

[nature.com](#)[Publications A-Z index](#)[Browse by subject](#)[My account](#)[Submit manuscript](#)[Register](#)[Subscribe](#)[Login](#)Search This journal Advanced search[Journal home](#) > [Archive](#) > [Research](#) > [Review](#) > [Full Text](#)

Journal content

Journal home
Advance online publication
Current issue
Archive
Conferences
Focuses and Supplements
Press releases

Journal information

Guide to authors
Online submission
Permissions
For referees
Free online issue
Contact the journal
Subscribe
About this site

NPG services

Authors &
Referees

Advertising
work@npg
naturereprints

Review

Focus on Next-Generation Sequencing

Focus issue: [October 2008](#) Volume **26**, No 10[Contents](#)[Editorial](#)[Profile](#)[News Features](#)[Commentary](#)[Perspectives](#)[Reviews](#)[Sponsors](#)

Nature Biotechnology **26**, 1135 - 1145 (2008)
Published online: 9 October 2008 | doi:10.1038/nbt1486

Next-generation DNA sequencing

Jay Shendure¹ & Hanlee Ji²

DNA sequence represents a single format onto which a broad range of biological phenomena can be projected for high-throughput data collection. Over the past three years, massively parallel DNA sequencing platforms have become widely available, reducing the cost of DNA sequencing by over two orders of magnitude, and democratizing the field by putting the sequencing capacity of a major genome center in the hands of individual investigators. These new technologies are rapidly evolving, and near-term challenges include the development of robust protocols for generating sequencing libraries, building effective new approaches to data-analysis, and often a rethinking of experimental design. Next-generation DNA sequencing has the potential to dramatically accelerate biological and biomedical research, by enabling the comprehensive analysis of genomes, transcriptomes and interactomes to become inexpensive, routine and widespread, rather than requiring significant production-scale efforts.

This issue

[Table of contents](#)[Previous article](#)[Next article](#)

Article tools

[Download PDF](#)[Send to a friend](#)[CrossRef lists 1 article citing this article](#)[Export citation](#)[Export references](#)[Rights and permissions](#)[Order commercial reprints](#)[Save this link](#)

Article navigation

[Abstract](#)[Figures & tables](#)[Acknowledgments](#)[Competing financial interests](#)[Box 1](#)[Box 2](#)[Box 3](#)[Box 4](#)[References](#)

For librarians

NPG resources

New! SciBX
 Bioentrepreneur
 Biopartnering
 Nature News
 Nature Reviews
 Drug Discovery
 Nature Medicine
 Nature Genetics
 Nature Reviews
 Genetics
 Nature Methods
 Nature Chemical
 Biology
 Nature Reports
 Stem Cells
 Clinical
 Pharmacology &
 Therapeutics
 Nature
 Nature
 Conferences
 RNAi Gateway

**NPG Journals
by Subject
Area****Chemistry**

Chemistry
 Drug discovery
 Biotechnology
 Materials
 Methods & Protocols

**Clinical Practice &
Research**

Cancer
 Cardiovascular
 medicine
 Dentistry
 Endocrinology
 Gastroenterology &
 Hepatology
 Methods & Protocols
 Pathology &
 Pathobiology
 Urology

Earth & Environment

Earth sciences

The field of DNA sequencing technology development has a rich and diverse history^{1,2}. However, the overwhelming majority of DNA sequence production to date has relied on some version of the Sanger biochemistry³. Over the past five years, the incentive for developing entirely new strategies for DNA sequencing has emerged on at least four levels, undeniably reinvigorating this field (for a review, see ref. 4). First, in the wake of the Human Genome Project, there are few remaining avenues of optimization through which significant reductions in the cost of conventional DNA sequencing can be achieved. Second, the potential utility of short-read sequencing has been tremendously strengthened by the availability of whole genome assemblies for *Homo sapiens* and all major model organisms, as these effectively provide a reference against which short reads can be mapped. Third, a growing variety of molecular methods have been developed, whereby a broad range of biological phenomena can be assessed by high-throughput DNA sequencing (e.g., genetic variation, RNA expression, protein-DNA interactions and chromosome conformation). And fourth, general progress in technology across disparate fields, including microscopy, surface chemistry, nucleotide biochemistry, polymerase engineering, computation, data storage and others, have made alternative strategies for DNA sequencing increasingly practical to realize.

Here, we review the current crop of next-generation DNA sequencing platforms: how they work, their relative strengths and limitations, and current and emerging applications. We briefly discuss related developments in this field, such as new software tools and front-end methods for isolating arbitrary genomic subsets. We emphasize that the DNA sequencing technology field has become a quickly moving target, and we can at best provide a snapshot of this particular moment.

Sanger sequencing

Since the early 1990s, DNA sequence production has almost exclusively been carried out with capillary-based, semi-automated implementations of the Sanger biochemistry^{3,5,6} (Fig. 1a). In high-throughput production pipelines, DNA to be sequenced is prepared by one of two approaches: first, for shotgun *de novo* sequencing, randomly fragmented DNA is cloned into a high-copy-number plasmid, which is then used to transform *Escherichia coli*; or second, for targeted resequencing, PCR amplification is carried out with primers that flank the target. The output of both approaches is an amplified template, either as many 'clonal' copies of a single plasmid insert present within a spatially isolated bacterial colony that can be picked, or as many PCR amplicons present within a single reaction volume. The sequencing biochemistry takes place in a 'cycle sequencing' reaction, in which cycles of template denaturation, primer annealing and primer extension are performed. The primer is complementary to known sequence immediately flanking the region of interest. Each round of primer extension is stochastically terminated by the incorporation of fluorescently labeled dideoxynucleotides (ddNTPs).

Search PubMed for

Jay Shendure

Hanlee Ji

naturejobs**Industry
Programme
Manager**

University of Liverpool
 Liverpool United Kingdom

**Manager / Engineer
/ Scientist -
Environment**

Vimta Labs Limited
 Hyderabad, Andhra
 Pradesh 500 051 India

More science jobs**Post a job for free****natureproducts**

search buyers guide

ADVERTISEMENT

Evolution & Ecology

Life sciences

Biotechnology

Cancer

Development

Drug discovery

Evolution & Ecology

Genetics

Immunology

Medical research

Methods & Protocols

Microbiology

Molecular cell biology

Neuroscience

Pharmacology

Systems biology

Physical sciences


Physics

Materials

by A - Z Index

In the resulting mixture of end-labeled extension products, the label on the terminating ddNTP of any given fragment corresponds to the nucleotide identity of its terminal position. Sequence is determined by high-resolution electrophoretic separation of the single-stranded, end-labeled extension products in a capillary-based polymer gel. Laser excitation of fluorescent labels as fragments of discrete lengths exit the capillary, coupled to four-color detection of emission spectra, provides the readout that is represented in a Sanger sequencing 'trace'. Software translates these traces into DNA sequence, while also generating error probabilities for each base-call^{7,8}. The approach that is taken for subsequent analysis—for example, genome assembly or variant identification—depends on precisely what is being sequenced and why. Simultaneous electrophoresis in 96 or 384 independent capillaries provides a limited level of parallelization.

