that precludes that. 15 Q Earlier you testified that the boot 16 processor needs to be selected first during a boot 17 process before the operating system is running. 18 Correct? 19 A So what I pointed out was that if we go 20 to Column 11, Line 66, in order for the boot-up 21 process hardware to pass power and clocks to a 22 boot processor, the boot processor must be running	139 1 Line 60 through -- all the way through Column 12, 2 Line about 16, 17, 18, all of that happens before 3 the OS is running. 4 Q And the claims recite transferring the 5 role of the boot processor to the spare processor 6 during system runtime. Correct? 7 A Correct. 8 MS. ZHANG: Counsel, I think I'm finished 9 all my questions. Would you like to do redirect? 10 MR. AUCHTER: Thank you, Counsel. Let's 11 go off line and take a ten-minute break while we 12 think about that, if we could, please. Appreciate 13 it. 14 (A recess was taken.) 15 MR. AUCHTER: All right. We don't have 16 any further questions. If the court reporter has 17 some questions about pronunciation, et cetera, we 18 will of course stay on until those get answered. 19 But otherwise, thank you very much. 20 MS. ZHANG: I do have one more question. 21 Just one more clarification. 22 BY MS. ZHANG:
138 1 at that point. 2 Q Right. And we talked about this as the 3 boot process before launching the boot processor 4 into the entry point of the OS loader program. 5 Right? And that is Column 12, Line 20. 6 A I'm sorry, that's -- you've just 7 conflated two different things. 8 Paragraph -- sorry, Column 11, Line 60 9 through 66 -- 67, describe the boot-up process of 10 a traditional IPF system. The boot-up process is 11 not the same as the boot processor. The boot-up 12 process does various things, and then selects a 13 boot processor as explained in Column 11, Line 66. 14 The boot processor then, as explained in 15 Column 12, Line 1 through at least Line 21, then 16 starts doing the boot processor things described 17 here, which culminate in it branching to the boot 18 processor -- into entry point for the OS loader 19 program. 20 Q So when the boot processor is selected, 21 the OS is not running yet. Correct? 22 A In the description here in Column 11,	140 1 Q Mr. Jones, during any of the breaks we 2 took during this deposition did you discuss the 3 substance of your testimony with counsel? 4 A No. 5 MS. ZHANG: Thank you. No further 6 questions. 7 MR. AUCHTER: Still no further questions. 8 (Off the record at 4:07 p.m. EDT.) 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Transcript of Nigel A. Jones
Conducted on September 24, 2025

36 (141 to 144)

141 1 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT 2 I, NIGEL A. JONES, do hereby acknowledge 3 that I have read and examined the foregoing 4 testimony, and the same is a true, correct and 5 complete transcription of the testimony given by 6 me, and any corrections appear on the attached 7 Errata sheet signed by me. 8 9 _____ 10 (DATE) (SIGNATURE) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	143 1 I further certify that I am not related to 2 any of the parties to this action by blood or 3 marriage, I am not employed by or an attorney to any 4 of the parties to this action, and that I am in no 5 way interested, financially or otherwise, in the 6 outcome of this matter. 7 8 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 9 hand this 24th day of September, 2025. 10 11 My commission expires: 12 October 31, 2027 13 14   15 E-NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE 16 COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA 17 18 19 20 21 22
142 1 C E R T I F I C A T E 2 I, Debra Ann Whitehead, E-Notary Public in and for 3 the Commonwealth of Virginia, do hereby certify: 4 5 That NIGEL A. JONES, the witness whose 6 deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn 7 by me before the commencement of such deposition and 8 that such deposition was taken virtually and is a 9 true record of the testimony given by such witness. 10 11 I further certify that the adverse party, 12 FORAS TECHNOLOGIES LTD, was represented by counsel 13 at the deposition. 14 15 I further certify that the deposition of 16 NIGEL A. JONES occurred virtually, on Wednesday, 17 September 24, 2025, from 10:01 a.m. to 4:07 p.m. 18 EDT. 19 20 21 22	

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

A			
a-n-d-r-e-w	134:7	afraid	alive
5:11	absolutely	29:9, 65:13	28:22
able	118:2, 134:22	after	all
15:20, 71:21	abstract	15:18, 57:9,	16:13, 17:17,
about	54:21, 55:17,	62:3, 118:5,	22:4, 24:19,
15:3, 15:9,	75:22, 77:3,	118:9, 120:4,	28:4, 28:21,
17:7, 32:18,	82:14, 109:21,	120:6, 120:20,	42:7, 57:14,
33:4, 34:10,	127:2, 127:3	124:6, 125:18,	60:21, 63:22,
34:17, 34:18,	acceptable	130:10, 136:14,	65:12, 72:5,
35:1, 35:7,	25:22	136:21	83:20, 87:14,
38:14, 41:8,	according	again	87:22, 88:1,
44:4, 48:22,	54:22, 74:15,	17:2, 28:12,	89:2, 90:20,
49:1, 51:5,	109:22	30:20, 32:1,	91:12, 92:7,
54:3, 54:5,	accurately	41:4, 41:16,	93:11, 100:14,
54:14, 58:7,	20:11, 20:16	42:14, 47:12,	106:11, 106:20,
58:8, 60:1,	acknowledge	50:10, 52:6,	109:3, 118:22,
61:5, 66:9,	141:2	64:9, 72:20,	119:5, 120:3,
67:3, 67:11,	acknowledgment	73:11, 77:9,	120:13, 125:1,
67:20, 67:21,	141:1	78:15, 93:18,	128:13, 135:7,
68:6, 68:7,	acronym	96:7, 108:9,	139:1, 139:2,
68:21, 69:5,	94:6	109:10, 126:22,	139:9, 139:15
69:10, 73:6,	acronyms	128:19	allocated
80:14, 80:16,	63:11, 63:12	agnostic	92:22
83:4, 83:20,	action	81:15, 83:19,	allows
83:22, 84:13,	143:2, 143:4	91:21	132:3, 132:18,
84:18, 85:12,	activated	ago	134:22
86:17, 88:14,	92:15, 94:17	30:11, 30:15,	almost
91:12, 92:4,	actual	30:17, 30:19,	101:17, 125:16
93:14, 94:16,	24:17, 48:6	30:21, 32:1	along
94:19, 100:20,	actually	agree	117:3
102:2, 102:12,	28:4, 29:1,	8:14, 32:19,	already
102:21, 106:17,	61:5, 61:11,	34:15, 37:1,	34:16, 119:13,
107:1, 109:4,	111:19, 122:16	72:19, 73:8,	119:16, 120:20,
112:5, 112:21,	addition	76:9, 77:16,	121:8
113:3, 113:16,	42:8, 65:4	79:17, 79:20,	also
113:19, 113:20,	additional	79:22, 80:3,	6:12, 15:17,
117:15, 117:17,	132:20	80:8, 80:10,	17:15, 18:14,
118:2, 119:8,	addressing	81:10, 100:17,	37:12, 37:19,
120:13, 121:3,	61:6	109:15, 113:19,	38:2, 38:3,
123:11, 125:1,	adequately	116:19, 126:19	46:21, 52:18,
130:3, 131:2,	37:3	agreed	66:6, 75:5,
131:11, 132:15,	administrative	83:5, 83:8,	82:14, 98:20,
136:8, 137:9,	76:5, 82:13	83:11	132:20
138:2, 139:2,	administrator	agreeing	alternative
139:12, 139:17	135:11, 135:21,	9:9	87:8, 87:9,
above	136:16, 136:22	agreement	87:16
42:21, 45:19,	adverse	18:11	alternatives
	142:11	air	86:18, 86:21,
		98:16	

89:22, 105:16 amazed 107:6 ambiguity 113:18 ambiguous 24:2, 32:22, 36:22, 49:12 america 1:5 amount 31:13 analyzing 48:11 andrew 5:10 ann 2:5, 142:2 another 15:11, 19:7, 20:2, 55:21, 58:9, 75:4, 87:9, 87:16, 105:20, 110:7, 124:22, 127:7, 129:12, 136:22 answer 6:7, 6:8, 6:20, 25:12, 25:22, 26:2, 33:13, 33:16, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:6, 35:12, 36:11, 36:15, 37:11, 38:3, 39:14, 39:16, 40:13, 40:14, 40:15, 40:19, 40:20, 41:5, 45:8, 45:14, 50:1, 52:1, 52:4, 54:11, 56:11, 56:18, 59:20, 60:10, 60:14, 63:10, 66:21, 67:1, 67:4, 67:7, 68:3, 73:1,	80:19, 80:21, 80:22, 87:12, 90:22, 101:14, 101:16, 106:18, 108:14, 108:20, 108:22, 130:18 answerable 103:8 answered 25:7, 35:22, 36:22, 37:2, 43:4, 46:14, 59:7, 59:15, 139:18 answering 7:4 answers 6:12, 6:14, 40:1 any 5:16, 6:17, 8:11, 8:18, 10:22, 13:15, 23:7, 23:22, 24:4, 24:9, 24:22, 27:3, 28:4, 28:17, 29:1, 29:10, 29:14, 29:20, 31:21, 33:1, 33:10, 33:15, 34:4, 34:11, 35:4, 35:6, 35:11, 35:22, 36:6, 36:13, 36:19, 39:9, 39:15, 40:10, 40:21, 41:11, 41:18, 42:15, 43:1, 44:9, 48:12, 52:2, 54:12, 59:11, 59:22, 62:15, 63:5, 65:14, 65:21, 66:4, 85:21, 86:14, 100:21, 101:11, 102:6, 103:9,	107:19, 112:16, 112:17, 112:19, 113:18, 115:1, 116:8, 124:5, 124:19, 128:14, 128:20, 139:16, 140:1, 141:6, 143:2, 143:3 anybody 31:11 anyone 7:18 anything 37:21, 38:16, 42:12, 42:17, 57:21, 59:3, 65:7, 65:17, 67:11, 67:20, 83:4, 84:17, 93:14, 94:3, 100:6, 106:13, 116:5, 117:3, 136:8, 137:9, 137:14 anywhere 76:21 apart 49:8 apic 117:8, 117:9, 117:19, 117:22, 118:4, 118:8, 119:2, 119:9, 119:16, 120:16, 120:17, 120:21, 121:8, 130:5, 130:8, 130:19, 131:3, 131:4, 131:12 apologies 30:18, 108:10 apologize 111:15 appeal 1:2 appear 36:8, 37:12, 63:11, 68:22,	77:3, 141:6 appearing 17:19 appears 14:4, 52:18, 53:8, 81:18, 92:10, 106:10 applicant 50:17 application 60:8, 78:1, 82:19, 93:1 applications 57:9, 60:6, 60:20, 62:3, 124:18 apply 124:1 appreciate 139:12 arai 113:10, 119:3, 119:5, 122:9, 130:9, 130:16 architectural 30:4 architecture 23:9, 24:1, 24:5, 24:10, 24:16, 25:1, 25:13, 26:16, 26:17, 27:4, 27:13, 27:17, 27:22, 28:10, 28:14, 33:11, 34:1, 51:13, 52:12, 52:21, 63:13, 64:1, 64:2, 65:18, 70:12, 70:22, 71:1, 76:3, 76:4, 76:12, 76:17, 76:19, 77:1, 77:3, 77:13, 77:20, 78:9, 78:13, 78:17, 78:19, 78:22, 79:14,
--	--	--	---

79:16, 82:12, 82:13, 82:21, 88:22, 100:13, 100:15, 124:12 architectures 72:5, 72:6, 72:15, 74:5, 74:11, 74:14, 85:21 aren't 47:3, 105:14 argumentative 36:22, 39:18, 49:18 arm 64:1 around 34:19 array 86:12 art 7:11, 8:12, 8:15, 8:16, 8:22, 9:7, 9:9, 9:15, 26:11, 27:1, 37:20, 38:21, 39:5, 40:9, 42:11, 42:22, 43:7, 43:9, 43:12, 43:17, 43:19, 44:2, 44:5, 44:8, 44:10, 44:11, 44:19, 44:22, 45:10, 45:12, 45:16, 46:2, 46:13, 46:19, 47:14, 47:19, 48:10, 58:4, 60:22, 65:21, 66:6, 66:8, 67:3, 67:8, 67:9, 67:14, 67:21, 68:6, 68:17, 69:4, 69:8, 69:13, 69:17, 70:2, 70:9,	70:16, 70:20, 71:3, 71:12, 81:13, 83:15, 84:4, 84:9, 85:7, 86:22, 89:20, 93:16, 93:22, 96:4, 96:10, 96:15, 97:2, 97:14, 101:13, 102:7 asked 9:13, 24:6, 25:4, 25:7, 25:19, 25:20, 36:21, 39:11, 41:20, 42:4, 53:3, 54:8, 59:6, 59:14, 59:18, 61:4, 69:6, 89:21, 104:11 asking 6:8, 24:7, 24:17, 24:20, 25:11, 25:14, 29:17, 33:9, 39:22, 54:2, 54:5, 73:11, 74:2, 97:13, 102:1, 115:12, 129:3, 131:1 assign 131:12, 134:16, 136:11 assigned 55:4, 110:4, 110:10, 119:17, 134:5, 134:8, 134:9, 134:11 assignee 51:2, 52:6 assigns 55:19, 55:20, 127:5, 127:6, 129:10, 129:11, 129:15 assume 6:20, 89:12,	121:15 assuming 105:2, 133:18 assumption 94:12 attached 4:8, 10:7, 13:3, 15:15, 19:10, 20:5, 75:3, 99:1, 106:1, 141:6 attention 100:19 attorney 143:3 auchter 3:12, 7:17, 8:1, 8:8, 9:1, 9:4, 15:16, 17:14, 17:20, 25:15, 32:21, 33:8, 34:13, 34:16, 36:21, 37:1, 37:10, 39:17, 43:3, 43:21, 48:14, 49:5, 49:11, 49:17, 50:9, 53:12, 53:16, 53:20, 59:6, 59:14, 66:2, 66:15, 67:5, 70:7, 72:17, 72:19, 73:10, 74:12, 84:7, 86:3, 87:2, 92:8, 93:5, 93:10, 94:11, 95:9, 95:19, 96:6, 100:3, 102:11, 102:19, 104:16, 105:10, 111:8, 112:2, 125:15, 128:18, 135:5, 136:4, 139:10, 139:15, 140:7 audible 6:12	autonomous 30:4, 30:12, 31:17, 32:9 available 101:7, 102:2, 107:3, 107:17 avenue 3:15 aware 33:21, 34:4, 34:11, 34:19, 35:4, 65:14, 65:21, 86:14, 102:9, 112:11 B bachelor's 26:13 back 15:20, 25:21, 35:16, 40:17, 72:22, 90:2, 90:20, 91:14, 111:10, 118:17, 126:2 based 46:12, 50:8, 50:12, 51:14, 51:22, 52:13, 52:20, 62:14, 73:14, 94:8 basic 78:8, 79:9, 85:14, 92:18, 94:6, 94:15 basically 41:20, 74:8, 137:6 basis 22:7, 53:21, 73:18, 76:9 batteries 22:15 battery 32:10, 32:11 bc 3:14, 17:10 bear 6:3
---	---	---	--

