

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

R-PAC INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

ADASA INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-01416
Patent 9,798,967 B2

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, DEVON ZASTROW NEWMAN, and
MICHAEL T. CYGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

NEWMAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice*
Admission of Jonathan T. Suder
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On October 7, 2024, Patent Owner filed a motion (Paper 6, “Motion”) requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Jonathan T. Suder. Patent Owner filed a declaration (Ex. 2001, “Declaration”) from Mr. Suder in support of the Motion.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Suder has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding, that Mr. Suder has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and that Mr. Suder meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Declaration ¶¶ 1–11. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Suder.

Patent Owner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Suder. Paper 4. Patent Owner also filed a Mandatory Notice identifying Mr. Suder as back-up counsel. Paper 5.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Suder is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the instant proceeding, but that Mr. Suder is authorized to act as back-up counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Suder be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's Consolidated Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)) and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Suder is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-01416
Patent 9,798,967 B2

PETITIONER:

Jessica Kaiser
PERKINS COIE LLP
kaiser-ptab@perkinscoie.com

PATENT OWNER:

Richard A. Wojcio, Jr.
Friedman, Suder & Cooke
wojcio@fsclaw.com