Figure 1: Work flow of conventional versus second-generation sequencing.



(a) With high-throughput shotgun Sanger sequencing, genomic DNA is fragmented, then cloned to a plasmid vector and used to transform *E. coli*. For each sequencing reaction, a single bacterial colony is picked and plasmid DNA isolated. Each cycle sequencing reaction takes place within a microliter-scale volume, generating a ladder of ddNTP-terminated, dye-labeled products, which are subjected to high-resolution electrophoretic separation within one of 96 or 384 capillaries in one run of a sequencing instrument. As fluorescently labeled fragments of discrete sizes pass a detector, the four-channel emission spectrum is used to generate a sequencing trace. (b) In shotgun sequencing with cyclic-array methods, common adaptors are ligated to fragmented genomic DNA, which is then subjected to one of several protocols that results in an array of millions of spatially immobilized PCR colonies or 'polonies'¹⁵. Each polony consists of many copies of a single shotgun library fragment. As all polonies are tethered to a planar array, a single microliter-scale reagent volume (e.g., for primer hybridization and then for enzymatic extension reactions) can be applied to manipulate all array features in parallel. Similarly, imaging-based detection of fluorescent labels incorporated with each extension can be used to acquire sequencing data on all features in parallel. Successive iterations of enzymatic

interrogation and imaging are used to build up a contiguous sequencing read for each array feature.

[Full size image \(57 KB\)](#)

After three decades of gradual improvement, the Sanger biochemistry can be applied to achieve read-lengths of up to ~ 1,000 bp, and per-base 'raw' accuracies as high as 99.999%. In the context of high-throughput shotgun genomic sequencing, Sanger sequencing costs on the order of \$0.50 per kilobase.

Second-generation DNA sequencing

Alternative strategies for DNA sequencing can be grouped into several categories (as discussed previously in ref. [4](#)). These include (i) microelectrophoretic methods² ([Box 1](#)), (ii) sequencing by hybridization¹⁰ ([Box 2](#)), (iii) real-time observation of single molecules^{11, 12} ([Box 3](#)) and (iv) cyclic-array sequencing (J.S. *et al.*¹³ and ref. [14](#)). Here, we use 'second-generation' in reference to the various implementations of cyclic-array sequencing that have recently been realized in a commercial product (e.g., 454 sequencing (used in the 454 Genome Sequencers, Roche Applied Science; Basel), Solexa technology (used in the Illumina (San Diego) Genome Analyzer), the SOLiD platform (Applied Biosystems; Foster City, CA, USA), the Polonator (Dover/Harvard) and the HeliScope Single Molecule Sequencer technology (Helicos; Cambridge, MA, USA). The concept of cyclic-array sequencing can be summarized as the sequencing of a dense array of DNA features by iterative cycles of enzymatic manipulation and imaging-based data collection¹⁵ (Shendure and colleagues¹⁶). Two reports in 2005 described the first integrated implementations of cyclic-array strategies that were both practical and cost-competitive with conventional sequencing (J.S. *et al.*¹³ and ref. [14](#)), and other groups have quickly followed^{17, 18}.


Although
these

ADVERTISEMENT


platforms are quite diverse in sequencing biochemistry as well as in how the array is generated, their work flows are conceptually similar ([Fig. 1b](#)). Library preparation is accomplished by random fragmentation of DNA, followed by *in vitro* ligation of common adaptor sequences. Alternative protocols can be used to generate jumping libraries of mate-paired tags with controllable distance distributions^{13, 19}. The generation of clonally clustered amplicons to serve as sequencing features can be achieved by several approaches, including *in situ* colonies¹⁵, emulsion PCR²⁰ or bridge PCR^{21, 22} ([Fig. 2](#)). What is common to these methods is that PCR amplicons derived from any given single library molecule end up spatially clustered, either to a single location on a planar substrate (*in situ* colonies, bridge PCR), or to the surface of micron-scale beads, which can be recovered and arrayed (emulsion PCR). The sequencing process itself consists of alternating cycles of enzyme-driven biochemistry and imaging-based data acquisition ([Fig. 3](#)). The platforms that are discussed here all rely on sequencing by

synthesis, that is, serial extension of primed templates, but the enzyme driving the synthesis can be either a polymerase^{16, 23} or a ligase^{13, 24}. Data are acquired by imaging of the full array at each cycle (e.g., of fluorescently labeled nucleotides incorporated by a polymerase).

Figure 2: Clonal amplification of sequencing features.



(a) The 454, the Polonator and SOLiD platforms rely on emulsion PCR²⁰ to amplify clonal sequencing features. In brief, an *in vitro*-constructed adaptor-flanked shotgun library (shown as gold and turquoise adaptors flanking unique inserts) is PCR amplified (that is, multi-template PCR, not multiplex PCR, as only a single primer pair is used, corresponding to the gold and turquoise adaptors) in the context of a water-in-oil emulsion. One of the PCR primers is tethered to the surface (5'-attached) of micron-scale beads that are also included in the reaction. A low template concentration results in most bead-containing compartments having either zero or one template molecule present. In productive emulsion compartments (where both a bead and template molecule is present), PCR amplicons are captured to the surface of the bead. After breaking the emulsion, beads bearing amplification products can be selectively enriched. Each clonally amplified bead will bear on its surface PCR products corresponding to amplification of a single molecule from the template library. (b) The Solexa technology relies on bridge PCR^{21, 22} (aka 'cluster PCR') to amplify clonal sequencing features. In brief, an *in vitro*-constructed adaptor-flanked shotgun library is PCR amplified, but both primers densely coat the surface of a solid substrate, attached at their 5' ends by a flexible linker. As a consequence, amplification products originating from any given member of the template library remain locally tethered near the point of origin. At the conclusion of the PCR, each clonal cluster contains ~1,000 copies of a single member of the template library. Accurate measurement of the concentration of the template library is critical to maximize the cluster density while simultaneously avoiding overcrowding.