because 16:5, 31:13, 38:10, 41:4, 47:3, 54:4, 54:5, 64:18, 69:15, 70:4, 71:8, 72:10, 82:4, 84:2, 96:19, 103:14, 106:14, 115:16, 119:12, 126:11, 131:4 becomes 124:1, 124:18 been 5:3, 5:12, 21:7, 32:13, 35:14, 65:8, 68:2, 98:10, 101:12, 101:22, 102:7, 103:21, 117:12, 118:5, 118:9, 118:15, 119:16, 120:20, 125:16 before 1:2, 2:5, 5:12, 6:7, 6:8, 7:5, 14:12, 16:18, 34:5, 34:7, 34:12, 35:5, 35:10, 35:21, 36:1, 36:7, 36:14, 39:10, 39:16, 40:10, 40:22, 41:12, 41:19, 43:2, 46:1, 62:9, 67:19, 70:4, 75:13, 100:21, 102:10, 104:11, 106:6, 108:5, 108:11, 108:18, 118:15, 119:16, 121:8, 135:2, 137:17, 138:3, 139:2, 142:7 beginning 25:6, 63:1,	122:4 begins 62:7, 95:1, 120:14, 122:2 behalf 3:2, 3:11 behavior 23:15 being 28:1, 34:2, 51:20, 62:20, 70:13, 71:14, 75:1, 89:11, 98:3, 102:10, 103:4, 108:6, 108:11, 108:18, 109:2, 120:18, 120:19, 123:17, 137:11 believe 9:12, 38:11, 53:22, 68:17, 69:3, 86:6, 88:13, 107:13, 108:13, 108:14, 108:21, 109:1, 114:3, 119:3 bell 3:4 besides 8:12, 37:6, 57:22, 59:4, 59:12 best 6:7, 6:18, 30:16, 30:20 beta 22:8 better 76:21, 99:10 between 8:10, 10:17, 27:21, 30:17, 30:19, 30:21, 44:9, 59:9, 59:17, 59:19, 79:15, 97:4, 98:2, 120:17,	122:16 big 64:1, 64:2 bio 92:21 bios 77:20, 77:21, 77:22, 78:8, 78:13, 78:17, 78:20, 79:1, 79:9, 79:18, 79:21, 92:18, 92:19, 93:4, 93:6, 93:9, 93:17, 94:1, 94:2, 94:3, 94:5, 94:9, 94:14, 95:2, 95:7, 95:17, 95:21, 96:1, 96:10, 96:12, 96:16, 97:3, 97:5, 97:20, 97:21, 97:22, 98:6, 98:9 bit 51:12, 52:11, 52:21, 98:16 blatant 34:20 block 95:1, 134:4 blood 143:2 bmw 1:4, 8:16, 9:8, 39:1 board 1:2, 22:20, 73:9, 73:13 boat 88:14 boot-up 57:3, 61:18, 137:20, 138:9, 138:10, 138:11 booted 81:22, 82:22,	85:1, 85:4, 86:13, 87:5, 88:3, 88:4, 90:9, 93:14, 118:5, 118:9, 118:16, 135:13, 136:15 booting 63:2, 81:19, 83:4, 84:11, 84:18, 85:9, 85:16, 86:1, 86:9, 86:15, 89:12, 91:2, 91:3, 91:6, 91:7, 92:7, 94:9 boots 83:20, 87:18, 87:19, 88:7, 89:3, 89:16, 89:18, 135:9, 136:14 bootstrap 101:5 boston 3:8 both 8:7, 17:18, 38:12, 45:9, 45:22, 68:16, 101:21 bothered 107:10 bottom 18:19, 57:1, 120:1 brains 35:8 branch 123:10 branching 122:6, 122:17, 138:17 brand 29:7 bravo 115:17, 127:19, 129:9, 129:14
--	--	---	--

break 7:3, 7:5, 35:16, 35:21, 49:8, 53:15, 58:9, 58:12, 58:22, 66:18, 67:16, 67:19, 98:12, 98:13, 98:14, 125:17, 139:11 breaks 140:1 bring 41:14, 87:11 bringing 85:22, 126:10 broad 69:11 broader 69:18 brogioli 66:11, 66:14, 67:13, 68:4, 68:16, 69:1, 81:4, 81:8, 99:20, 101:3, 113:9, 119:2, 119:7, 120:15, 122:10, 124:15 brogioli's 45:12, 45:15, 45:18, 46:1, 46:18, 47:9, 47:13, 47:18, 48:5, 66:13, 125:4 browser 15:13 build 120:16 building 120:17 built 65:1, 122:11, 124:9, 130:9 burner 22:13 bus 118:2	C cable 23:2 caches 24:18 california 58:18 came 37:15, 71:20, 84:12, 91:22 can't 35:12, 77:4, 80:18, 103:6, 107:13, 108:12, 112:5, 116:19, 118:6, 118:10, 126:11, 133:17 cannot 69:19, 119:11, 120:21, 130:11, 131:4, 131:12 capitalization 46:21, 47:6, 48:2 cards 22:17 care 91:22 career 72:7 case 1:4, 11:18, 12:8, 12:12, 12:15, 14:22, 15:5, 92:1, 93:6 cases 31:6, 31:15 cat 22:19 cause 110:13, 110:15 causes 114:9 causing 111:11, 111:21 cellphones 64:1	certain 9:14, 51:10, 52:9, 132:17, 134:10, 134:20, 134:21 certainly 32:14, 35:10, 37:14, 42:15, 46:7, 48:18, 48:21, 50:21, 63:14, 88:17, 98:2, 99:19, 107:11, 124:8, 124:15, 135:8 certify 142:3, 142:11, 142:15, 143:1 cetera 82:17, 92:20, 139:17 chance 41:14 change 52:4, 60:10, 60:12, 61:13, 116:18, 117:20, 130:13, 130:20, 131:4, 135:21, 135:22 changed 34:6, 40:18, 68:12, 69:3, 117:7 changer 22:19 changes 76:4, 82:12, 106:11 changing 114:5, 114:15, 115:2, 115:8, 115:9, 115:10, 116:3, 116:12, 130:19, 131:3 characterization 9:10, 73:3 characterized 8:15	charlie 115:18, 127:19, 127:21, 127:22, 129:3, 129:6, 129:16 chart 22:5 chat 96:19 check 10:15, 45:15, 119:4 checked 81:17 checking 128:12 checks 57:6, 57:10, 61:21, 62:3, 120:6 choices 86:12 choose 126:12 circuit 22:20 circumstances 132:18 citation 60:12 cite 56:15, 69:20, 118:18 cited 8:16, 9:9, 57:16, 62:8, 68:15, 123:8, 131:21 claim 111:4, 111:7, 112:8, 112:9, 112:11, 113:2, 113:4, 113:11, 113:12, 113:22, 114:5, 114:14, 116:8, 127:15, 127:18, 128:14, 128:20, 129:8
--	--	--	--

claiming 82:1 claims 114:4, 124:14, 125:6, 125:7, 139:4 clarification 24:14, 25:20, 33:7, 53:3, 53:19, 88:16, 139:21 clarified 38:3 clarify 24:3, 24:6, 25:4, 25:11, 26:1, 38:13, 49:21, 59:19, 60:8, 61:2, 66:22, 81:2 clarifying 9:8, 9:10, 130:22 clause 128:7 clear 6:19, 8:14, 9:6, 16:4, 25:19, 64:18, 70:17, 71:9, 72:11, 73:20, 95:21, 112:21, 113:13, 115:18, 125:10, 131:10, 132:15, 133:10 clearly 108:2, 111:18 clicked 13:13, 16:6 clock 120:10 clocks 57:10, 62:4, 120:7, 121:12, 121:18, 124:1, 137:21 close 130:17	closer 111:12, 112:3 cluster 90:16, 90:17, 90:18, 91:7, 91:8, 91:11 clusters 90:15, 91:1, 91:2, 91:3, 91:6, 91:13 co-developed 51:13, 52:12, 52:21 coast 58:14 code 51:17, 52:16, 94:7, 122:4 college 103:19, 104:13, 104:19 column 51:9, 52:8, 56:15, 57:2, 57:18, 57:19, 60:5, 60:12, 60:18, 61:18, 74:18, 118:19, 119:21, 120:2, 120:12, 121:2, 121:3, 121:11, 121:20, 121:21, 122:1, 122:14, 123:6, 124:4, 131:21, 131:22, 132:9, 134:1, 137:10, 137:20, 138:5, 138:8, 138:13, 138:15, 138:22, 139:1 combination 117:16, 117:18 come 25:21, 35:16, 71:7, 90:10, 91:13, 108:9, 119:1, 136:10 comes 23:6, 30:8,	84:1, 119:3, 123:7, 135:11 coming 37:4, 42:18, 43:7, 58:6, 111:10 command 132:16, 133:1 commencement 142:7 comment 69:19 commission 143:11 commonwealth 2:6, 142:3, 143:16 communication 28:20 company 51:4, 51:14, 52:7, 52:13, 52:22, 103:16, 108:1 compare 46:11, 47:20 comparison 45:5 compiled 133:18 complete 27:1, 45:14, 46:7, 46:10, 141:5 completed 101:14 completely 81:15, 83:19, 85:13, 91:22, 92:3, 129:2 complex 21:17, 21:20, 23:8 complicated 107:11 compound 43:22, 49:6, 49:7, 53:13,	53:14, 53:22, 54:4, 54:8 comprises 54:22, 55:3, 55:17, 56:1, 110:1, 110:3, 110:8, 110:12, 110:17, 127:3, 127:9 computer 23:10, 26:4, 26:14, 26:15, 26:17, 27:4, 27:9, 27:10, 27:13, 77:14 computers 28:5 computing 51:15, 52:14, 96:20 concedes 81:4, 81:8 concerned 106:15 conclude 81:21 conclusions 58:7 conducted 1:13, 2:1 confident 108:12 configure 77:13 configured 76:2, 76:12, 76:16, 76:19, 76:22, 77:2, 77:14, 77:17, 82:11, 82:21, 132:21 confirm 11:2, 18:9, 19:13, 19:22, 131:7 conflated 138:7 confusion 111:11
--	--	--	--

connected 32:12 connection 87:11 consider 26:3, 27:8, 36:6, 36:13, 38:16, 39:2, 39:9, 40:9, 41:11, 41:18, 43:1, 57:21, 59:3, 59:11, 59:22, 60:9, 89:20 considerable 31:13 consideration 39:8 considered 36:9, 36:16, 36:19, 37:3, 37:7, 37:13, 37:19, 38:2, 38:18, 38:20, 39:4, 39:13, 39:15, 40:4, 41:1, 41:6, 41:8, 42:6, 42:9, 42:10, 42:16, 43:5, 48:12, 49:2, 58:1, 58:2, 60:15, 60:21, 68:19 considering 40:7, 42:20, 60:3 consult 105:8, 105:15, 105:19 consulting 20:21, 21:2, 21:4, 21:6, 21:9, 21:11 consumable 22:8 consumed 107:20	consumers 22:14 consumption 32:12 containing 47:17, 88:19 contemporaneously 60:7, 60:20 context 33:12, 33:15, 69:12, 70:11, 70:21, 71:1, 71:16, 72:1, 72:3, 80:20, 80:21, 94:14 continue 26:21, 28:19, 46:17, 51:21, 81:1 continued 73:14 continues 62:6, 74:1 contradicts 124:16 control 22:12, 120:18 controllers 22:6 controlling 22:20 conversation 73:9 convinced 102:22 copy 20:8, 20:9, 47:7, 48:7 copying 110:14 corner 18:19 corporation 51:14, 52:13, 52:22 correct 5:22, 7:21, 9:16, 10:13,	11:9, 11:13, 12:9, 16:11, 16:17, 18:3, 19:4, 20:8, 20:12, 20:18, 20:19, 20:22, 21:18, 25:14, 26:20, 26:21, 30:13, 30:19, 31:1, 34:9, 34:12, 36:2, 39:6, 45:20, 46:3, 47:15, 51:6, 51:7, 53:2, 67:1, 68:1, 72:16, 75:21, 76:12, 77:20, 78:13, 78:18, 79:11, 80:13, 83:6, 83:18, 85:3, 85:6, 96:1, 97:16, 100:16, 102:15, 102:18, 104:9, 106:14, 108:16, 108:19, 109:8, 109:13, 111:1, 117:11, 117:13, 118:14, 121:9, 122:20, 126:9, 126:16, 130:1, 130:7, 131:10, 132:5, 132:13, 133:2, 133:6, 135:4, 136:3, 137:4, 137:18, 138:21, 139:6, 139:7, 141:4 corrections 141:6 correctly 28:2, 47:7, 66:13, 66:16, 70:1, 85:13, 100:17 cory 3:4	could 11:6, 15:16, 19:19, 22:2, 23:16, 28:4, 28:18, 64:8, 78:4, 78:14, 79:4, 80:21, 85:18, 85:19, 85:20, 86:7, 86:12, 86:17, 86:20, 87:5, 87:9, 87:13, 87:16, 87:18, 87:20, 88:6, 90:9, 90:12, 96:7, 98:2, 98:3, 98:5, 100:8, 105:13, 105:15, 108:9, 111:12, 113:5, 116:22, 119:21, 125:13, 125:19, 128:19, 131:14, 132:21, 134:1, 139:12 counsel 5:4, 7:12, 7:14, 10:14, 12:13, 37:18, 73:5, 111:8, 139:8, 139:10, 140:3, 142:12 couple 58:10, 58:21 course 29:19, 32:4, 65:19, 97:18, 117:15, 133:18, 139:18 court 6:4, 6:13, 33:7, 40:16, 88:16, 111:14, 125:21, 139:16 cover 12:4 created 119:10, 119:11
--	--	---	--

