

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CMS CEP COR LTD., and
CMS CEP COR AMERICAS LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SANDVIK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AB,
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 11,014,090

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2024-01381

PATENT OWNER'S SECOND REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), the Scheduling Order (Paper 10) and the Revised Scheduling Order issued on November 6, 2025 (Paper 31), Patent Owner submits this Second Request for Oral Argument on all the instituted grounds of unpatentability for U.S. Patent No. 11,014,090 (*see* Decision (Paper 9)), which encompass each of the claim construction and patentability issues addressed in Petitioner's and Patent Owner's papers and all exhibits thereto, including declarations and depositions.

The hearing will encompass the instituted grounds, and any issues addressed in the parties' briefing, including:

- Petitioner's Petition (Paper 2);
- Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper 8);
- The Board's Decision on Institution (Paper 9);
- Patent Owner's Contingent Motion to Amend (Paper 14);
- Patent Owner Response (Paper 15);
- Petitioner's Response to Patent Owner's Contingent Motion to Amend (Paper 17)
- Petitioner's Reply (Paper 18);
- The Board's Preliminary Guidance (Paper 24);
- Patent Owner's Sur-Reply (Paper 27);

- Patent Owner’s Revised Contingent Motion to Amend (Paper 28);
- Petitioner’s Response to Patent Owner’s Revised Contingent Motion to Amend (Paper 33);
- Any further briefings associated with the exclusion of evidence; and
- All exhibits to the above, including declarations and deposition transcripts.

Per the Scheduling Order (Paper 10) and the Revised Scheduling Order (Paper 31), Patent Owner requests an in-person hearing at the USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, VA. Patent Owner also requests two (2) hours and 15 minutes per side for oral argument to include both this proceeding as well as the proceeding IPR2024-01380. This second Request is identical to Patent Owner’s first Request (Paper 29), except for the additional 15 minutes requested per side (beyond two hours per side). The additional time is requested due to the revised motion to amend briefing.

Petitioner’s counsel and Patent Owner’s counsel previously met and conferred. At that time, Petitioner’s counsel indicated that Petitioner was amenable to a consolidated hearing and that Petitioner intended to seek two (2) hours per side as well. Petitioner’s counsel indicated that it intended to request oral argument to be held virtually by videoconference. Although the Scheduling Order (Paper 10) indicates that “the Board will conduct an in-person hearing only when requested by all parties,” Patent Owner notes that the USPTO had indicated that all hearings will

IPR2024-01381
Patent No. 11,014,090

be in-person after September 1, 2025 (absent a showing of good cause). Patent Owner's counsel reached out to Petitioner's counsel for this second Request, specifically with respect to the additional 15 minutes requested for each side. But Petitioner's counsel did not respond by the time of filing.

Patent Owner requests video equipment to display documents, including a projector to display electronic documents from a computer onto a display screen and an ELMO projector to display select pages onto a screen.

Dated: December 2, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Mehran Arjomand/
Mehran Arjomand, Reg. No. 48,231
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 6000
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Email: MArjomand@mofo.com
Tel: 213-892-5200

Counsel for Patent Owner

IPR2024-01381
Patent No. 11,014,090

Certificate of Service (37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4))

I hereby certify that the attached PATENT OWNER'S SECOND REQUEST
FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served as of the below date via e-mail (by agreement)
to the following counsel of record for the Petitioner:

Terry M. Sanks
tsanks@firstiniplaw.com
litigation@firstiniplaw.com

Dated: December 2, 2025

/Mehran Arjomand/
Mehran Arjomand