[Full size image \(38 KB\)](#)**Figure 3: Strategies for cyclic array sequencing.**

(a) With the 454 platform, clonally amplified 28-μm beads generated by emulsion PCR serve as sequencing features and are randomly deposited to a microfabricated array of picoliter-scale wells. With pyrosequencing, each cycle consists of the introduction of a single nucleotide species, followed by addition of substrate (Luciferin, adenosine 5'-phosphosulphate) to drive light production at wells where polymerase-driven incorporation of that nucleotide took place. This is followed by an apyrase wash to remove unincorporated nucleotide. Image from Margulies *et al.*

(2005)¹⁴. (b) With the Solexa technology, a dense array of clonally amplified sequencing features is generated directly on a surface by bridge PCR (aka cluster PCR). Each sequencing cycle includes the simultaneous addition of a mixture of four modified deoxynucleotide species, each bearing one of four fluorescent labels and a reversibly terminating moiety at the 3' hydroxyl position. A modified DNA polymerase drives synchronous extension of primed sequencing features. This is followed by imaging in four channels and then cleavage of both the fluorescent labels and the terminating moiety. (c) With the SOLiD and the Polonator platforms, clonally amplified 1-μm beads are used to generate a disordered, dense array of sequencing features¹³. Sequencing is performed with a ligase, rather than a polymerase^{13, 24, 26, 27, 28}. With SOLiD, each sequencing cycle introduces a partially degenerate population of fluorescently labeled octamers. The population is structured such that the label correlates with the identity of the central 2 bp in the octamer (the correlation with 2 bp, rather than 1 bp, is the basis of two-base encoding)²⁶. After ligation and imaging in four channels, the labeled portion of the octamer (that is, 'zzz') is cleaved via a modified linkage between bases 5 and 6, leaving a free end for another cycle of ligation. Several such cycles will iteratively interrogate an evenly spaced, discontinuous set of bases. The

system is then reset (by denaturation of the extended primer), and the process is repeated with a different offset (e.g., a primer set back from the original position by one or several bases) such that a different set of discontinuous bases is interrogated on the next round of serial ligations. (**d**) With the HeliScope platform, single nucleic acid molecules are sequenced directly, that is, there is no clonal amplification step required. Poly-A-tailed template molecules are captured by hybridization to surface-tethered poly-T oligomers to yield a disordered array of primed single-molecule sequencing templates. Templates are labeled with Cy3, such that imaging can identify the subset of array coordinates where a sequencing read is expected. Each cycle consists of the polymerase-driven incorporation of a single species of fluorescently labeled nucleotide at a subset of templates, followed by fluorescence imaging of the full array and chemical cleavage of the label. Image from Braslavsky *et al.* (2003)³⁰.

[Full size image \(117 KB\)](#)

Global advantages of second-generation or cyclic-array strategies, relative to Sanger sequencing, include the following: (i) *in vitro* construction of a sequencing library, followed by *in vitro* clonal amplification to generate sequencing features, circumvents several bottlenecks that restrict the parallelism of conventional sequencing (that is, transformation of *E. coli* and colony picking). (ii) Array-based sequencing enables a much higher degree of parallelism than conventional capillary-based sequencing. As the effective size of sequencing features can be on the order of 1 μm , hundreds of millions of sequencing reads can potentially be obtained in parallel by rastered imaging of a reasonably sized surface area. (iii) Because array features are immobilized to a planar surface, they can be enzymatically manipulated by a single reagent volume. Although microliter-scale reagent volumes are used in practice, these are essentially amortized over the full set of sequencing features on the array, dropping the effective reagent volume per feature to the scale of picoliters or femtoliters. Collectively, these differences translate into dramatically lower costs for DNA sequence production.

The advantages of second-generation DNA sequencing are currently offset by several disadvantages. The most prominent of these include read-length (for all of the new platforms, read-lengths are currently much shorter than conventional sequencing) and raw accuracy (on average, base-calls generated by the new platforms are at least tenfold less accurate than base-calls

generated by Sanger sequencing). Although these limitations create important algorithmic challenges for the immediate future, we should bear in mind that these technologies will continue to improve with respect to these parameters, much as conventional sequencing progressed gradually over three decades to reach its current level of technical performance.

454 pyrosequencing. The 454 system was the first next-generation sequencing platform available as a commercial product¹⁴. In this approach, libraries may be constructed by any method that gives rise to a mixture of short, adaptor-flanked fragments. Clonal sequencing features are generated by emulsion PCR²⁰, with amplicons captured to the surface of 28- μ m beads (Fig. 2a). After breaking the emulsion, beads are treated with denaturant to remove untethered strands, and then subjected to a hybridization-based enrichment for amplicon-bearing beads (that is, those that were present in an emulsion compartment supporting a productive PCR reaction). A sequencing primer is hybridized to the universal adaptor at the appropriate position and orientation, that is, immediately adjacent to the start of unknown sequence.

Sequencing is performed by the pyrosequencing method²⁵ (Fig. 3a). In brief, the amplicon-bearing beads are preincubated with *Bacillus stearothermophilus* (*Bst*) polymerase and single-stranded binding protein, and then deposited on to a microfabricated array of picoliter-scale wells (with dimensions such that only one bead will fit per well) to render this biochemistry compatible with array-based sequencing. Smaller beads are also added, bearing immobilized enzymes also required for pyrosequencing (ATP sulfurylase and luciferase). During the sequencing, one side of the semi-ordered array functions as a flow cell for introducing and removing sequencing reagents, whereas the other side is bonded to a fiber-optic bundle for CCD (charge-coupled device)-based signal detection. At each of several hundred cycles, a single species of unlabeled nucleotide is introduced. On templates where this results in an incorporation event, pyrophosphate is released. Via ATP sulfurylase and luciferase, incorporation events immediately drive the generation of a burst of light, which is detected by the CCD as corresponding to the array coordinates of specific wells. In contrast with other platforms, therefore, the sequencing by synthesis must be monitored 'live' (that is, the camera does not move relative to the array). Across multiple cycles (e.g., A-G-C-T-A-G-C-T...), the pattern of detected incorporation events reveals the sequence of templates represented by individual beads. Like the HeliScope (discussed below), the sequencing is 'asynchronous' in that some features may get ahead or behind other features depending on their sequence relative to the order of base addition.

A major limitation of the 454 technology relates to homopolymers (that is, consecutive instances of the same base, such as AAA or GGG). Because there is no terminating moiety preventing multiple consecutive incorporations at a given cycle, the length of all

homopolymers must be inferred from the signal intensity. This is prone to a greater error rate than the discrimination of incorporation versus nonincorporation. As a consequence, the dominant error type for the 454 platform is insertion-deletion, rather than substitution. Relative to other next-generation platforms, the key advantage of the 454 platform is read-length. For example, the 454 FLX instrument generates ~400,000 reads per instrument-run at lengths of 200 to 300 bp. Currently, the per-base cost of sequencing with the 454 platform is much greater than that of other platforms (e.g., SOLiD and Solexa) but it may be the method of choice for certain applications where long read-lengths are critical (e.g., *de novo* assembly and metagenomics).