critical 23:15, 28:1 culminate 138:17 culminates 122:5 current 31:1 currently 13:18, 20:20 cut 123:15 cv 20:8, 20:9, 30:22, 31:3, 31:4, 31:5, 31:7, 31:9, 31:11	18:18, 18:21, 19:14, 19:17, 19:20, 21:14, 26:8, 31:10, 36:5, 36:6, 36:9, 36:10, 37:12, 37:14, 38:6, 38:16, 38:18, 38:19, 40:5, 41:7, 41:10, 41:11, 41:15, 41:18, 41:22, 42:5, 42:7, 42:8, 42:10, 42:19, 42:22, 43:8, 43:14, 43:15, 44:3, 44:6, 45:21, 47:17, 48:6, 48:7, 48:10, 48:21, 56:14, 56:21, 57:16, 61:16, 62:8, 68:7, 68:8, 68:14, 68:15, 69:20, 70:14, 72:12, 81:7, 91:15, 91:19, 99:21, 100:20, 101:2, 101:20, 106:16, 106:21, 113:1, 113:6, 113:8, 114:2, 116:15, 116:21, 117:1, 118:18, 121:22, 123:8, 123:15, 125:1, 125:14, 130:4, 131:9, 131:11, 131:15	dedicated 87:14 default 135:9, 136:14 define 100:22 defined 42:22, 48:10 definitely 27:10, 132:8 definition 26:22, 32:10, 44:9, 44:11, 45:16, 45:19, 46:1, 46:6, 46:12, 47:14, 53:5, 53:7, 65:21, 66:5, 67:3, 67:13, 68:22, 69:18, 74:22, 81:13, 84:4 definitional 69:2 definitions 45:9, 47:2, 47:9, 68:16, 68:19 degree 26:13 delay 76:13 delta 115:18, 115:21, 127:20, 128:7, 128:10 demonstrate 117:12 depends 105:11 deponent 141:1 deposed 5:12 deposition 1:12, 2:1, 5:21, 6:2, 7:9, 7:16, 7:20, 9:12, 25:6, 25:17, 73:14, 125:22, 140:2, 142:6, 142:7, 142:8, 142:13, 142:15 depositions 5:16 describe 49:3, 49:9, 50:2, 50:16, 52:2, 54:15, 56:21, 89:16, 92:7, 93:6, 138:9 described 26:4, 51:20, 62:13, 62:16, 62:20, 91:18, 92:2, 101:11, 102:5, 116:2, 124:4, 132:7, 132:8, 132:16, 134:7, 135:16, 138:16 describes 50:7, 50:11, 57:2, 62:21, 100:13, 109:16, 115:21, 126:20, 132:2, 134:22 describing 50:14, 52:3, 52:19, 90:22, 91:4, 120:2, 122:1, 132:12 description 69:21, 70:5, 101:10, 102:5, 137:10, 138:22 design 22:10, 23:8, 23:9, 24:1, 24:4, 24:7, 24:10, 24:15, 24:17, 25:1, 30:4 designate 132:3
D dash 16:10, 16:13 data 32:2, 55:18, 127:4, 129:9, 129:14, 129:18, 129:21 date 20:14, 26:18, 33:10, 44:20, 44:22, 71:5, 107:18, 141:10 day 45:3, 103:18, 143:9 debbie 15:12 debra 1:22, 2:5, 142:2 decisions 28:1 declaration 9:17, 9:20, 10:10, 10:12, 11:9, 12:1, 12:3, 12:5, 18:2, 18:6,	declarations 7:12, 8:13, 36:13, 37:5, 45:22, 46:9, 47:8, 47:10, 47:22, 48:11, 103:2 declined 53:5	

designated 64:4, 121:9 designed 22:1, 22:12, 22:13, 22:14, 22:16, 22:18, 22:19, 22:21, 27:14, 74:15 designing 21:17, 22:4, 22:5, 22:7, 22:11, 23:14, 64:21 designs 23:1 detail 31:8 details 101:12, 102:6 detected 55:4, 110:4, 110:9, 114:9, 114:12 detecting 55:1, 56:1, 110:1, 127:9, 128:2 detection 54:19 detectors 23:3 determined 110:14 determining 55:3, 110:3, 110:9, 110:12 developer's 99:10, 99:11, 99:13, 100:12 development 51:3, 52:7 device 134:8 devoted 21:11 diagram 134:20 dictates 118:1	die 87:7 differ 46:19 difference 44:9, 44:18, 45:7, 59:8, 59:17, 59:19, 79:15, 81:2, 112:16 differences 46:21, 47:5, 47:22, 48:5, 112:19 different 10:17, 35:3, 44:8, 44:17, 45:6, 48:1, 48:2, 66:14, 69:18, 70:11, 70:12, 70:21, 71:1, 71:4, 71:5, 71:15, 71:19, 72:2, 72:5, 72:6, 72:14, 72:15, 74:4, 74:10, 74:13, 74:15, 81:1, 85:21, 89:11, 91:2, 111:16, 114:9, 117:10, 121:5, 124:19, 138:7 differing 112:14 difficult 108:20 difficulty 14:3, 28:7, 100:4, 109:9 direct 43:13, 51:9, 56:13, 61:15, 66:6, 77:5, 77:10, 78:2, 80:5, 92:11, 101:1, 119:21 directory 15:20	disagree 36:15, 46:4, 74:7, 113:9, 133:7 disclose 53:11, 55:10, 55:11, 80:12, 81:5, 81:9, 84:22, 90:9, 91:17, 100:2 disclosed 83:1, 90:7 discloses 14:17, 14:20, 17:4, 53:1, 56:6, 75:18, 75:20, 76:10, 77:16, 77:19, 78:12, 78:16, 79:21, 80:6, 80:8, 83:16, 85:4, 89:13, 100:5 disclosing 82:3, 82:9, 89:7, 89:8 disclosure 16:22, 109:8, 109:13, 126:17 disclosures 101:4, 133:14 discuss 124:14, 140:2 discussed 14:22, 131:8 discussion 14:2, 28:6, 63:10, 126:1 display 17:16 dispute 102:20 distinction 79:3 divide 89:2, 90:15 document 10:5, 10:11,	11:8, 13:11, 14:6, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:19, 16:3, 16:18, 17:1, 17:3, 18:6, 18:13, 19:2, 19:8, 19:17, 20:2, 29:19, 37:16, 40:22, 75:4, 75:8, 75:10, 75:17, 99:4, 99:6, 100:5, 100:9, 100:18, 100:20, 102:9, 102:22, 103:3, 105:20, 106:4, 106:9, 106:10, 106:12, 106:17, 107:5, 107:9, 107:12, 107:17, 108:1, 108:3, 108:5, 108:11, 108:18, 109:1 documentation 102:21, 105:19, 107:21 documents 8:11, 8:19, 11:4, 15:21, 17:12, 36:9, 37:12, 37:19, 38:17, 38:20, 39:4, 40:3, 40:7, 41:5, 41:13, 42:7, 42:20, 43:5, 48:12, 48:20, 59:12, 59:22, 60:9 doing 6:2, 17:14, 33:3, 45:5, 73:7, 119:19, 125:15, 138:16 done 6:8, 23:1,
--	--	--	---

29:20, 29:22, 30:15, 30:16, 30:18, 30:21, 72:21, 77:21, 86:7, 86:8, 98:3, 101:16, 137:11 down 34:3, 55:7, 109:17, 110:7, 114:18, 115:4, 116:1, 116:10, 123:7, 125:9, 125:12 downloading 9:21 dozens 64:22, 65:9 dr 45:12, 45:15, 45:18, 46:1, 46:18, 47:9, 47:13, 47:18, 48:5, 66:11, 66:13, 67:13, 68:4, 68:16, 69:1, 81:4, 81:8, 99:20, 101:3, 113:9, 119:2, 119:7, 120:15, 122:10, 124:15, 125:4 draft 106:10, 106:12, 107:5, 107:12, 108:1 draw 100:19 dream 86:17 duals 87:20 duly 5:3, 142:6 dunner 3:6 during 56:3, 92:19,	93:12, 95:3, 119:10, 123:4, 124:21, 125:2, 126:20, 127:11, 128:3, 128:15, 128:21, 129:5, 137:16, 139:6, 140:1, 140:2 dynamically 76:1, 76:15, 82:10 E e 57:6, 61:21, 134:9 e-notary 2:6, 142:2, 143:15 e-x-e-c-u-t-e 97:8 each 6:5, 8:9, 10:22, 74:14, 86:9 earlier 30:22, 74:3, 83:5, 102:14, 109:6, 110:21, 111:9, 130:3, 137:15 earliest 26:18, 44:20, 44:22 easier 111:13 east 58:14 echo 111:9, 111:21, 111:22 edt 1:15, 140:8, 142:18 education 20:11, 20:16 effect 68:4	effort 76:5, 82:13 efi 63:11, 63:16 eidetic 85:11 either 45:11, 66:11, 68:22, 133:12 electrical 21:5, 26:13 electromagnetic 23:2 electromechanical 22:9 electronic 21:17, 21:20 electronics 22:10, 23:8 ellipses 17:18, 88:18 ellipsis 16:14 else 7:18, 24:20, 37:8, 37:21, 38:16, 42:12, 42:17, 57:21, 59:4, 65:7, 76:21, 116:6 elsewhere 60:5, 60:19, 77:4 email 10:1, 10:2 embodiment 54:22, 62:20, 109:22, 110:8 embodiments 51:10, 52:9, 134:10, 134:12, 134:20, 134:21 employed 143:3 enabling 92:21 encompassed 38:11	encounter 84:14 encouraged 53:6 encrypted 22:20 end 18:9, 122:15, 131:17, 131:20 endeavor 26:2, 54:10 engineer 105:16 engineering 21:5, 26:13, 26:14, 31:8 english 97:11 ensure 27:22, 28:22 entire 106:8, 121:14, 127:1 entirely 85:20, 87:13 entirety 14:14, 16:20, 46:5, 58:1, 60:15, 75:15, 99:17, 99:19, 109:7, 109:12 entry 122:18, 138:4, 138:18 enumerate 37:13 enumerated 40:6 environment 100:14 envisage 63:14, 135:8, 136:13 envisaged 71:16, 136:20 epic 51:15, 52:14 equivalent 26:14
---	---	--	--

errant 23:15	62:5, 62:11, 63:19, 64:6, 64:10, 64:14,	exhibit 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 4:13, 4:14, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 10:3, 10:6, 10:9, 10:16, 10:20, 13:1, 13:2, 13:5, 13:8, 13:14, 13:15, 14:1, 15:11, 15:14, 15:19, 15:22, 16:1, 16:7, 16:9, 16:10, 16:13, 17:21, 18:15, 18:21, 18:22, 19:3, 19:8, 19:9, 20:3, 20:4, 50:20, 75:2, 75:5, 98:19, 98:20, 98:22, 100:7, 100:8, 101:4, 101:7, 101:9, 101:12, 102:1, 102:4, 102:7, 105:21, 105:22, 106:22, 107:1, 107:2	expect 48:15, 66:3 experience 20:12, 20:17, 21:17, 23:14, 26:15, 26:16, 27:3, 27:6, 31:3, 31:8, 71:5, 71:18 experienced 72:7 expert 8:21, 31:5 expires 143:11 explain 27:15, 27:16, 27:17, 28:10, 28:14, 53:21, 54:13, 90:6, 131:12, 133:8 explained 9:17, 37:17, 138:13, 138:14 explanation 76:21 explicit 32:2, 38:1, 38:22, 88:18 explicitly 25:7, 38:17, 51:15, 52:14, 81:4, 81:8 expressed 37:4, 137:6 expression 76:18 expressly 106:21 extensive 23:13 extent 46:9, 113:18 external 120:3 extremely 112:1
errata 141:7	68:9, 70:22, 72:2, 74:9, 80:5, 85:18, 88:5, 89:15, 89:17, 90:2, 90:5, 91:6, 103:12, 106:20, 111:5, 112:9, 113:1, 113:6, 113:7, 132:22, 133:3, 133:10, 133:15, 135:22	examples 22:2, 86:4, 86:5, 90:1, 90:12, 90:14, 132:21 except 60:11 excerpt 62:7 exclusively 103:15, 103:17 excuse 11:21, 29:17, 32:10, 46:14, 54:10, 75:20, 99:20 execute 96:5, 96:15, 97:2, 97:6, 97:7, 97:9, 97:10, 97:15, 97:20, 97:21 executed 96:11, 98:9 executing 62:7, 95:21, 97:22, 98:5, 122:4 execution 120:14, 122:2 exemplary 63:10 exhaustive 23:5	fact 73:16, 74:17,

74:20, 81:19, 118:4 fail 28:18 failing 47:7, 48:7, 76:2, 76:15, 82:10, 82:20 fails 83:21, 92:6 failure 84:15, 84:21 failures 29:2 fair 36:4, 37:9, 40:20, 41:2, 47:4, 59:21, 68:9, 82:2, 91:9, 91:20, 111:3, 134:15 family 51:12, 51:16, 52:11, 52:15 fantastic 97:11 far 104:22, 106:15, 123:8 farabow 3:5 fault 26:17 fault-tolerant 28:3 feature 78:22, 79:2, 125:5 feel 7:2, 37:2, 108:12 few 87:3 fields 21:18 figure 80:6, 88:17, 95:10, 95:14,	134:19, 135:17, 136:8, 136:20, 137:7, 137:9, 137:10 file 13:13, 15:18, 17:12 filed 39:1, 45:3, 60:7, 60:20 financially 143:5 find 50:22, 77:4 fine 75:12 finish 6:6, 7:4, 45:10, 56:17, 58:21, 106:18 finished 139:8 finnegan 3:5 firmware 110:8, 134:11 first 13:5, 13:14, 15:18, 30:7, 51:2, 56:12, 57:5, 59:17, 61:20, 78:5, 79:6, 80:22, 100:11, 109:5, 120:4, 136:15, 137:16 five 5:15 five-minute 98:14 flavor 23:4 flipping 38:21 floor 3:15 flow 134:20	focus 33:14, 38:8 folder 13:21 followed 17:18 following 54:21, 109:10 follows 5:3, 57:5, 61:20, 62:13, 135:6 foras 1:8, 7:13, 7:14, 37:18, 142:12 foregoing 141:3 forget 51:5 form 9:4, 9:5, 25:15, 32:21, 34:13, 36:21, 37:10, 39:17, 43:3, 43:21, 48:14, 49:5, 49:11, 49:17, 50:9, 53:12, 59:6, 59:14, 66:2, 67:5, 70:7, 72:17, 74:12, 84:7, 86:3, 87:2, 92:8, 93:5, 93:10, 94:11, 95:9, 95:19, 96:6, 100:3, 102:11, 102:19, 104:16, 105:10, 107:7, 107:14, 128:18, 135:5, 136:4 formed 21:9, 37:14 forming 36:4, 36:8, 36:12, 36:16,	37:7, 38:15, 39:3, 40:4, 40:8, 41:10, 41:17, 57:20, 59:2, 59:10, 59:22, 61:4 forms 74:21 forth 111:5, 142:6 four 39:12 fox 75:11, 75:20, 76:9, 77:6, 77:11, 77:16, 77:19, 78:5, 78:12, 78:16, 79:4, 79:20, 80:6, 80:8, 80:10, 80:12, 80:16, 81:4, 81:8, 81:18, 81:20, 81:21, 81:22, 82:3, 82:4, 82:6, 82:7, 82:8, 82:9, 83:5, 83:8, 83:15, 83:17, 83:19, 83:20, 83:21, 84:11, 84:12, 84:13, 84:16, 84:17, 84:18, 84:22, 85:3, 85:14, 86:12, 88:2, 88:3, 88:13, 88:17, 89:7, 89:8, 89:12, 90:7, 90:8, 90:20, 90:21, 91:17, 91:21, 92:2, 92:7, 92:12, 93:11, 93:16, 94:14, 94:20, 95:20, 98:1, 101:6, 101:11,
---	--	---	--

102:6, 113:9 fox's 76:11, 79:17, 79:22, 80:3, 81:13, 84:1, 93:22 fox-safford-arai 117:16, 117:17 frame 34:1 fresh 98:16, 103:14, 103:19, 104:19 front 12:7, 31:4 full 5:9, 16:12, 17:19 fully 30:4, 31:17 functionality 78:7, 79:8 functions 62:15, 96:5, 96:11, 96:16, 97:3 fundamentally 71:19 further 55:2, 55:22, 100:21, 110:3, 110:11, 110:17, 127:8, 139:16, 140:5, 140:7, 142:11, 142:15, 143:1	136:13 general 6:1, 18:22, 33:4, 134:19 gentlemen 7:16 getting 89:9, 111:22, 123:13 give 6:12, 17:12, 22:2, 23:12, 25:11, 30:2, 32:8, 33:15, 33:16, 34:3, 35:22, 39:22, 40:12, 41:14, 45:14, 48:12, 53:5, 63:19, 64:6, 64:10, 64:14, 69:21, 70:22, 72:2, 74:9, 86:5, 86:20, 88:5, 98:15, 103:12, 106:22, 120:10, 133:3 given 10:16, 12:13, 35:6, 35:11, 38:4, 57:11, 62:4, 86:4, 106:12, 119:11, 120:7, 120:18, 121:13, 129:14, 141:5, 142:9 gives 23:4 giving 91:6, 107:16, 130:18 glanced 106:14 go 15:20, 49:21, 50:22, 58:19, 58:22, 71:21, 72:22, 73:13,	79:4, 94:20, 100:21, 118:17, 120:12, 122:9, 125:13, 129:8, 131:14, 131:22, 132:9, 134:1, 137:19, 139:11 goes 55:7, 57:14, 82:15, 124:2 going 12:22, 17:11, 19:1, 25:17, 35:14, 50:22, 54:3, 73:21, 98:10, 104:20, 109:3, 114:4, 118:22, 121:15, 122:14, 123:9, 123:20, 123:22, 125:16 good 5:6, 5:7, 35:18, 58:9, 58:16, 98:11, 109:21, 110:19, 126:4, 127:2, 128:13 graduate 104:19 graduated 104:13 great 109:9 group 3:14, 17:10 guess 20:13, 30:16, 30:20, 32:11	handling 10:16 hang 89:21 happens 45:2, 82:22, 92:4, 139:2 happy 112:19, 126:22 harassing 73:12 harassment 73:14 hard 84:14, 84:20, 112:1 hardware 76:3, 82:12, 85:20, 86:7, 87:13, 120:2, 123:18, 137:21 head 6:13 hear 28:13, 85:5, 96:12, 111:14, 111:18 heard 118:8 hearing 97:5 held 92:16, 93:13, 94:18, 130:9 help 39:21, 40:1, 112:3, 118:13 helpful 22:3, 87:1 helps 96:22 hence 120:21 henceforth 135:14 henderson 3:5 here 16:6, 21:21,
G garrett 3:6 gauge 22:22 gauges 22:8 gave 40:15, 68:3, 76:21, 90:1, 90:12, 90:14,			
		H hand 9:20, 124:16, 143:9 handed 75:4, 98:19, 103:19 handing 20:2, 105:20	

23:13, 33:2, 33:3, 34:2, 34:16, 35:8, 39:3, 42:14, 51:21, 57:14, 57:16, 62:8, 62:18, 62:21, 63:11, 69:20, 72:20, 80:15, 86:16, 94:3, 103:5, 106:13, 106:21, 117:15, 121:2, 121:20, 123:16, 132:7, 132:8, 132:17, 134:4, 134:17, 138:17, 138:22 here's 87:3 hereby 141:2, 142:3 hereinbefore 142:6 hereunto 143:8 hewlett 50:18, 51:1, 51:3, 51:13, 51:20, 52:7, 52:12, 52:19, 52:22, 53:9 hey 90:20, 135:12 highly 123:13 himself 124:16 history 58:3, 59:5, 59:13, 60:2, 60:16 holding 92:17 hope 27:14 hot-spare 76:7, 82:17 hour 35:15, 35:18,	58:9, 66:18, 98:11, 125:16 hours 8:10 housekeeping 6:1 hp 50:14, 50:16, 50:18, 52:3, 53:1 hughes 64:20 hyphen 17:17 hyphenation 46:22, 47:6, 48:3 hypothetical 104:18, 105:1, 133:9, 135:20, 136:7, 136:13, 136:21 hypotheticals 89:10 I ia 76:3, 76:4, 76:12, 76:16, 76:19, 77:2, 77:13, 77:14, 77:16, 77:20, 78:9, 78:13, 78:17, 78:22, 79:1, 79:2, 79:9, 79:13, 79:18, 80:1, 80:9, 80:10, 82:11, 82:13, 82:21, 83:6, 83:11, 83:16, 84:4, 84:9, 85:7, 85:16, 86:1, 86:15, 92:15, 99:7, 99:9, 99:11, 99:12, 99:13, 100:14, 104:12,	104:14, 105:2, 105:3, 105:6, 120:16 id 117:8, 117:9, 117:19, 117:22, 120:21, 131:3 idea 102:12 identical 47:2, 101:19 identified 12:8, 120:21 identifier 114:6, 114:15, 115:9, 115:10, 116:3, 116:12, 117:8, 118:6, 118:10, 120:22 identifiers 115:2, 116:16 identifies 118:7, 118:11, 129:14 identify 10:19, 119:12, 122:12, 136:22 identifying 130:11 idle 76:8 ids 117:19, 118:4, 118:8, 119:2, 119:16, 121:8, 130:5, 130:8, 130:19, 131:4, 131:12 implementation 78:5, 79:6, 101:11, 102:6 implementation-d- ependent 124:8 implicit 112:13 imply 99:9	important 6:4, 84:2 importantly 91:14 inasmuch 17:16 inc 20:21 include 33:5, 38:5, 60:18, 84:5 included 28:19, 62:1 includes 32:20, 51:17, 52:16 including 26:16, 57:8, 63:17, 83:16, 86:8, 86:9, 135:10 inconsistency 61:9 incorporate 60:6 incorrect 12:15, 73:4 incredibly 69:11 indicating 88:19 information 32:15, 55:19, 123:21, 127:5, 129:9, 129:15, 129:22 initial 95:3, 101:5 initialization 63:17, 63:21, 64:12, 64:16, 65:16, 66:1, 93:12, 137:3 initialize 63:8 initializes 124:2 initializing 63:2, 64:4,
--	--	---	--

65:4 initially 76:6, 82:16, 92:15, 92:22, 94:17 input 78:8, 79:9, 92:18, 94:6, 94:15 install 95:7, 95:17 installing 95:2 instances 77:22 instead 6:13 instruction 24:18, 31:21, 51:15, 52:14 instructions 29:11, 29:14 intel 29:22, 30:5, 30:7, 31:16, 31:22, 34:4, 34:7, 34:11, 35:5, 35:9, 35:22, 36:13, 36:19, 39:9, 39:15, 40:10, 40:21, 41:11, 41:18, 43:1, 48:22, 49:9, 49:13, 49:15, 49:20, 50:2, 50:4, 50:6, 50:8, 50:12, 50:13, 51:14, 52:2, 52:13, 52:22, 53:2, 53:4, 53:7, 53:8, 100:14, 102:17, 102:20, 103:10, 103:17, 103:19, 103:20, 104:5, 104:21, 105:9, 105:19,	107:6, 108:1 intel's 29:21, 33:21, 36:6, 48:13, 49:4, 102:15 inter 5:17 intercommunicati- on 27:21 interested 31:6, 31:14, 32:14, 143:5 interface 132:3, 132:8, 132:13, 132:16, 133:1, 134:10, 134:16, 134:22 interject 15:16 interpretation 78:20 interrupt 78:7, 78:21, 79:8 interrupts 118:1 introduction 134:18 invention 58:5, 61:1, 78:6, 79:7, 82:1, 83:2, 92:4, 101:8, 102:3, 107:4 invoked 119:16 involve 23:9 involved 22:8, 30:3, 87:14 involves 78:6, 79:7, 92:17 involving 11:18, 15:6, 24:4, 24:10,	24:22, 27:20, 29:20 ipf 51:12, 51:16, 52:11, 52:15, 52:20, 61:19, 63:13, 138:10 ipr 1:4, 1:5, 4:9, 4:15, 5:19, 10:6, 10:12, 10:19, 11:9, 11:14, 11:15, 11:17, 11:18, 11:22, 12:1, 12:8, 12:15, 12:16, 13:2, 13:9, 14:22, 15:1, 15:2, 15:5, 15:8, 15:9, 15:12, 15:14, 17:6, 17:7, 17:22, 18:3, 19:8, 19:9, 19:14, 20:3, 20:4, 43:19, 43:20, 44:10, 44:12, 75:2, 75:5, 75:6, 98:20, 98:21, 98:22, 105:21, 105:22, 110:22, 111:1 iprs 5:17, 10:17, 11:13, 17:9, 102:10, 103:4, 108:6, 108:11, 108:18 ipx 57:4 irrelevant 74:18, 81:22, 85:15 issue 111:20 itanium 51:11, 52:10	itself 85:19, 86:10 J j-o-n-e-s 5:11 james 82:9 job 1:20, 87:6, 103:19 jones 1:12, 2:1, 4:2, 5:2, 5:6, 5:10, 11:3, 17:16, 35:21, 54:7, 67:19, 74:2, 111:14, 111:16, 140:1, 141:2, 142:5, 142:16 justified 89:14 K keep 14:8, 54:2, 54:3, 54:4, 73:7, 73:11 kind 115:12 knew 67:11, 67:21, 70:9 know 6:18, 7:3, 10:4, 13:4, 19:11, 20:6, 33:14, 34:16, 34:20, 41:8, 46:8, 48:4, 48:15, 58:17, 64:17, 65:9, 66:4, 66:9, 67:3, 68:6, 68:21, 69:5, 69:14, 70:3, 70:9, 73:10, 80:20, 85:20,
---	---	---	---

89:7, 89:9, 94:13, 99:2, 105:5, 106:2, 125:21 knowledge 71:5 known 48:19, 71:12, 85:17, 101:12, 102:7, 119:1, 120:9, 120:11, 121:16 knows 98:4	least 15:18, 26:12, 26:14, 76:5, 82:15, 89:8, 138:15 left 125:22 legal 37:13, 38:18, 42:9, 43:6, 107:16, 107:19 less 73:18 let's 12:4, 21:13, 105:1, 113:17, 133:9, 133:11, 139:10 letters 115:16 level 45:12, 105:13 life 23:16 life-support 22:11, 23:14, 23:17, 24:11, 25:2, 26:3, 27:7, 27:8, 27:18, 28:11, 28:15, 28:18 light 37:19, 38:20, 39:5, 40:8, 42:21, 60:21 limitation 111:7, 112:11, 113:1, 113:4, 113:10, 113:19, 113:22, 114:5, 114:14, 115:13, 116:5, 127:18, 128:1, 128:8, 128:9, 128:10, 129:3, 129:8 limitations 111:4, 112:9, 112:15, 113:22,	114:22, 115:1 limited 38:4, 80:10 limiting 68:15, 128:12 lindner 3:13, 7:17, 8:2, 10:14, 10:21, 11:5, 11:11 line 22:21, 51:9, 52:8, 57:2, 57:14, 57:18, 57:19, 60:12, 61:18, 119:22, 120:1, 121:3, 121:11, 122:5, 122:16, 123:7, 132:9, 132:10, 132:16, 133:1, 134:2, 137:20, 138:5, 138:8, 138:13, 138:15, 139:1, 139:2, 139:11 lines 56:16, 60:5, 60:18, 74:19, 117:3, 118:19, 122:1, 124:4, 131:21, 131:22 link 9:21, 10:3, 10:8, 13:1, 13:13, 13:18, 13:20, 17:12, 22:16, 22:18, 64:22 links 27:21, 28:20 linux 133:12 list 13:6, 13:17, 21:22, 31:3, 40:21, 50:20 listed 38:18, 38:19,	40:5, 40:8, 42:21 listen 111:22 listing 113:2 lists 31:7 litigation-based 21:6 little 64:1, 64:2, 98:11, 107:10, 109:19, 111:13, 112:3 llc 1:5 llp 3:6 load 63:8 loaded 41:15, 65:6, 65:11, 136:15 loader 122:6, 122:19, 123:10, 123:11, 138:4, 138:18 loading 63:17, 64:5, 137:3 loads 88:14, 124:3 loathe 109:19 lockstep 54:20, 55:1, 55:15, 56:2, 110:1, 110:9, 110:12, 110:15, 114:8, 114:11, 127:10, 128:2 logic 28:20, 56:1, 87:15, 127:9, 128:1, 129:4, 129:7, 129:16 logical 61:9, 117:8,
L			
labeled 15:22, 16:7 laid 44:2, 44:5, 67:10, 70:14, 112:8 lane 3:7 language 101:19, 113:11, 113:13 large 71:4 largely 22:9 last 8:4, 103:7, 120:5, 125:18 launching 138:3 law 3:14, 17:10, 37:17 lawyers 31:14 lay 72:11 lays 69:15, 124:10 lead 23:16 leaning 112:2			