Illumina Genome Analyzer. Commonly referred to as 'the Solexa', this platform has its origins in work by Turcatti and colleagues^{22, 23} and the merger of four companies—Solexa (Essex, UK), Lynx Therapeutics (Hayward, CA, USA), Manteia Predictive Medicine (Coinsins, Switzerland) and Illumina. Libraries can be constructed by any method that gives rise to a mixture of adaptor-flanked fragments up to several hundred base-pairs (bp) in length. Amplified sequencing features are generated by bridge PCR^{21, 22} (Fig. 2b). In this approach, both forward and reverse PCR primers are tethered to a solid substrate by a flexible linker, such that all amplicons arising from any single template molecule during the amplification remain immobilized and clustered to a single physical location on an array. On the Illumina platform, the bridge PCR is somewhat unconventional in relying on alternating cycles of extension with *Bst* polymerase and denaturation with formamide. The resulting 'clusters' each consist of ~1,000 clonal amplicons. Several million clusters can be amplified to distinguishable locations within each of eight independent 'lanes' that are on a single flow-cell (such that eight independent libraries can be sequenced in parallel during the same instrument run). After cluster generation, the amplicons are single stranded (linearization) and a sequencing primer is hybridized to a universal sequence flanking the region of interest. Each cycle of sequence interrogation consists of single-base extension with a modified DNA polymerase and a mixture of four nucleotides (Fig. 3b). These nucleotides are modified in two ways. They are 'reversible terminators', in that a chemically cleavable moiety at the 3' hydroxyl position allows only a single-base incorporation to occur in each cycle; and one of four fluorescent labels, also chemically cleavable, corresponds to the identity of each nucleotide²³. After single-base extension and acquisition of images in four channels, chemical cleavage of both groups sets up for the next cycle. Read-lengths up to 36 bp are currently routine; longer reads are possible but may incur a higher error rate.

Read-lengths are limited by multiple factors that cause signal decay and dephasing, such as incomplete cleavage of fluorescent labels or terminating moieties. The dominant error type is substitution, rather than insertions or deletions (and homopolymers are certainly less of an issue than with other

platforms such as 454). Average raw error-rates are on the order of 1–1.5%, but higher accuracy bases with error rates of 0.1% or less can be identified through quality metrics associated with each base-call. As with other systems, modifications have recently enabled mate-paired reads; for example, each sequencing feature yielding 2×36 bp independent reads derived from each end of a given library molecule several hundred bases in length.

AB SOLiD. This platform has its origins in the system described by J.S. and colleagues¹³ in 2005 and in work by McKernan and colleagues²⁶ at Agencourt Personal Genomics (Beverly, MA, USA) (acquired by Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA, USA) in 2006). Libraries may be constructed by any method that gives rise to a mixture of short, adaptor-flanked fragments, though much effort with this system has been put into protocols for mate-paired tag libraries with controllable and highly flexible distance distributions^{13, 19}. Clonal sequencing features are generated by emulsion PCR, with amplicons captured to the surface of 1- μ M paramagnetic beads²⁰ (Fig. 2a). After breaking the emulsion, beads bearing amplification products are selectively recovered, and then immobilized to a solid planar substrate to generate a dense, disordered array. Sequencing by synthesis is driven by a DNA ligase^{13, 24, 26, 27, 28}, rather than a polymerase. A universal primer complementary to adaptor sequence is hybridized to the array of amplicon-bearing beads. Each cycle of sequencing involves the ligation of a degenerate population of fluorescently labeled octamers (Fig. 3c). The octamer mixture is structured, in that the identity of specific position(s) within the octamer (e.g., base 5) correlate with the identity of the fluorescent label. After ligation, images are acquired in four channels, effectively collecting data for the same base positions across all template-bearing beads. Then, the octamer is chemically cleaved between positions 5 and 6, removing the fluorescent label. Progressive rounds of octamer ligation enable sequencing of every 5th base (e.g., bases 5, 10, 15, 20). Upon completing several such cycles, the extended primer is denatured to reset the system. Subsequent iterations of this process can be directed at a different set of positions (e.g., bases 4, 9, 14, 19) either by using a primer that is set back one or more bases from the adaptor-insert junction, or by using different mixtures of octamers where a different position (e.g., base 2) is correlated with the label. An additional feature of this platform involves the use of two-base encoding, which is an error-correction scheme in which two adjacent bases, rather than a single base, are correlated with the label²⁶. Each base position is then queried twice (once as the first base, and once as the second base, in a set of 2 bp interrogated on a given cycle) such that miscalls can be more readily identified.

A related system to the SOLiD is the Polonator, also based in part on the system developed by J.S. and the Church group¹³ at Harvard. This platform also uses sequencing features generated by emulsion PCR and sequencing by ligation. The cost of the instrument, however, is substantially lower than that of other

second-generation sequencing instruments. Additionally, the instrument is open source and programmable, potentially enabling user innovation (e.g., the use of alternative biochemistries). The current read-lengths, however, may be significantly limiting.

An additional disadvantage, common to 454, SOLiD and the Polonator, is that emulsion PCR can be cumbersome and technically challenging. On the other hand, it is possible that sequencing on a high-density array of very small (1 μm) beads (with sequencing by ligation, polymerase extension, or another biochemistry) may represent the most straightforward opportunity to achieve extremely high data densities, simply because 1- μm beads physically exclude one another at a spacing that is on the order of the diffraction limit. Furthermore, high-resolution ordering of 1- μm bead arrays, as recently described²⁹, may enable the limit of one pixel per sequencing feature to be closely approached.

HeliScope. The Helicos sequencer¹⁸, based on work by Quake's group³⁰, also relies on cyclic interrogation of a dense array of sequencing features. However, a unique aspect of this platform is that no clonal amplification is required. Instead, a highly sensitive fluorescence detection system is used to directly interrogate single DNA molecules via sequencing by synthesis. Template libraries, prepared by random fragmentation and poly-A tailing (that is, no PCR amplification), are captured by hybridization to surface-tethered poly-T oligomers to yield a disordered array of primed single-molecule sequencing templates. At each cycle, DNA polymerase and a single species of fluorescently labeled nucleotide are added, resulting in template-dependent extension of the surface-immobilized primer-template duplexes (Fig. 3d). After acquisition of images tiling the full array, chemical cleavage and release of the fluorescent label permits the subsequent cycle of extension and imaging. As described in a recent report¹⁸, several hundred cycles of single-base extension (that is, A, G, C, T, A, G, C, T...) yield average read-lengths of 25 bp or greater. Notable aspects of this system include the following. First, like the 454 platform, the sequencing is asynchronous, as some strands will fall ahead or behind others in a sequence-dependent manner. Chance also plays a role, as some templates may simply fail to incorporate on a given cycle despite having the appropriate base at the next position. However, because these are single molecules, dephasing is not an issue, and such events do not in and of themselves lead to errors.

Second, no terminating moiety is present on the labeled nucleotides. As with the 454 system, therefore, homopolymer runs are an important issue. However, because single molecules are being sequenced, the problem can be mitigated by limiting the rate of incorporation events. Additionally, Harris *et al.*¹⁸ noted that consecutive incorporations of labeled nucleotide at homopolymers produced a quenching interaction that enabled the authors to infer the discreet number of incorporations (e.g., A versus AA versus AAA).