117:9 lol 55:1, 55:4, 110:1, 110:4, 110:9, 110:13, 110:16 long 8:9, 21:7, 25:17, 99:18, 112:20 longer 15:19, 58:12 look 12:4, 21:13, 73:20, 78:4, 83:3, 84:17, 95:14, 104:14, 121:10, 124:15, 127:15, 127:18 looked 32:3, 32:5, 32:6, 32:7, 51:22, 99:19, 123:5 looking 21:22, 29:14, 29:18, 31:21, 32:2, 37:22, 42:14, 46:16, 47:8, 47:9, 47:13, 47:16, 75:22, 76:20, 84:11, 95:10, 106:19, 106:20, 114:21, 119:5, 133:20 looks 46:7 loss 23:16, 54:19, 55:1, 55:15, 56:2, 110:1, 114:8, 114:11, 127:10, 128:2 lost 47:11, 108:7 lot 21:5	louder 111:17, 111:20, 111:21 low-power 76:8 lunch 58:12, 58:17, 66:18, 67:15 M made 28:1, 50:6, 53:8, 53:9, 94:12 madison 3:15, 51:18, 52:17 main 57:8, 62:2, 95:2, 95:8, 95:18, 95:22 mains 32:12 make 6:19, 9:6, 79:3, 104:17, 111:6, 111:18, 113:3, 115:18, 119:14, 130:8, 133:10 makes 24:8, 71:9, 77:15, 79:14 making 18:17, 48:4, 72:9, 88:21 management 78:7, 78:21, 79:8 manager 133:15 manual 99:10, 99:11, 99:14, 100:12, 103:9, 103:20, 104:8, 104:14, 105:8 manually 50:22	manuals 32:3, 102:17 manufacturing 22:21 many 5:14, 8:6, 88:19, 89:11 mapic 119:8, 122:10 march 20:9, 20:17, 31:1 marked 4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 10:7, 13:3, 15:15, 19:10, 20:5, 75:3, 99:1, 106:1 marriage 143:3 massachusetts 3:8 master 57:12, 62:5, 62:12 match 12:2 materials 42:6 matter 39:1, 71:8, 71:11, 71:17, 72:10, 84:12, 107:14, 109:2, 143:6 matters 20:15, 21:4, 47:1, 74:22 maybe 11:22, 34:3, 58:11 mckinley 51:18, 52:17 mean 5:21, 7:19, 21:22, 25:14, 29:5, 43:11, 49:1, 49:13,	65:18, 71:22, 72:4, 88:10, 93:4, 115:6 meaning 47:1, 104:2 means 49:20, 71:9, 90:19, 120:19, 124:11, 129:17, 133:5 meant 16:5, 53:4, 76:22, 88:9, 136:6 meet 8:1, 8:9, 67:8 meets 103:1 memory 85:11, 122:5 mention 36:10 mentioned 15:12, 23:13, 63:9, 70:19, 81:20, 87:12, 133:16 merced 51:18, 52:17 met 7:12, 7:14, 8:3, 8:6 method 54:18, 54:22, 55:2, 55:14, 76:1, 76:14, 82:9, 109:22, 110:2, 110:8, 110:11, 110:16 mic 111:12 microphone 112:3 microprocessor 24:8 microprocessors 57:7, 62:1, 103:16
---	---	--	---

microwave 22:16, 64:22 might 15:12, 111:13, 111:20, 112:3 mind 23:6, 30:8, 42:18 minimum 63:8 minute 10:2, 17:13, 44:13, 44:15, 66:20, 126:10 minutes 35:16, 35:17, 68:2, 98:14, 98:15, 126:2 mischaracterizat- ion 34:21 mischaracterize 25:22 mischaracterized 72:20 mischaracterizes 25:16, 34:14, 67:6, 72:18 mischaracterizing 25:18, 34:17 misheard 11:22 misleading 33:16 misquoting 47:6 missed 77:7 missing 126:12 mister 82:8 misunderstood 13:12 mode 76:8 model 104:2, 104:5	modern 63:22 moisture 22:22 moment 15:17 more 13:15, 58:11, 66:9, 66:10, 77:15, 79:15, 91:13, 96:21, 105:15, 117:22, 139:20, 139:21 morning 5:6, 5:7, 111:17 most 27:10, 31:14 mostly 31:6 motorola 103:16 moved 22:5, 22:7, 22:11 mp 76:6, 92:14, 92:20, 95:4 much 63:12, 63:22, 77:15, 79:14, 103:18, 123:15, 125:22, 139:19 multi-point 64:21 multiple 23:19, 33:20, 43:5, 64:3, 80:4, 80:6, 83:9, 83:16, 84:5, 84:10, 85:9, 85:19, 86:8, 98:2, 98:7 multiprocessor 32:18, 32:19, 33:5, 33:18, 33:19, 33:21, 34:4, 34:7,	34:12, 35:5, 35:10, 36:1, 36:7, 36:14, 36:19, 39:9, 40:10, 40:21, 41:12, 41:19, 43:1, 48:13, 48:22, 55:2, 63:2, 74:14, 75:21, 76:6, 76:10, 76:11, 77:14, 77:17, 82:16, 84:9, 85:8, 86:2, 86:15, 90:13, 91:5, 92:5, 94:10, 110:2, 133:11 multiprocessors 90:15 must 63:8, 120:9, 120:11, 121:16, 137:22 myself 58:3, 68:5 N n-i-g-e-l 5:10 name 5:9, 16:9, 16:12, 46:20, 66:13, 66:16, 124:9 names 17:19, 51:18, 52:17 narrow 34:2, 54:9 narrower 69:18 near-infrared 22:22 nearly 42:13 necessarily 8:15, 9:9,	32:11, 40:1, 63:15 need 6:12, 7:2, 15:13, 38:5, 41:7, 73:22, 80:20, 91:13, 93:16, 96:4, 96:10, 96:12, 130:1 needs 97:22, 123:20, 129:18, 137:2, 137:16 neither 66:10, 68:4, 68:19 network 64:20, 87:10, 98:4 networking 22:17 never 5:19, 103:3, 107:22, 108:3, 108:5, 108:10, 108:17 new 3:16, 103:18, 137:2 next 6:9, 136:1, 136:17, 137:1, 137:4 nigel 1:12, 2:1, 4:2, 5:2, 5:10, 141:2, 142:5, 142:16 nodding 6:13 non-ia 87:6 non-ipf 63:14, 63:19, 64:6, 64:11, 64:14 none 4:6
--	--	--	---

north 1:4 nos 1:4, 1:7 notation 112:20 note 6:4, 11:12, 17:15, 45:9, 50:17, 51:2, 52:6, 60:4 noted 11:11 nothing 33:11, 37:8, 42:18, 83:22, 85:3, 91:12, 117:22, 118:2, 133:14, 135:16 notice 2:5, 34:17, 112:18 number 5:15, 10:20, 11:18, 11:19, 11:22, 12:1, 12:8, 12:10, 12:12, 12:15, 15:1, 15:6, 16:10, 18:13, 18:15, 19:2, 21:18, 85:21, 98:20, 100:7, 100:8, 104:2, 104:5, 112:15, 117:22 numbering 111:4, 111:7, 112:8, 112:12, 112:17, 113:16 numbers 10:16, 18:12, 19:1, 60:13, 126:11	objecting 54:4 objection 9:1, 9:3, 25:15, 32:21, 33:8, 34:13, 36:21, 37:10, 39:17, 43:3, 43:21, 48:14, 49:5, 49:11, 49:17, 50:9, 53:12, 53:21, 59:6, 59:14, 66:2, 67:5, 70:7, 72:17, 73:16, 73:18, 73:19, 74:12, 84:7, 86:3, 87:2, 92:8, 93:5, 93:10, 94:11, 95:9, 95:19, 96:6, 100:3, 102:11, 102:19, 104:16, 105:10, 128:18, 135:5, 136:4 objections 53:17, 73:7 obvious 113:10 obviously 32:3, 69:19, 95:21, 104:20 occurred 142:16 occurs 120:17, 120:19 october 26:18, 45:3, 143:12 offering 107:19 office 1:1 oh 8:20, 22:18, 23:1, 30:18, 34:6, 46:17,	50:14, 71:21, 97:7, 97:9, 97:10, 97:21, 113:5, 128:6 okay 6:10, 6:15, 6:22, 7:6, 10:8, 10:11, 10:18, 10:21, 11:5, 12:4, 12:14, 12:17, 14:4, 14:6, 14:8, 15:11, 15:21, 16:2, 16:9, 18:1, 18:5, 19:6, 19:7, 19:12, 19:16, 20:2, 20:7, 21:15, 35:14, 35:15, 35:18, 44:16, 51:8, 56:13, 58:13, 58:16, 59:1, 66:17, 67:15, 75:7, 75:10, 79:5, 79:17, 87:3, 88:9, 88:10, 89:22, 91:11, 92:13, 94:21, 95:13, 95:16, 97:12, 99:3, 99:6, 99:12, 99:15, 101:18, 102:9, 105:3, 106:3, 111:15, 112:22, 113:17, 114:1, 114:13, 114:19, 114:21, 115:17, 115:20, 115:21, 117:2, 122:7, 122:8, 123:9, 123:12, 125:13, 127:17, 128:5, 128:11, 128:12, 131:16, 132:1, 132:11, 134:3 once 8:8, 34:17,	81:18, 122:2, 122:11, 124:17 one 8:10, 10:2, 11:7, 11:14, 13:14, 13:22, 16:6, 17:12, 26:22, 30:7, 37:20, 38:20, 39:5, 42:10, 43:6, 43:9, 43:11, 44:1, 44:4, 44:7, 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 44:15, 45:9, 45:16, 46:2, 46:12, 46:15, 46:18, 47:14, 47:18, 54:9, 54:22, 55:20, 58:4, 60:22, 62:19, 64:3, 66:5, 66:7, 66:8, 66:20, 67:2, 67:8, 67:13, 70:8, 70:15, 71:3, 76:5, 82:15, 84:8, 84:19, 85:6, 86:8, 86:21, 87:7, 87:17, 87:18, 87:19, 88:6, 88:10, 88:21, 89:1, 89:5, 89:16, 89:17, 89:19, 90:12, 90:14, 90:16, 91:7, 92:15, 93:13, 94:17, 95:22, 96:17, 97:13, 98:7, 109:20, 109:22, 110:12, 110:14, 112:10, 120:14, 124:16, 126:3, 126:10, 127:1, 127:6,
--	---	--	--

127:22, 129:10, 132:22, 134:5, 135:17, 136:11, 139:20, 139:21 one-word 53:17, 73:6 only 13:14, 13:19, 13:20, 13:22, 30:6, 45:7, 90:21, 121:19, 132:7 open 10:1, 10:4, 16:1, 16:15, 17:22, 19:12, 20:6, 20:7, 106:3 opened 10:8 operable 56:1, 127:9, 128:1, 129:4, 129:16 operate 28:19 operating 55:7, 63:3, 63:9, 63:18, 64:5, 65:6, 65:11, 109:18, 110:7, 114:6, 114:10, 114:19, 115:5, 116:2, 116:11, 120:19, 122:6, 122:22, 124:3, 124:6, 124:17, 125:9, 125:12, 133:20, 135:3, 135:10, 135:11, 135:18, 136:9, 136:16, 137:3, 137:8, 137:12, 137:17 operation 134:20 operations 115:2, 115:7,	115:8, 115:12, 116:8, 128:15, 128:20 opine 66:8, 68:9 opined 68:5 opining 8:21, 70:8 opinion 26:11, 36:17, 37:7, 37:15, 38:15, 40:2, 40:3, 40:4, 44:1, 44:4, 45:11, 46:2, 46:11, 60:1, 61:5, 61:6, 61:11, 61:13, 61:17, 67:2, 67:9, 69:7, 90:4, 91:17, 101:21, 106:22, 107:1, 107:16, 107:19, 125:4, 125:5, 130:13, 130:16, 131:1, 131:2, 137:7 opinions 36:5, 36:8, 36:12, 37:4, 39:3, 39:22, 40:8, 41:10, 41:17, 43:7, 44:7 opposed 73:19 order 16:6, 87:11, 137:20 ordinary 26:11, 26:22, 37:20, 38:21, 39:5, 40:9, 42:11, 42:21, 43:6, 43:9, 43:11, 43:17, 43:19, 44:1,	44:4, 44:7, 44:10, 44:11, 44:21, 45:9, 45:16, 46:2, 46:13, 47:14, 47:18, 48:9, 58:4, 60:22, 65:20, 66:5, 66:7, 66:8, 67:2, 67:7, 67:9, 67:13, 67:21, 68:5, 68:17, 69:4, 69:8, 69:13, 69:17, 70:2, 70:9, 70:16, 70:19, 71:3, 71:12, 81:12, 83:14, 84:3, 84:8, 85:7, 86:22, 89:19, 93:15, 93:21, 96:3, 96:9, 96:14, 97:1, 97:13 original 90:3 os 92:22, 122:18, 122:22, 123:10, 123:11, 132:13, 133:1, 133:4, 133:5, 138:4, 138:18, 138:21, 139:3 os-specific 123:14 other 6:5, 8:11, 8:18, 11:15, 20:15, 24:18, 38:17, 59:11, 59:22, 60:1, 60:6, 62:15, 63:5, 65:14, 65:21, 74:20, 86:5, 86:14, 86:18, 87:3,	87:18, 87:20, 88:7, 88:8, 88:11, 89:1, 89:5, 89:17, 89:18, 90:11, 91:13, 115:2, 116:8, 120:3, 124:20, 134:11, 135:18 otherwise 120:9, 121:17, 139:19, 143:5 out 28:17, 33:12, 41:6, 44:2, 44:5, 60:17, 61:8, 67:10, 69:15, 70:14, 70:15, 72:11, 74:19, 91:16, 103:2, 103:14, 103:19, 104:19, 108:1, 112:8, 119:1, 120:15, 122:4, 123:18, 124:10, 137:19 outcome 143:6 output 78:8, 79:9, 92:18, 94:6, 94:15 outstanding 11:7 over 6:5, 73:11, 81:18, 87:10, 98:3, 98:11, 107:21 overlap 10:17 own 45:13, 53:6 owner 1:9, 3:11 P p0 95:3
--	--	--	---

p1 95:3 packard 50:18, 51:1, 51:3, 51:13, 51:20, 52:7, 52:12, 52:19, 52:22, 53:9 page 4:2, 4:9, 4:15, 12:4, 12:7, 18:8, 18:9, 18:12, 18:13, 18:15, 18:18, 18:19, 18:20, 18:21, 19:1, 19:2, 19:19, 100:7, 100:8, 100:11, 109:20, 111:7, 113:15 pages 1:21, 99:18 pair 87:17, 110:12, 110:15 pairs 87:17 pal 63:11, 63:15 pantheon 71:3, 72:4 par 133:15 paragraph 21:13, 26:7, 26:10, 43:13, 43:16, 44:3, 44:6, 45:19, 47:17, 47:21, 56:14, 56:15, 57:17, 61:16, 62:8, 62:9, 63:1, 66:6, 66:7, 67:10, 69:21, 70:14, 72:11, 77:5, 77:10, 78:4, 79:4, 79:5,	81:3, 81:7, 91:15, 91:18, 92:2, 92:12, 92:14, 93:12, 94:15, 94:20, 95:11, 95:12, 95:15, 100:11, 101:2, 101:20, 113:7, 116:22, 117:2, 117:5, 118:17, 118:18, 119:6, 125:13, 131:14, 131:17, 131:20, 134:19, 135:17, 138:8 paragraphs 46:10, 131:9, 131:11 parallel 51:15, 52:14 parameter 32:13 parmgr 133:16 parsing 96:18 part 9:18, 11:13, 37:14, 39:2, 63:3, 83:1, 100:12, 121:11, 124:22 partes 5:17 particular 16:5, 33:10, 66:4, 74:15, 102:22, 124:12 particulars 105:14 parties 112:14, 143:2, 143:4 party 142:11 pass 121:17, 137:21 passage 56:20, 62:10,	62:16, 62:18, 62:19, 132:2 passages 57:22, 60:12 passed 57:10, 62:3, 120:6 past 35:17, 66:17 pasting 47:7 patent 1:1, 1:2, 1:7, 1:9, 3:11, 10:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:16, 12:18, 13:1, 13:10, 14:5, 14:9, 15:1, 15:6, 16:8, 16:10, 16:14, 16:16, 17:17, 26:12, 26:18, 33:2, 44:2, 44:5, 44:21, 45:1, 49:9, 50:7, 50:11, 50:19, 51:3, 52:2, 52:5, 53:10, 53:11, 54:10, 54:15, 54:17, 55:9, 55:11, 55:13, 56:5, 56:16, 56:20, 57:1, 60:4, 60:11, 60:16, 61:14, 62:10, 62:11, 68:18, 70:4, 74:18, 80:14, 80:22, 81:1, 82:19, 101:9, 102:3, 107:5, 109:5, 109:7, 109:12, 109:15, 109:20, 110:20, 114:4, 117:17, 122:15, 125:7,	126:7, 126:9, 126:15, 126:19, 127:1, 127:3, 127:14, 127:16, 130:4, 131:21, 134:4 patent's 130:14 patents 7:10, 8:12, 11:16, 44:8, 46:20, 48:1, 48:11, 49:3, 54:3, 54:14, 58:3, 107:18, 109:4 pending 7:4, 39:19, 112:4 people 31:6, 71:4, 72:6, 105:18 percent 21:12 percentage 21:10 perfectly 37:2, 73:12, 136:19 perform 62:15, 63:5, 63:17, 65:4, 96:5, 96:11, 96:16, 97:3, 115:13, 129:19, 137:2 performed 57:7, 61:22, 123:4 performing 128:15, 128:21 performs 63:20, 64:11, 64:16, 65:15, 66:1 perhaps 88:20, 111:12, 112:2
---	--	---	--

permitted 53:21 permutations 87:22 persevere 112:1 person 26:11, 28:22, 43:17, 43:18, 44:19, 44:21, 48:9, 65:20, 66:4, 67:7, 67:20, 68:5, 68:17, 69:4, 69:7, 69:13, 69:17, 70:2, 70:19, 71:11, 81:12, 83:14, 84:3, 86:21, 86:22, 93:15, 93:21, 96:3, 96:9, 96:14, 97:1, 105:5, 105:8 perspective 86:21 petition 9:15, 38:2, 39:1, 39:2, 42:15, 42:16, 43:7, 110:22, 111:1, 112:5, 114:3 petition's 112:17 petitioner 1:6, 3:2, 5:4, 7:11, 117:18 petitions 111:5, 112:9 ph 3:3 phonetic 22:19 phrase 76:14 pid 22:5	places 46:20 plan 10:15 platform 57:8, 62:1 play 124:5 please 5:8, 6:3, 6:4, 6:6, 6:18, 7:4, 10:2, 10:4, 11:7, 13:4, 17:2, 17:22, 18:8, 18:9, 19:11, 20:6, 24:3, 26:1, 27:15, 28:9, 34:21, 41:16, 44:13, 44:15, 46:17, 49:8, 53:15, 66:19, 80:21, 81:1, 85:5, 99:2, 106:2, 109:10, 126:10, 126:14, 136:18, 139:12 plethora 90:1, 90:12 plurality 55:18, 55:20, 55:22, 127:4, 127:6, 127:8, 129:11, 129:12, 134:6 point 18:17, 20:13, 38:13, 46:6, 48:4, 58:8, 61:8, 66:10, 70:13, 71:14, 72:7, 72:9, 85:14, 88:21, 89:10, 91:10, 113:5, 116:20, 121:13, 121:22, 122:18, 122:21, 123:14, 123:17,	125:17, 130:8, 138:1, 138:4, 138:18 point-to-point 64:21 pointed 47:16, 60:17, 119:7, 121:20, 137:19 pointing 121:2, 122:10 points 119:2, 120:15 portions 99:22, 100:1 posa 83:14 posita 45:11, 68:10, 68:20, 72:3, 72:13, 74:4, 82:3, 82:7, 82:18, 83:3, 84:16, 85:17, 101:8, 101:9, 102:2, 102:4, 104:20, 107:4, 117:6 position 21:8, 58:4, 116:15 positions 20:12, 20:17 possibilities 87:3, 88:2, 98:7 possibility 136:20 possible 88:22 possibly 118:6, 118:10, 119:12, 120:10, 120:21, 121:17, 122:12, 130:11 post 92:20, 95:3 power 28:21, 32:12,	32:15, 57:6, 57:10, 61:22, 62:4, 92:19, 93:7, 93:8, 119:1, 120:4, 120:6, 120:8, 120:10, 121:12, 121:17, 123:17, 124:1, 137:21 powered 32:10, 32:11, 57:5, 61:21 powering 121:12, 121:14 practice 45:4 pre-ellipses 88:19 preamble 112:10 preceding 62:19 preclude 137:7 precluded 133:22 precludes 137:11, 137:14 precluding 63:7 prefer 18:16 prefers 53:17, 73:6 premise 90:7, 105:17 preparation 7:19 prepare 7:8 presented 101:6, 101:22, 103:1 president 20:20 pretty 63:12, 63:22, 86:11, 103:18,
---	---	---	--

110:19, 113:13, 123:14 previous 11:6, 37:11, 38:11, 46:15, 59:9, 63:10, 73:1, 87:12 previously 10:6, 13:2, 15:14, 19:9, 20:4, 40:6, 40:13, 40:15, 75:3, 99:1, 106:1 principles 37:13, 38:19, 42:9 printed 18:13 prior 4:7, 7:11, 8:12, 8:15, 8:16, 8:22, 9:7, 9:9, 9:15, 25:16, 26:15, 34:14, 36:20, 48:13, 49:2, 64:7, 64:15, 65:14, 65:22, 67:6, 72:18, 103:4, 109:1 priority 26:18, 44:20, 44:22, 107:18 probably 60:12 proceeding 5:20 proceedings 5:17, 39:21, 43:20 proceeds 57:4, 61:20 process 57:3, 61:19, 65:10, 95:1, 119:20, 121:4, 122:22, 123:5,	137:17, 137:21, 138:3, 138:9, 138:10, 138:12 processes 51:10, 120:3 processing 27:20, 92:15, 93:1, 94:17 processor's 123:6 processors 23:20, 24:1, 24:5, 24:11, 25:1, 27:21, 29:4, 29:6, 29:8, 29:12, 29:15, 29:21, 30:1, 30:6, 30:7, 31:16, 31:22, 32:7, 33:20, 49:4, 49:10, 49:14, 51:11, 51:16, 51:17, 52:9, 52:10, 52:15, 52:16, 52:19, 55:18, 55:20, 55:22, 57:9, 62:2, 64:3, 65:1, 65:9, 66:9, 67:4, 67:12, 67:22, 68:6, 74:21, 80:4, 80:7, 80:9, 80:11, 83:9, 83:11, 83:12, 83:17, 84:20, 85:19, 86:8, 87:14, 87:17, 87:18, 87:21, 88:11, 88:14, 88:18, 88:20, 88:22, 89:1, 89:2, 89:5, 89:6, 89:17, 89:18, 91:1, 91:8, 95:3, 95:8,	95:18, 95:22, 98:3, 100:15, 102:15, 102:18, 102:21, 103:10, 103:18, 110:10, 110:13, 110:15, 127:4, 127:6, 127:8, 129:11, 129:13, 134:6, 136:12 products 22:14 professional 20:12, 20:17 program 122:7, 122:19, 123:10, 123:11, 133:16, 133:17, 135:12, 136:17, 138:4, 138:19 programming 100:14 pronouncing 66:13, 66:15 pronunciation 139:17 proper 33:15 properly 48:8 proposed 45:12 prosecution 58:2, 59:4, 59:13, 60:2, 60:16 provide 31:9, 31:12, 113:2 provided 18:2, 19:14, 31:11, 76:7, 77:19, 78:8, 78:12, 78:17, 79:8, 79:18, 79:20, 82:16, 95:1 provides 70:4	providing 132:20 ptab 39:21, 40:2, 53:17, 73:6 public 2:6, 142:2, 143:15 publicly 107:17 published 103:10, 105:9, 107:6, 107:13, 108:13, 108:15, 108:22 publishes 102:17, 102:20 purely 69:2, 86:7, 87:14 pursuant 2:5 put 34:16, 58:3, 96:18, 108:1 Q qualified 81:19 qualify 45:11 quantities 107:21 question 6:7, 6:9, 6:18, 6:19, 6:20, 6:21, 7:3, 7:4, 9:11, 10:22, 11:6, 12:19, 12:21, 13:12, 13:16, 14:18, 24:2, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 24:21, 25:3, 25:5, 25:8, 25:9, 25:10, 25:14, 25:19, 25:21, 26:1,
--	---	---	--

28:8, 28:12, 33:9, 33:12, 33:14, 34:6, 34:8, 35:2, 35:4, 35:13, 36:11, 36:12, 36:16, 36:18, 37:2, 38:11, 39:11, 39:14, 39:19, 40:12, 40:14, 40:18, 41:16, 41:21, 42:2, 42:4, 43:4, 45:8, 46:15, 49:7, 50:1, 50:10, 53:14, 53:15, 53:18, 54:4, 54:6, 54:9, 59:9, 59:17, 59:18, 64:8, 68:7, 68:12, 68:15, 69:3, 69:10, 73:11, 73:15, 74:2, 74:9, 78:14, 80:19, 90:3, 93:18, 95:16, 97:12, 97:17, 108:17, 112:4, 112:7, 112:13, 126:14, 128:19, 132:6, 139:20 questioning 111:11 questions 40:1, 54:2, 58:11, 58:22, 59:19, 102:2, 139:9, 139:16, 139:17, 140:6, 140:7 quick 77:8 quickly 38:21 quite 28:13, 60:17,	64:18, 73:20, 77:7, 96:8, 112:19 quote 57:14, 118:6, 123:15 quoted 45:18, 45:22, 56:21, 60:11, 62:10, 85:13, 113:11, 113:12 R rack 35:8 radiofrequency-b- ased 23:2 randomly 126:12 read 39:2, 40:17, 48:22, 52:20, 57:17, 62:19, 71:20, 72:8, 76:14, 77:8, 82:7, 82:18, 99:18, 100:18, 107:8, 107:10, 108:21, 109:1, 122:9, 122:17, 141:3 read-only 122:5 reading 48:20, 70:16, 81:21, 82:6, 82:7, 84:16 reads 55:17, 61:18, 109:22, 127:3 real 125:4 realize 73:3 realized 61:2 really 35:12, 61:17,	70:8, 81:20, 84:12 reasked 40:11 reason 38:10, 76:13, 103:13, 106:13 reasonable 48:17, 127:13 reasonably 127:2 reasons 113:8 reboot 137:2, 137:4 reboots 136:2 recall 8:18, 29:3, 29:10, 29:14, 31:16, 31:19, 31:21, 35:9, 46:5, 65:8, 65:12, 65:17, 103:9, 103:15, 103:22, 104:8 recess 35:19, 67:17, 98:17, 126:5, 139:14 recite 115:1, 116:5, 125:7, 128:14, 128:20, 139:4 recited 115:13 recites 114:5, 114:14, 128:1 recognize 10:11, 11:8, 13:11, 14:6, 16:3, 16:7, 16:15, 75:8, 84:10, 85:8, 92:18, 99:4, 106:4, 114:7, 114:10	recollection 29:18, 32:2, 92:9 record 5:9, 6:14, 10:14, 14:2, 17:15, 28:6, 57:18, 73:20, 76:14, 100:18, 126:1, 140:8, 142:9 recorders 22:5 recovering 55:15 redirect 139:9 reduced 90:2, 90:18, 90:19 redundant 27:20, 28:17, 28:19, 28:20 refer 11:20, 15:2, 15:8, 17:6, 18:5, 18:12, 18:15, 19:1, 19:16, 31:5, 75:10, 99:6, 112:22, 113:21 reference 33:10, 38:1, 38:22, 42:15, 48:1, 48:21, 60:6, 60:18, 75:11, 80:17 referenced 99:21 references 9:14, 51:1 referred 42:8 referring 9:8, 10:22, 11:4, 14:8, 18:12, 19:2, 21:21, 29:10,
--	---	---	--

45:21, 46:8, 62:18, 100:7, 113:13, 115:18, 122:15 refers 46:20 reflects 20:11, 20:16 refresh 15:13 regarding 61:13, 74:3, 84:17, 111:7 reiterated 25:21 related 11:14, 11:15, 21:4, 23:22, 26:12, 30:12, 32:15, 143:1 relating 26:16, 31:17, 101:4 relatively 125:17 relevance 107:14 relevant 9:18, 83:2, 101:10, 101:13, 102:5, 102:8, 105:14 reliable 106:15 relied 61:4, 61:10 relies 101:3 reload 15:17 rely 87:10 relying 84:20, 117:18 remaining 91:8 remains 76:8	remember 29:7, 103:13, 112:6 remote 6:2 renders 113:10 repeat 24:21, 28:8, 64:13 repeated 73:15 rephrase 6:19, 93:19 replacing 76:1, 76:15, 82:10 reported 1:22 reporter 6:5, 6:14, 33:7, 40:16, 88:16, 111:14, 125:21, 139:16 repository 15:18 represent 12:14 represented 142:12 reputable 107:22 require 116:8 required 97:14 requirements 32:16, 67:8, 74:16, 103:1 requiring 76:3, 82:12 reread 73:1 reserve 76:7, 82:17 reserved 117:10 reset 119:1, 123:18	respect 41:21, 42:5, 42:19, 56:9, 56:11, 129:7, 130:13 respectfully 53:16, 53:20, 73:5 respond 92:21 responsibilities 62:22, 63:6 responsive 54:19, 56:1, 127:9, 128:2 rest 128:6 restating 25:3 result 48:6 retained 17:9, 102:10, 103:4, 108:6, 108:11, 108:18, 109:2 returning 134:19 review 5:17, 8:11, 38:6 reviewed 7:10, 7:11, 8:17, 14:11, 14:14, 16:18, 16:20, 75:13, 75:15, 99:17, 100:1, 106:6, 106:8, 108:17, 109:7, 109:11, 110:22, 117:2, 126:8, 126:15 reviewing 8:18 right 16:9, 18:20, 22:4, 28:1, 38:3, 51:6,	52:3, 61:9, 66:21, 70:6, 77:7, 85:2, 85:10, 88:12, 91:10, 104:22, 106:20, 107:18, 109:3, 113:12, 116:18, 118:12, 119:5, 119:20, 119:21, 121:4, 121:5, 122:22, 123:1, 128:13, 130:8, 130:21, 131:9, 137:5, 138:2, 138:5, 139:15 right-hand 18:19 rightly 60:17 rmb 20:21, 21:9 robert 3:12 role 21:3, 21:8, 39:20, 54:18, 55:4, 55:5, 55:19, 55:21, 56:3, 56:21, 63:3, 94:9, 109:16, 110:4, 110:5, 110:10, 110:17, 114:17, 115:3, 115:14, 115:22, 116:4, 116:9, 116:18, 117:12, 117:20, 118:7, 118:11, 118:14, 119:15, 121:16, 121:19, 123:4, 123:6, 124:5, 124:8, 124:20, 125:8, 125:10, 126:21, 127:5, 127:7, 127:11, 128:4, 128:16, 128:22,
--	--	--	---