Third, the raw sequencing accuracy can be substantially improved by a two-pass strategy in which the array of single-molecule templates (here with adaptors at both ends) is sequenced as described above, and then fully copied. As the newly synthesized strand is surface-tethered, the original template can be removed by denaturing. Sequencing primed from the distal adaptor then yields a second sequence for the same template, obtained in the opposite orientation. Positions that are concordant between the two reads have *phred*-like quality scores approaching 30 (refs. [8,18](#)).

And finally, largely secondary to the incorporation of contaminating, unlabeled or nonemitting bases, the dominant error type is deletion (2–7% error rate with one pass; 0.2–1% with two passes). However, substitution error rates are substantially lower (0.01–1% with one pass). With two passes, the per-base raw substitution error rate (approaching 0.001%) may currently be the lowest of all the second-generation platforms.

Advantages and disadvantages of different approaches

In terms of costs, limitations and practical aspects of implementation, clear differences between conventional sequencing and the second-generation platforms determine which general strategy represents the best option for any given project. The applications of conventional sequencing (that is, Sanger) have grown diverse, and for small-scale projects in the kilobase-to-megabase range, this will likely remain the technology of choice for the immediate future. This is a consequence of its greater 'granularity' (that is, the ability to efficiently operate at either small or large production scales) relative to the new technologies. Even so, it is clear that despite limitations relative to Sanger sequencing (e.g., in terms of read-length and accuracy), large-scale projects will quickly come to depend entirely on next-generation sequencing. As an example of the advantages of the new platforms, consider that large-scale resequencing studies for identifying germline variation or somatic mutations have relied on Sanger-based resequencing approaches, that in turn are reliant on one-at-a-time PCR amplification of each targeted region^{[31, 32](#)}. In this context, the requirements of a Sanger sequencing approach include major costs beyond just reagents. These include robotic support of reagents, processing of multiple samples in 96- or 384-well formats, maintenance of capillary-based sequencers, extensive bioinformatics infrastructure to handle the flow of data and dedicated support staff to maintain complicated equipment. In a recent informal survey we conducted of the overall cost to conventionally sequence 100 genes from 100 samples, assuming each gene has an average of 10 exons, quoted estimates from noncommercial genome centers and commercial sequence service providers ranged from \$300,000 to over \$1,000,000. Clearly, this cost is beyond the range of most individual laboratories. In addition to reducing the per-base cost of sequencing by several orders of magnitude, second-generation instruments have fewer infrastructure requirements; instead, the principle challenge is downstream data management.

There are important differences among the second-generation platforms themselves ([Table 1](#)) that may result in advantages with respect to specific applications ([Table 2](#)). Some applications (e.g., resequencing) may be more tolerant of short read-lengths than others (e.g., *de novo* assembly). For applications relying on tag counting (e.g., quantification of protein-DNA interactions), one would actually prefer a given amount of sequencing to be split into as many reads as possible (above some minimum length that allows placement to a reference). The overall accuracy as well as the specific error distributions of individual technologies (e.g., the rate of insertion-deletion versus substitution errors; the propensity for systematic consensus errors) may also be highly relevant. Mate-paired reads, useful in *de novo* assembly and for mapping structural variants, for example, are now available with all of the second-generation platforms, but the extent to which the distance distribution with which the read pairs are separated can be controlled or varied may be an important factor. Finally, of course, the cost of sequencing varies greatly between the second-generation platforms, and as consumers, we hope for more competition between vendors than was the case with conventional sequencing in the past decade. Comparisons of 'per-base' costs can be helpful but occasionally misleading, as, for example, more accurate bases may be worth more than less accurate bases.

Table 1: Second-generation DNA sequencing technologies



[Full table](#)

Table 2: Applications of next-generation sequencing



[Full table](#)

Software and standards for next-generation sequencing data

The diversity and rapid evolution of next-generation sequencing technology is posing challenges for bioinformatics in areas

including sequence quality scoring, alignment, assembly and data release. These are discussed in more detail below.

Sequence quality. The topic of sequence quality scoring has become an area of intense interest, given the relatively low quality of raw data from the new sequencing platforms, and the various context-dependent error distributions associated with different sequencing by synthesis biochemistries. As second-generation sequencing matures and the range of biological and clinical problems to which it is applied expands, it will be critical to have clear metrics in place for data quality, reliability, reproducibility and biological relevance. Given the nascent state of the field, there is an opportunity to establish an early consensus of standardized benchmarks for comparing current and newly introduced platforms, and, it is hoped, avoid the dilemmas of data comparison that have occurred in the past with multi-vendor genomic technologies, such as microarrays. Multiple applications will benefit from standardized quality metrics. This might include metrics to quantify the general quality of *de novo* sequence assemblies; metrics for the confidence associated with individual read alignments to a reference; metrics for confidence in raw and consensus base-calls for improved polymorphism and mutation discovery; and general quality control and assurance metrics for large-scale sequencing projects.

Examples of areas that should be systematically evaluated in assessing data quality with new sequencing platforms include: (i) technical reproducibility; (ii) distribution of estimated accuracies for raw base-calls (e.g., *phred*-like scores); (iii) systematic error patterns in raw or consensus sequence data; (iv) bias and skewing of true ratios in tag-counting applications. Quality data should be included by default along with sequences, ideally in a simple, standardized format. All of the current vendors are adopting quality metrics in their data reporting, and consumers of these technologies need to be able to make cross-comparisons among data sets, as well as to potentially integrate data from multiple platforms while exploiting their individual advantages (e.g., mixed-technology genome assemblies). Current resequencing approaches for polymorphism and mutation discovery from short-read data sets sometimes rely on majority voting schemes, which are easy to implement but prone to error and false discovery. More appropriate schemes for single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) detection, such as those developed by Brockman *et al.*³³ and Quinlan *et al.*³⁴, estimate the error probabilities associated with individual base-calls (based on data quality and context) and use this information in making consensus calls. We anticipate that much as *phred* and related tools did for Sanger sequencing, the development of 'third party' algorithms will substantially improve base-calling and polymorphism detection with all of the new platforms.

Software and bioinformatics tools for data analysis. Even at this early stage of commercial availability, a variety of software tools are available for analyzing next-generation sequencing data (Table 3). Their functions fit into several general categories,

including: (i) alignment of sequence reads to a reference; (ii) base-calling and/or polymorphism detection; (iii) *de novo* assembly, from paired or unpaired reads; and (iv) genome browsing and annotation.

Table 3: Bioinformatics tools for short-read sequencing.