129:5, 129:10, 129:11, 129:15, 129:17, 129:20, 129:22, 130:5, 130:14, 130:20, 131:4, 131:13, 134:5, 134:7, 134:8, 134:16, 135:1, 136:11, 139:5 roles 62:12, 65:3 run 57:9, 62:2, 119:13, 120:19, 122:11, 133:12, 133:17, 135:12, 136:17 running 84:19, 85:15, 92:3, 92:5, 123:1, 124:6, 124:17, 130:10, 133:5, 133:21, 135:3, 135:12, 135:19, 136:9, 136:10, 137:12, 137:13, 137:17, 137:22, 138:21, 139:3 runs 120:4 runtime 56:3, 124:21, 125:3, 126:20, 127:11, 128:4, 128:16, 128:21, 129:5, 139:6 S safety 23:15 safford 113:10 said 12:2, 26:10, 30:12, 30:16, 32:5, 34:18,	34:19, 36:3, 39:4, 44:15, 62:11, 63:1, 67:19, 72:22, 73:2, 73:8, 74:3, 74:8, 83:22, 85:5, 85:6, 85:12, 86:6, 88:5, 88:8, 89:8, 90:8, 90:10, 90:11, 96:1, 96:8, 107:12, 112:2, 113:8, 114:7, 114:8, 114:11, 117:4, 117:5, 118:8, 128:2, 128:4, 128:16, 128:22, 129:6, 129:11, 129:12, 130:4, 130:19, 131:19, 135:20, 135:21, 136:5 sal 63:11, 63:16 same 6:6, 17:16, 33:8, 40:12, 41:21, 42:4, 45:3, 45:4, 45:17, 72:8, 73:11, 77:8, 95:14, 106:22, 107:2, 111:6, 113:4, 113:15, 114:3, 119:9, 131:2, 138:11, 141:4 sanity 57:6, 61:21 saw 77:12 say 17:2, 33:19, 36:4, 40:20, 41:2, 42:6, 47:11, 55:9,	56:5, 57:15, 58:19, 59:21, 67:11, 67:20, 68:9, 69:5, 77:8, 77:13, 82:2, 82:19, 83:4, 88:9, 90:20, 91:21, 93:14, 99:12, 99:13, 100:20, 106:17, 109:10, 111:3, 111:8, 116:20, 117:3, 133:11, 133:15, 134:15, 135:12, 136:6, 136:8 saying 23:5, 47:20, 62:17, 68:20, 70:2, 71:2, 72:13, 96:18, 115:17, 119:15, 121:7, 121:10, 123:3, 123:9, 129:6, 131:18, 136:7, 136:9, 137:6, 137:8, 137:13, 137:14 says 17:17, 20:20, 51:10, 75:22, 77:13, 78:5, 79:6, 79:19, 92:14, 93:12, 94:3, 94:22, 98:8, 100:12, 100:18, 117:14, 118:2, 120:6, 121:12, 123:19, 123:22, 124:15, 124:16, 129:3, 134:4, 134:18, 136:17 scratch 43:10, 108:4 screen 14:5, 17:19 se 37:16	seaport 3:7 search 50:21, 77:21 searchable 50:20 second 15:5, 16:1, 16:5, 17:22, 89:21, 117:6 section 42:10, 42:11, 43:6, 57:13, 62:21, 133:17 sections 42:20, 99:20 see 12:7, 13:4, 13:17, 13:20, 13:22, 15:13, 16:9, 16:13, 16:15, 19:11, 35:9, 38:1, 38:22, 42:15, 47:22, 54:21, 66:21, 73:1, 73:13, 76:20, 78:10, 78:11, 79:12, 85:3, 90:6, 93:2, 94:3, 95:5, 99:2, 106:2, 106:11, 111:18, 112:16, 112:22, 113:5, 120:5, 133:14, 133:22, 134:13, 137:9, 137:14 seeing 10:9, 13:5, 13:13, 13:15, 104:8 seeking 24:14 seems 50:14, 111:9 seen 103:3, 103:6,
---	--	--	---

107:22, 108:3, 108:5, 108:10 selected 121:8, 131:6, 137:16, 138:20 selecting 133:4 selection 101:5 selects 135:2, 138:12 self-test 57:7, 61:22, 92:20, 93:7, 93:8 send 58:17 senior 105:15 sense 77:15, 79:3, 79:15 sentence 78:5, 78:20, 79:6, 94:22, 109:20, 117:6, 118:13, 120:5, 122:17, 127:1 separate 31:7, 31:9 separately 54:14 september 1:14, 142:17, 143:9 server 76:2, 76:16, 82:11, 82:21 session 4:5 sessions 4:7 set 24:18, 31:22, 95:7, 95:17, 100:13, 111:5, 135:1, 142:6, 143:8	seth 3:13 setting 95:2 setup 111:16 several 8:3, 8:5, 20:14 shall 58:19 share 10:9, 13:13, 13:21, 15:18, 17:12 sheet 141:7 sheets 32:2 short 73:17 shorthand 11:3 should 13:20 showing 51:19 shown 134:21 shows 88:17 shutting 55:6, 109:17, 110:6, 114:18, 115:4, 116:1, 116:10, 125:9, 125:12 signature 18:10, 19:6, 19:22, 141:10 signature-5tmlq 143:13 signed 141:7 significant 32:13, 86:11 silent 92:1, 92:3 silicon 24:7	similar 60:14, 61:16, 101:19, 115:17 similarly 67:12 simplify 105:1 simply 6:13, 73:17, 90:6 since 6:2, 20:14, 68:3, 68:14 single 29:1, 53:22, 54:1, 90:21 sir 123:3 sit 35:8, 86:16 six 88:17, 89:8 skill 26:11, 26:22, 37:20, 38:21, 39:5, 40:9, 42:11, 42:21, 43:6, 43:9, 43:12, 43:17, 43:19, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 44:11, 44:19, 44:21, 45:9, 45:12, 45:16, 46:2, 46:13, 46:18, 47:14, 47:18, 48:9, 58:4, 60:22, 65:20, 66:5, 66:8, 67:2, 67:8, 67:9, 67:14, 67:21, 68:6, 68:17, 69:4, 69:8, 69:13, 69:17, 70:2, 70:9, 70:16, 70:19, 71:3,	71:12, 81:12, 83:15, 84:3, 84:8, 85:7, 86:22, 89:19, 93:15, 93:21, 96:3, 96:9, 96:14, 97:1, 97:13 slightly 68:13, 71:18 slowly 78:15, 96:21 smart 22:14 smi 78:7, 78:22 software 21:5, 22:9, 94:2, 96:1, 96:5, 96:11, 97:15, 99:9, 99:11, 99:12, 99:13, 102:17 sole 87:6 solely 38:13 some 6:1, 11:3, 22:2, 47:22, 57:6, 58:5, 61:21, 62:21, 76:10, 86:4, 86:18, 86:20, 105:18, 111:11, 120:2, 123:21, 124:13, 133:12, 139:17 someone 48:16, 48:20, 81:21, 82:6, 104:11, 104:13, 105:2, 105:3, 107:20 something 13:6, 24:20, 32:4, 32:6, 34:10, 35:11,
--	---	---	---

58:18, 66:14, 68:2, 68:4, 86:18, 96:19, 97:22, 103:6, 106:17, 108:21, 111:9, 111:13, 131:18 somewhere 30:10, 74:21, 78:1 soon 125:17 sophisticated 22:13, 22:15 sorry 8:20, 9:2, 12:11, 14:18, 17:2, 24:2, 28:12, 29:13, 44:14, 46:17, 47:4, 47:11, 56:17, 64:8, 64:12, 78:14, 83:14, 95:11, 95:13, 96:7, 96:17, 96:19, 100:21, 101:15, 106:19, 108:7, 108:8, 109:9, 111:5, 112:4, 116:19, 126:3, 127:19, 130:16, 131:17, 138:6, 138:8 sort 24:19, 35:8, 112:13, 119:9, 132:6 sorts 28:21 sound 111:10, 115:16 sounds 74:8, 85:12 spare 54:19, 55:6, 55:14, 55:21, 56:4, 92:16,	92:19, 92:21, 93:13, 94:18, 109:17, 110:6, 110:16, 110:18, 114:15, 114:18, 115:3, 115:10, 115:15, 115:22, 116:3, 116:10, 116:13, 116:17, 117:13, 125:11, 126:21, 127:7, 127:12, 128:4, 128:17, 128:22, 129:6, 129:12, 129:21, 130:1, 130:6, 130:15, 130:21, 132:4, 139:5 speak 7:18, 96:21, 111:17 speaking 73:19, 111:20, 111:21 special 124:13, 125:2 specific 29:18, 31:19, 33:2, 36:18, 63:12, 65:10 specifically 29:21 specification 58:2, 59:4, 59:12, 60:2, 60:3, 60:15, 69:15, 69:20, 70:17, 71:7, 71:20, 76:20, 77:4, 82:5, 99:7, 103:9, 124:10 specifications 29:11, 29:15 specified 37:11 specifies 120:22	specify 8:5, 132:13, 132:18, 133:1 spell 5:8 spelled 41:6, 91:16, 103:2 spells 70:14, 74:19 spend 31:12 spin 41:4 split 98:2 spoke 24:13 stage 120:8, 120:11 stamped 18:18 stand 52:1 stands 34:22 start 9:12, 25:18, 57:13, 62:17, 123:13 started 22:4, 29:16, 125:18 starting 57:2, 94:22, 117:5, 128:8, 128:9, 134:1 starts 61:17, 84:14, 122:3, 123:6, 123:11, 125:1, 138:16 state 5:8, 21:16, 81:3, 81:7, 101:3, 110:14 stated 25:10	statement 12:19, 27:1, 45:10, 46:10, 47:18 statements 81:10 states 1:1, 52:9, 116:12 stating 46:1, 73:17 stay 28:22, 139:18 step 116:2 steps 115:13, 137:7, 137:11 still 11:7, 20:22, 33:2, 46:15, 63:16, 80:16, 96:12, 106:19, 119:19, 122:21, 126:10, 140:7 storage 55:18, 127:4, 129:9, 129:15, 129:18, 129:21 storing 55:18, 127:4, 129:9, 129:15, 129:21 straight 104:19 strange 76:18 strict 45:5 strip 22:4 struggling 59:16 studied 32:15 studying 23:9, 24:22 stuff 123:14, 123:18
---	---	--	--

submitted 7:11, 9:15 substance 140:3 subtly 74:14 sufficient 117:20 suggest 47:2, 135:17 suggesting 117:19, 124:20 suggests 24:16, 124:19, 132:6 summarize 109:19 summarizing 126:22 summary 109:21, 110:19, 127:2, 127:13 supplies 28:21 suppose 46:6, 61:17 sure 46:8, 46:22, 48:15, 66:3, 78:1, 78:4, 78:16, 78:19, 79:1, 85:12, 86:17, 96:9, 100:5, 104:17, 111:6, 111:18, 112:7, 113:3, 116:22, 119:14, 129:2, 132:17, 133:9 switch 41:13, 109:3, 115:3, 126:7, 130:5 switched 61:3, 79:13 switching 54:18, 55:5, 109:16, 110:5,	110:17, 114:17, 115:14, 115:22, 116:9, 116:16, 117:7, 125:8, 125:10, 130:5 sworn 5:3, 142:6 system's 55:7, 78:21, 110:7, 114:6, 114:10, 114:18, 115:4, 116:1, 116:10, 122:4, 125:12, 132:4, 134:11 systems 21:17, 21:20, 22:9, 22:12, 23:8, 23:14, 23:15, 23:17, 28:18, 32:18, 33:22, 34:5, 36:7, 36:14, 36:19, 39:9, 40:10, 48:13, 63:15, 64:20, 72:14, 74:5, 74:10, 78:7, 79:7, 79:9, 79:10, 79:18 T table 120:16, 120:17, 122:10 take 7:3, 9:18, 17:22, 35:15, 50:19, 66:18, 67:15, 88:22, 91:14, 98:13, 139:11 taken 35:19, 67:17, 90:2, 90:18, 98:17, 126:5, 139:14, 142:8 takes 123:21	taking 7:5 talk 6:5, 109:4, 113:20, 120:13 talked 73:6, 138:2 talking 15:3, 15:9, 17:7, 32:17, 33:1, 33:4, 38:13, 51:5, 80:14, 80:16, 94:16, 94:19, 103:5, 112:21, 113:3, 113:19, 117:15, 117:16, 121:3, 123:11, 125:1, 130:3, 131:11, 132:15 talks 88:13, 119:8 technical 14:2, 28:6, 102:21, 107:21 technologies 1:8, 142:12 telecommunicatio- ns 22:16 tell 42:3, 88:3, 93:11, 95:20, 98:1, 98:4, 98:8, 103:6, 107:20, 112:18 telling 25:9, 61:11 tells 41:7 ten 35:16, 35:17, 98:14, 98:15, 126:2, 126:3 ten-minute 139:11 term 9:7, 49:20,	70:11, 70:20, 71:15, 77:2, 82:4, 99:10 terminate 73:13 terms 26:22, 33:1, 47:1, 73:17 testified 5:3, 5:19, 20:14, 30:22, 83:5, 102:14, 109:6, 109:11, 110:21, 126:8, 137:15 testifying 5:21 testimony 11:13, 25:16, 25:18, 34:14, 67:6, 72:18, 74:3, 140:3, 141:4, 141:5, 142:9 textual 45:5 th 3:15, 45:3, 143:9 thank 5:12, 9:5, 17:20, 23:7, 35:18, 93:20, 97:10, 99:16, 126:4, 127:22, 130:22, 131:20, 139:10, 139:19, 140:5 theoretical 88:1 thereafter 124:13 thereby 114:16 therein 101:3, 118:18, 119:7 thereof 87:22
--	---	--	---

thing 24:19, 48:17, 77:9, 98:1, 123:19, 132:7 things 28:21, 40:6, 54:5, 58:6, 72:5, 120:13, 120:15, 125:2, 132:21, 133:10, 138:7, 138:12, 138:16 think 5:15, 7:22, 8:7, 23:4, 30:10, 33:13, 33:15, 39:12, 40:17, 48:16, 48:20, 50:2, 50:7, 50:11, 52:1, 60:14, 60:19, 63:7, 66:7, 68:3, 68:12, 73:6, 74:21, 81:15, 81:21, 82:8, 82:18, 83:3, 84:8, 84:16, 85:6, 86:11, 86:17, 88:13, 89:13, 97:13, 99:8, 99:10, 106:13, 106:16, 109:20, 110:19, 111:10, 111:19, 113:11, 113:12, 113:15, 119:3, 119:8, 124:7, 124:14, 125:16, 127:1, 127:13, 135:6, 136:19, 137:5, 139:8, 139:12 thinking 78:2, 78:3, 128:6 third 72:21, 87:21,	94:22 thomas 82:9 thought 34:10, 34:18, 34:22, 35:7, 35:12, 35:22, 48:12, 48:16, 48:19, 49:1, 102:12, 125:18 thousands 74:13 three 8:7, 15:21, 28:4, 28:17, 73:17, 87:20, 88:7, 88:8, 88:18, 88:22, 89:4, 100:12 three-processor 27:19, 28:16 through 38:22, 42:14, 50:22, 56:16, 57:14, 57:18, 60:5, 60:19, 74:19, 77:8, 106:21, 118:19, 120:1, 122:1, 124:4, 131:12, 138:9, 138:15, 139:1 throwing 33:11 time 6:6, 6:17, 8:9, 21:10, 30:6, 31:13, 31:14, 34:1, 41:21, 58:5, 58:9, 59:18, 60:22, 72:21, 95:14, 98:11, 101:8, 101:13, 102:3, 102:8, 107:4, 119:10, 119:19, 125:18, 125:22, 135:13, 136:1,	136:15, 136:17, 137:1, 137:4 times 5:14, 8:3, 8:5, 8:6, 8:7, 39:12, 43:5, 81:18 title 55:13 titled 54:17 today 7:17, 15:5 today's 4:5, 7:8 together 40:5, 57:17 told 36:16, 37:3, 37:6, 39:12, 41:5, 61:10 tolerant 26:17 took 35:21, 140:2 top 89:10, 120:12 trademark 1:1 traditional 57:4, 61:19, 138:10 transcription 141:5 transfer 129:4, 129:7, 129:20 transferred 117:13 transferring 56:3, 126:20, 127:11, 128:3, 128:15, 128:21, 129:17, 130:14, 139:4 transition 32:17 tree 134:8	trial 1:2 triplets 87:19, 88:6, 89:2, 89:16 trouble 69:10 true 8:19, 8:20, 42:1, 90:8, 117:21, 141:4, 142:9 truncated 16:13 truth 112:18 try 59:20, 111:17 trying 9:6, 41:4, 95:13 turn 18:8, 19:19, 26:7, 41:9, 87:21, 100:8, 106:16, 116:22 turned 34:19 twenty-three 77:12 twice 92:10 two 3:7, 7:16, 8:10, 10:17, 11:13, 13:19, 13:20, 15:21, 17:11, 26:15, 28:17, 29:1, 44:8, 45:4, 46:11, 46:20, 47:2, 54:3, 54:5, 59:19, 87:21, 88:11, 89:1, 89:5, 89:17, 89:18, 138:7 type 21:2, 76:10,
--	--	---	--

103:22, 104:2 types 29:3, 29:5 typically 8:10, 32:20, 33:5, 94:7	115:6, 118:13, 119:14 understanding 11:14, 11:21, 37:16, 37:17, 39:20, 42:3, 43:16, 43:18, 49:19, 49:22, 50:4, 50:8, 50:12, 56:7, 57:15, 57:20, 59:2, 59:10, 62:14, 70:18, 83:15, 91:9, 100:4, 110:20, 118:14, 129:19 understood 6:16, 6:20, 25:8, 60:10, 68:10, 72:14, 74:4, 81:13, 82:3, 83:17, 101:9, 102:4, 107:11, 109:8, 109:12, 117:7, 126:17 underwater 30:5, 30:13, 31:18, 32:9 united 1:1 university 103:14, 103:15 unless 33:14 until 6:8, 118:5, 118:9, 139:18 updated 129:18, 130:1 updating 31:13 upload 12:22, 17:11 uploaded 10:3, 13:15, 13:19, 17:21, 19:7, 50:20	uploading 15:11 use 9:7, 22:14, 28:4, 53:6, 64:1, 72:15, 79:21, 82:4, 93:8, 93:22, 112:19, 112:20, 127:19, 132:12, 132:22, 133:9, 134:16, 137:8 user 132:2, 132:3, 132:7, 132:12, 132:18, 132:22, 133:4, 134:9, 134:10, 134:15, 134:22, 135:1, 135:2, 136:10 using 29:15, 29:22, 32:7, 65:1, 86:8, 87:13, 96:20, 136:18 utilization 78:6, 79:7	vein 61:16 via 134:9 view 9:14, 16:12, 46:18, 53:1, 74:18, 80:12, 82:2, 82:6, 84:1, 113:9 virginia 2:7, 142:3, 143:16 virtually 1:13, 2:2, 142:8, 142:16 volume 100:13 voting 27:22, 28:20
			W
			wait 6:8 want 11:2, 35:8, 38:4, 38:12, 47:1, 54:2, 58:16, 60:8, 61:8, 66:20, 66:22, 73:10, 108:22, 111:6, 112:20, 113:3 wanted 10:15, 100:21, 131:7 way 57:14, 66:12, 90:20, 90:21, 112:14, 124:13, 135:18, 139:1, 143:5 ways 84:10, 85:9, 85:16, 86:1, 86:14, 89:11, 90:11, 91:4 we'll 112:1, 113:18

we're 33:4, 58:8, 62:17, 80:16, 95:12, 103:5, 104:18, 112:21, 113:3, 113:19, 123:9, 125:15 we've 35:14, 98:10, 125:16 website 13:21 wednesday 1:14, 142:16 week 8:4 weight 22:8 well-known 51:16, 52:15, 94:7 went 103:17 whatever 70:12, 95:21, 112:20 whatsoever 33:12 whereas 79:1 wherein 90:20, 128:7, 128:9 whereof 143:8 whether 36:18, 39:14, 45:15, 47:5, 67:11, 67:20, 68:5, 68:10, 69:16, 70:8, 101:7, 102:1, 103:6, 107:17, 135:18, 136:8 whitehead 1:22, 2:5, 142:2 willing 73:12	windows 133:13 wish 49:21 within 8:3, 50:21, 78:8, 79:8, 106:11 without 33:10, 46:8, 55:6, 61:5, 61:10, 76:3, 82:12, 109:17, 110:6, 114:18, 115:4, 116:1, 116:10, 125:8, 125:11 witness 9:2, 9:5, 31:5, 35:17, 53:18, 53:19, 58:8, 58:13, 58:16, 59:1, 66:17, 73:8, 73:12, 73:15, 98:10, 98:15, 111:19, 125:20, 126:3, 142:5, 142:9, 143:8 word 53:22, 54:1, 54:12, 77:22, 81:17, 81:19, 92:9, 96:17, 96:20, 97:4 words 24:13, 24:18, 46:22, 73:17 work 21:10, 21:11, 23:7, 23:8, 23:22, 24:4, 24:9, 24:22, 26:15, 27:3, 29:16, 29:20, 29:22, 30:9, 30:15, 31:17, 58:19, 103:17,	104:12, 104:20, 113:20 worked 22:15, 23:17, 24:12, 31:7, 31:15, 65:17, 102:15, 103:15 working 35:9, 64:18, 64:20, 104:13, 105:2, 105:3, 105:11, 105:13 works 18:14, 105:6, 113:21 world 84:2, 104:18 wouldn't 68:21, 69:14, 70:3, 71:17, 133:21 wrong 12:1, 67:1, 85:6, 125:19 wrote 81:11 X xirui 3:3 Y yeah 10:18, 11:2, 22:2, 23:4, 33:1, 34:15, 37:1, 37:22, 58:10, 58:18, 59:8, 59:16, 63:22, 66:3, 72:19, 77:12, 86:20, 89:15, 96:21, 98:13, 99:16, 105:1, 112:16, 112:22, 113:21, 123:2, 128:10 year 64:19	years 21:16, 22:1, 23:18, 26:15, 30:10, 30:15, 30:17, 30:19, 30:21, 31:12, 32:1, 65:8, 103:5, 103:7, 107:22 yes-or-no 39:16, 39:22 york 3:16 Z zero 5:15, 126:3 zhang 3:3, 4:3, 5:5, 10:18, 11:2, 12:22, 17:11, 17:20, 19:7, 35:14, 35:20, 53:16, 58:10, 58:15, 58:21, 66:20, 67:15, 67:18, 73:5, 73:22, 98:13, 98:18, 111:15, 125:21, 126:6, 139:8, 139:20, 139:22, 140:5 0 01 1:15, 142:17 0100 3:17 01346 1:4, 4:9, 15:6, 15:14, 19:9, 75:2, 98:22, 105:22 01347 1:5, 4:15, 10:6, 11:18, 12:8, 12:16, 13:2, 13:9,
--	---	---	--

Transcript of Nigel A. Jones
Conducted on September 24, 2025

69

15:1, 20:4, 75:2, 98:22, 105:22 02210 3:8 07 140:8, 142:17 1 10 1:15, 4:20, 142:17 100 21:12 1001 4:10, 4:16, 13:2, 13:8, 15:14, 15:22, 16:1, 16:7, 16:10, 16:13, 17:17 10016 3:16 1005 4:11, 4:17, 75:3, 75:5 1008 4:12, 4:18, 98:20, 99:1, 101:4, 101:7, 101:10, 101:12, 102:1, 102:4, 102:7, 107:1, 107:2 1028 4:13, 4:19, 105:21, 106:1, 106:22, 107:2 105 4:13, 4:19 11 57:2, 57:18, 60:5, 61:18, 119:22, 121:3, 121:11, 137:20, 138:8, 138:13, 138:22 12 51:10, 52:9,	52:10, 56:15, 57:12, 57:19, 58:19, 62:6, 62:12, 74:18, 118:19, 120:12, 121:3, 121:21, 122:1, 122:14, 123:7, 124:4, 138:5, 138:15, 139:1 13 4:16 14 77:5, 131:21, 131:22, 132:10, 134:2 143 1:21 15 4:10, 30:10, 30:17, 30:19, 30:21, 32:1, 51:11, 52:10, 78:4 16 51:9, 139:2 1626 3:9 17 132:9, 132:10, 139:2 18 109:20, 132:9, 132:10, 134:1, 137:10, 139:2 19 4:14 1983 103:21 1989 29:17 1991 29:16 1995 21:9 1a 112:10 1b 112:10, 113:1,	113:22 1c 112:10, 113:1, 113:22, 114:5, 127:18 1d 112:10, 113:10, 114:14 1st 20:9, 20:18, 31:1 2 20 4:21, 18:8, 18:9, 18:18, 18:20, 23:18, 30:10, 30:15, 30:17, 30:19, 30:21, 32:1, 122:16, 125:18, 138:5 200 3:15 2001 3:8, 64:17 2004 4:14, 4:20, 10:3, 10:6, 17:21, 19:8, 19:9, 26:19, 34:5, 34:7, 34:12, 35:5, 35:10, 36:1, 36:7, 36:14, 36:20, 39:10, 39:16, 40:10, 40:22, 41:12, 41:19, 43:2, 45:3, 48:13, 49:2, 64:7, 64:15, 65:15, 65:22, 104:11 2005 4:21, 20:3, 20:4 2023 12:8	2024 1:4, 1:5, 4:9, 4:15, 10:6, 11:18, 12:16, 13:2, 13:9, 15:1, 15:6, 15:14, 19:9, 20:4, 75:2, 98:22, 105:22 2025 1:14, 20:10, 20:18, 31:1, 142:17, 143:9 2027 143:12 21 56:16, 57:14, 74:19, 118:19, 122:1, 122:5, 122:16, 123:9, 124:4, 138:15 212 3:17 22 18:19, 18:21 23 19:19, 77:10, 77:12, 103:5 24 1:14, 3:15, 43:13, 43:16, 65:8, 103:5, 103:7, 142:17, 143:9 25 26:19, 45:3, 47:17, 47:21, 66:7 26 26:7, 44:3, 44:6, 66:6, 67:10, 119:6 28 81:3, 81:7 29 100:8 3 30 58:19, 68:2
---	---	--	---

Transcript of Nigel A. Jones
Conducted on September 24, 2025

70

301 95:1 31 101:2, 101:20, 143:12 32 76:3, 76:4, 76:12, 76:16, 76:19, 77:2, 77:13, 77:14, 77:16, 77:20, 78:9, 78:13, 78:17, 78:22, 79:1, 79:2, 79:9, 79:13, 79:18, 80:1, 80:9, 80:10, 82:11, 82:13, 82:21, 83:6, 83:11, 83:16, 84:4, 84:9, 85:7, 85:16, 86:1, 86:15, 87:6, 92:15, 99:7, 99:9, 99:11, 99:12, 99:13, 100:14, 104:12, 104:14, 105:2, 105:3, 105:6, 120:16, 131:22 33 56:14, 56:15, 61:16, 62:8, 62:9, 63:1, 69:21, 70:14, 72:11, 91:15, 91:18, 92:2, 118:17 34 92:12, 92:14, 94:15, 116:22, 117:2, 117:5, 131:9, 131:12 350 81:18 36 131:9, 131:12	37 125:13, 131:14 4 4 140:8, 142:17 40 21:16, 22:1, 79:4, 79:5, 113:7 42 77:22 45 94:20, 95:12, 95:15 48 60:19 5 51 60:5 53 52:8 6 60 61:18, 138:8, 139:1 600699 1:20 601 134:4 61 57:2, 57:18, 119:22, 120:1, 121:3 617 3:9 64 51:12, 52:11, 52:21, 88:12, 88:20, 89:2 646 3:9 66 121:11, 137:20, 138:9, 138:13 67 121:11, 121:21,	138:9 7 7, 624, 302 1:8, 11:19, 12:18 7, 627, 781 1:8, 15:7, 16:10 75 4:11, 4:17 781 11:15, 15:8, 15:12, 16:8, 16:16, 17:7, 19:8, 19:14, 19:17, 36:5, 36:13, 37:5, 38:5, 38:6, 38:12, 41:9, 41:10, 41:15, 41:18, 41:22, 42:5, 42:6, 42:19, 43:8, 43:14, 43:20, 44:2, 44:3, 44:12, 44:21, 45:1, 45:2, 45:17, 46:19, 47:22, 49:3, 52:4, 52:8, 52:18, 53:11, 55:11, 55:13, 56:5, 56:10, 60:11, 60:16, 60:17, 61:3, 61:7, 61:10, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 62:10, 62:11, 62:18, 75:6, 80:20, 81:1, 81:6, 98:21, 101:2, 101:8, 101:21, 105:21, 107:4, 111:1, 126:7, 126:9, 126:13, 126:15, 126:19,	127:14, 127:15, 130:14, 131:2, 131:11, 131:14, 131:21 798 99:18 8 8052 104:7 86 94:7 9 951 3:17 98 4:12, 4:18
---	--	--	---