[Full table](#)

Alignment and assembly represent particularly interesting problems. Whereas alignment solutions like BLAST or BLAT are largely adequate for long reads such as those generated by conventional sequencing, these are unlikely to be the best algorithms for handling short-read sequence data. An increasing number of alignment tools have been developed specifically for rapid alignment of large sets of short reads, while allowing for mismatches and/or gaps. Some of these tools take advantage of well-established alignment algorithms, such as Smith-Waterman, but there also has been significant innovation in developing new algorithms specifically tailored for short reads. For example, SOAP, a software package for efficient gapped or ungapped alignment, uses a memory-intensive seed and look-up table algorithm to accelerate alignment, while allowing iterative trimming of the 3' end of reads (usually associated with a higher error rate)³⁵. Other approaches used to accelerate processing include 'bit encoding' to compress sequence data into a computationally more manageable and efficient format^{35, 36}. Alignment software is increasingly taking into account the estimated quality of the underlying data in generating read-placements, as is the case with MAQ³⁷, an alignment and variation discovery tool that works with data from either Solexa or SOLiD data, and SHRiMP (<http://compbio.cs.toronto.edu/shrimp/>), which includes a novel "color-space to letter-space" Smith-Waterman algorithm compatible with two base-encoded sequence data from the SOLiD platform.

As with alignment algorithms, the short read-lengths, relatively lower accuracies and quantity of data associated with the new technologies make *de novo* assembly into a challenging problem once again. Several assembly tools have recently been adapted or independently developed for generating assemblies from short, unpaired sequencing reads^{38, 39, 40}. Mate-paired reads, now possible with all of the major platforms, are anticipated to have a major impact on the overall success of *de novo* assembly with

short reads, and several algorithms have been already developed that take advantage of these^{38, 39, 40, 41}.

Guidelines for publication, release and archiving. There are active ongoing discussions regarding the establishment of consensus guidelines for reporting and archiving short-read sequence data, similar to the MIAME (Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment) guidelines for microarray experiments⁴² (<http://uhts.lbl.gov/>). These early efforts have proposed that metadata include annotation of the biological or clinical nature of the data, key experimental details such as sample properties and treatments, specific format styles for sequence reads among multiple samples, policies for release and publication of sequence data and data processing methods. Public archiving of next-generation sequence data is another area that will require substantial effort given the sheer quantity of data being generated. The National Center for Biotechnology Information at the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD) has recently established a Short Read Archive (SRA), and is defining submission formats for data sets corresponding to major next-generation sequencing platforms⁴³. The SRA is designed to integrate with the Entrez system and to include metadata, such as experimental annotation and parameters from actual instrument runs. Current efforts include the development of online tools for efficiently searching the archive and for data visualization; these are expected to appear in the coming year⁴³.

Applications of next-generation sequencing

The past several years have seen an accelerating flurry of publications in which next-generation sequencing is applied for a variety of goals (Table 2). Important applications include: (i) full-genome resequencing or more targeted discovery of mutations or polymorphisms (Box 4); (ii) mapping of structural rearrangements, which may include copy number variation, balanced translocation breakpoints and chromosomal inversions; (iii) 'RNA-Seq', analogous to expressed sequence tags (EST) or serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE), where shotgun libraries derived from mRNA or small RNAs are deeply sequenced; the counts corresponding to individual species can be used for quantification over a broad dynamic range, and the sequences themselves can be used for annotation (e.g., splice junctions and transcript boundaries); (iv) large-scale analysis of DNA methylation, by deep sequencing of bisulfite-treated DNA; (v) 'ChIP-Seq', or genome-wide mapping of DNA-protein interactions, by deep sequencing of DNA fragments pulled down by chromatin immunoprecipitation. Over the next few years, the list of applications will undoubtedly grow, as will the sophistication with which existing applications are carried out.

Conclusions

Over the past several years, next-generation DNA sequencing technologies have catapulted to prominence, with increasingly widespread adoption of several platforms that individually implement different flavors of massively parallel cyclic-array sequencing. Common characteristics extend beyond the

technologies themselves, to the quantity and quality of data that are generated, such that all raise a similar set of new challenges for experimental design, data analysis and interpretation. The reduction in the costs of DNA sequencing by several orders of magnitude is democratizing the extent to which individual investigators can pursue projects at a scale previously accessible only to major genome centers. The dramatic increase in interest in this area is also evident in the number of groups that are now working on sequencing methods to supplant even the new technologies discussed here. Given the current state of flux, it is difficult to peer even a few years into the future, but we anticipate that next-generation sequencing technologies will become as widespread, commoditized and routine as microarray technology has become over the past decade. Also analogous to microarrays, we also expect that the challenges will quickly shift from mastering of the technologies themselves to the question of how best to go about extracting biologically meaningful or clinically useful insights from a very large amount of data.

Acknowledgments

[top](#)

We thank G. Church and G. Porreca for helpful comments on the manuscript.

Competing interests statement: The authors declare [competing financial interests](#).

References

[top](#)

1. Hutchison, C.A., III. DNA sequencing: bench to bedside and beyond. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, 6227–6237 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
2. Sanger, F. Sequences, sequences, and sequences. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **57**, 1–28 (1988). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
3. Sanger, F. *et al.* Nucleotide sequence of bacteriophage phi X174 DNA. *Nature* **265**, 687–695 (1977). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
4. Shendure, J., Mitra, R.D., Varma, C. & Church, G.M. Advanced sequencing technologies: methods and goals. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **5**, 335–344 (2004). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
5. Swerdlow, H., Wu, S.L., Harke, H. & Dovichi, N.J. Capillary gel electrophoresis for DNA sequencing. Laser-induced fluorescence detection with the sheath flow cuvette. *J. Chromatogr.* **516**, 61–67 (1990). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
6. Hunkapiller, T., Kaiser, R.J., Koop, B.F. & Hood, L. Large-scale and automated DNA sequence determination. *Science* **254**, 59–67 (1991). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |

7. Ewing, B. & Green, P. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using phred. II. Error probabilities. *Genome Res.* **8**, 186–194 (1998). | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
8. Ewing, B., Hillier, L., Wendl, M.C. & Green, P. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using phred. I. Accuracy assessment. *Genome Res.* **8**, 175–185 (1998). | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
9. Blazej, R.G., Kumaresan, P. & Mathies, R.A. Microfabricated bioprocessor for integrated nanoliter-scale Sanger DNA sequencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 7240–7245 (2006). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
10. Gresham, D., Dunham, M.J. & Botstein, D. Comparing whole genomes using DNA microarrays. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **9**, 291–302 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
11. Soni, G.V. & Meller, A. Progress toward ultrafast DNA sequencing using solid-state nanopores. *Clin. Chem.* **53**, 1996–2001 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
12. Healy, K. Nanopore-based single-molecule DNA analysis. *Nanomed.* **2**, 459–481 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
13. Shendure, J. *et al.* Accurate multiplex polony sequencing of an evolved bacterial genome. *Science* **309**, 1728–1732 (2005). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
14. Margulies, M. *et al.* Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors. *Nature* **437**, 376–380 (2005). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) |
15. Mitra, R.D. & Church, G.M. In situ localized amplification and contact replication of many individual DNA molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**, e34 (1999). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
16. Mitra, R.D., Shendure, J., Olejnik, J., Edyta Krzymanska, O. & Church, G.M. Fluorescent in situ sequencing on polymerase colonies. *Anal. Biochem.* **320**, 55–65 (2003). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
17. Bentley, D.R. Whole-genome re-sequencing. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **16**, 545–552 (2006). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
18. Harris, T.D. *et al.* Single-molecule DNA sequencing of a viral genome. *Science* **320**, 106–109 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
19. Ng, P. *et al.* Gene identification signature (GIS) analysis for transcriptome characterization and genome annotation. *Nat. Methods* **2**, 105–111 (2005). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
20. Dressman, D., Yan, H., Traverso, G., Kinzler, K.W. & Vogelstein, B. Transforming single DNA molecules into

fluorescent magnetic particles for detection and enumeration of genetic variations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 8817–8822 (2003). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |

21. Adessi, C. *et al.* Solid phase DNA amplification: characterisation of primer attachment and amplification mechanisms. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **28**, e87 (2000). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
22. Fedurco, M., Romieu, A., Williams, S., Lawrence, I. & Turcatti, G. BTA, a novel reagent for DNA attachment on glass and efficient generation of solid-phase amplified DNA colonies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, e22 (2006). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
23. Turcatti, G., Romieu, A., Fedurco, M. & Tairi, A.P. A new class of cleavable fluorescent nucleotides: synthesis and optimization as reversible terminators for DNA sequencing by synthesis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, e25 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
24. Brenner, S. *et al.* Gene expression analysis by massively parallel signature sequencing (MPSS) on microbead arrays. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **18**, 630–634 (2000). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
25. Ronaghi, M., Karamohamed, S., Pettersson, B., Uhlen, M. & Nyren, P. Real-time DNA sequencing using detection of pyrophosphate release. *Anal. Biochem.* **242**, 84–89 (1996). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
26. McKernan, K., Blanchard, A., Kotler, L. & Costa, G. Reagents, methods, and libraries for bead-based sequencing. US patent application 20080003571 (2006).
27. Housby, J.N. & Southern, E.M. Fidelity of DNA ligation: a novel experimental approach based on the polymerisation of libraries of oligonucleotides. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **26**, 4259–4266 (1998). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
28. Macevicz, S.C. DNA sequencing by parallel oligonucleotide extensions. US patent 5750341 (1998).
29. Barbee, K.D. & Huang, X. Magnetic assembly of high-density DNA arrays for genomic analyses. *Anal. Chem.* **80**, 2149–2154 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
30. Braslavsky, I., Hebert, B., Kartalov, E. & Quake, S.R. Sequence information can be obtained from single DNA molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 3960–3964 (2003). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
31. Sjoblom, T. *et al.* The consensus coding sequences of human breast and colorectal cancers. *Science* **314**, 268–274 (2006). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |

32. Wood, L.D. *et al.* The genomic landscapes of human breast and colorectal cancers. *Science* **318**, 1108–1113 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
33. Brockman, W. *et al.* Quality scores and SNP detection in sequencing-by-synthesis systems. *Genome Res.* **18**, 763–770 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
34. Quinlan, A.R., Stewart, D.A., Stromberg, M.P. & Marth, G.T. Pyrobayes: an improved base caller for SNP discovery in pyrosequences. *Nat. Methods* **5**, 179–181 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
35. Li, R., Li, Y., Kristiansen, K. & Wang, J. SOAP: short oligonucleotide alignment program. *Bioinformatics* **24**, 713–714 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
36. Ning, Z., Cox, A.J. & Mullikin, J.C. SSAHA: a fast search method for large DNA databases. *Genome Res.* **11**, 1725–1729 (2001). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
37. Li, H., Ruan, J. & Durbin, R. Mapping short DNA sequencing reads and calling variants using mapping quality scores. *Genome Res.* published online, doi:doi:10.1101/gr.078212.108 (19 August 2008). | [Article](#) |
38. Butler, J. *et al.* ALLPATHS: de novo assembly of whole-genome shotgun microreads. *Genome Res.* **18**, 810–820 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
39. Sundquist, A., Ronaghi, M., Tang, H., Pevzner, P. & Batzoglou, S. Whole-genome sequencing and assembly with high-throughput, short-read technologies. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e484 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
40. Warren, R.L., Sutton, G.G., Jones, S.J. & Holt, R.A. Assembling millions of short DNA sequences using SSAKE. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 500–501 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
41. Zerbino, D.R. & Birney, E. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res.* **18**, 821–829 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
42. Brazma, A. *et al.* Minimum information about a microarray experiment (MIAME)-toward standards for microarray data. *Nat. Genet.* **29**, 365–371 (2001). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
43. Wheeler, D.L. *et al.* Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, D13–D21 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
44. Wheeler, D.A. *et al.* The complete genome of an individual by massively parallel DNA sequencing. *Nature* **452**, 872–876 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
45. Van Tassell, C.P. *et al.* SNP discovery and allele frequency estimation by deep sequencing of reduced representation

- libraries. *Nat. Methods* **5**, 247–252 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
46. Dahl, F. *et al.* Multigene amplification and massively parallel sequencing for cancer mutation discovery. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 9387–9392 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
47. Fredriksson, S. *et al.* Multiplex amplification of all coding sequences within 10 cancer genes by Gene-Collector. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, e47 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
48. Porreca, G.J. *et al.* Multiplex amplification of large sets of human exons. *Nat. Methods* **4**, 931–936 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
49. Bashiardes, S. *et al.* Direct genomic selection. *Nat. Methods* **2**, 63–69 (2005). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
50. Albert, T.J. *et al.* Direct selection of human genomic loci by microarray hybridization. *Nat. Methods* **4**, 903–905 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
51. Hodges, E. *et al.* Genome-wide *in situ* exon capture for selective resequencing. *Nat. Genet.* **39**, 1522–1527 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
52. Okou, D.T. *et al.* Microarray-based genomic selection for high-throughput resequencing. *Nat. Methods* **4**, 907–909 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
53. Campbell, P.J. *et al.* Identification of somatically acquired rearrangements in cancer using genome-wide massively parallel paired-end sequencing. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 722–729 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
54. Chen, W. *et al.* Mapping translocation breakpoints by next-generation sequencing. *Genome Res.* **18**, 1143–1149 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
55. Cox-Foster, D.L. *et al.* A metagenomic survey of microbes in honey bee colony collapse disorder. *Science* **318**, 283–287 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
56. Wilhelm, B.T. *et al.* Dynamic repertoire of a eukaryotic transcriptome surveyed at single-nucleotide resolution. *Nature* **453**, 1239–1243 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
57. Sugarbaker, D.J. *et al.* Transcriptome sequencing of malignant pleural mesothelioma tumors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 3521–3526 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) |
58. Nagalakshmi, U. *et al.* The transcriptional landscape of the yeast genome defined by RNA sequencing. *Science* **320**, 1344–1349 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |

59. Mortazavi, A., Williams, B.A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L. & Wold, B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *Nat. Methods* **5**, 621–628 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
60. Lister, R. *et al.* Highly integrated single-base resolution maps of the epigenome in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **133**, 523–536 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
61. Kim, J.B. *et al.* Polony multiplex analysis of gene expression (PMAGE) in mouse hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Science* **316**, 1481–1484 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
62. Cloonan, N. *et al.* Stem cell transcriptome profiling via massive-scale mRNA sequencing. *Nat. Methods* **5**, 613–619 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
63. Bainbridge, M.N. *et al.* Analysis of the prostate cancer cell line LNCaP transcriptome using a sequencing-by-synthesis approach. *BMC Genomics* **7**, 246 (2006). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
64. Morin, R.D. *et al.* Application of massively parallel sequencing to microRNA profiling and discovery in human embryonic stem cells. *Genome Res.* **18**, 610–621 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
65. Korshunova, Y. *et al.* Massively parallel bisulphite pyrosequencing reveals the molecular complexity of breast cancer-associated cytosine-methylation patterns obtained from tissue and serum DNA. *Genome Res.* **18**, 19–29 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
66. Ordway, J.M. *et al.* Identification of novel high-frequency DNA methylation changes in breast cancer. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e1314 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
67. Johnson, D.S., Mortazavi, A., Myers, R.M. & Wold, B. Genome-wide mapping of in vivo protein-DNA interactions. *Science* **316**, 1497–1502 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
68. Robertson, G. *et al.* Genome-wide profiles of STAT1 DNA association using chromatin immunoprecipitation and massively parallel sequencing. *Nat. Methods* **4**, 651–657 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
69. Schones, D.E. *et al.* Dynamic regulation of nucleosome positioning in the human genome. *Cell* **132**, 887–898 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
70. Wold, B. & Myers, R.M. Sequence census methods for functional genomics. *Nat. Methods* **5**, 19–21 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
71. Meyer, M., Stenzel, U. & Hofreiter, M. Parallel tagged sequencing on the 454 platform. *Nat. Protocols* **3**, 267–278

- (2008). | [Article](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
72. Slater, G.S. & Birney, E. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics* **6**, 31 (2005). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
73. Smith, A.D., Xuan, Z. & Zhang, M.Q. Using quality scores and longer reads improves accuracy of Solexa read mapping. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**, 128 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
74. Hernandez, D., Francois, P., Farinelli, L., Osteras, M. & Schrenzel, J. De novo bacterial genome sequencing: Millions of very short reads assembled on a desktop computer. *Genome Res.* **18**, 802–809 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
75. Chaisson, M.J. & Pevzner, P.A. Short read fragment assembly of bacterial genomes. *Genome Res.* **18**, 324–330 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
76. Dohm, J.C., Lottaz, C., Borodina, T. & Himmelbauer, H. SHARCGS, a fast and highly accurate short-read assembly algorithm for de novo genomic sequencing. *Genome Res.* **17**, 1697–1706 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
77. Jeck, W.R. *et al.* Links extending assembly of short DNA sequences to handle error. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 2942–2944 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
78. Paegel, B.M., Blazej, R.G. & Mathies, R.A. Microfluidic devices for DNA sequencing: sample preparation and electrophoretic analysis. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **14**, 42–50 (2003). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
79. Hong, J.W. & Quake, S.R. Integrated nanoliter systems. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **21**, 1179–1183 (2003). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
80. Emrich, C.A., Tian, H., Medintz, I.L. & Mathies, R.A. Microfabricated 384-lane capillary array electrophoresis bioanalyzer for ultrahigh-throughput genetic analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **74**, 5076–5083 (2002). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
81. Toriello, N.M., Liu, C.N., Blazej, R.G., Thaitrong, N. & Mathies, R.A. Integrated affinity capture, purification, and capillary electrophoresis microdevice for quantitative double-stranded DNA analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **79**, 8549–8556 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
82. Blazej, R.G., Kumaresan, P., Cronier, S.A. & Mathies, R.A. Inline injection microdevice for attomole-scale sanger DNA sequencing. *Anal. Chem.* **79**, 4499–4506 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
83. Hong, J.W., Studer, V., Hang, G., Anderson, W.F. & Quake, S.R. A nanoliter-scale nucleic acid processor with parallel

- architecture. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **22**, 435–439 (2004). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
84. Patil, N. *et al.* Blocks of limited haplotype diversity revealed by high-resolution scanning of human chromosome 21. *Science* **294**, 1719–1723 (2001). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
85. Frazer, K.A. *et al.* A sequence-based variation map of 8.27 million SNPs in inbred mouse strains. *Nature* **448**, 1050–1053 (2007). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
86. Gresham, D. *et al.* Genome-wide detection of polymorphisms at nucleotide resolution with a single DNA microarray. *Science* **311**, 1932–1936 (2006). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
87. Albert, T.J. *et al.* Mutation discovery in bacterial genomes: metronidazole resistance in *Helicobacter pylori*. *Nat. Methods* **2**, 951–953 (2005). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
88. Drmanac, S. *et al.* Accurate sequencing by hybridization for DNA diagnostics and individual genomics. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **16**, 54–58 (1998). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
89. Drmanac, R., Labat, I., Brukner, I. & Crkvenjakov, R. Sequencing of megabase plus DNA by hybridization: theory of the method. *Genomics* **4**, 114–128 (1989). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
90. Lizardi, P.M. *et al.* Mutation detection and single-molecule counting using isothermal rolling-circle amplification. *Nat. Genet.* **19**, 225–232 (1998). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
91. Pihlak, A. *et al.* Rapid genome sequencing with short universal tiling probes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **26**, 676–684 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
92. Deamer, D.W. & Akeson, M. Nanopores and nucleic acids: prospects for ultrarapid sequencing. *Trends Biotechnol.* **18**, 147–151 (2000). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
93. Meller, A., Nivon, L., Brandin, E., Golovchenko, J. & Branton, D. Rapid nanopore discrimination between single polynucleotide molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 1079–1084 (2000). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
94. Cockroft, S.L., Chu, J., Amorin, M. & Ghadiri, M.R. A single-molecule nanopore device detects DNA polymerase activity with single-nucleotide resolution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 818–820 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ChemPort](#) |
95. Levene, M.J. *et al.* Zero-mode waveguides for single-molecule analysis at high concentrations. *Science* **299**, 682–686 (2003). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) | [ISI](#) | [ChemPort](#) |

96. Lundquist, P.M. *et al.* Parallel confocal detection of single molecules in real time. *Opt. Lett.* **33**, 1026–1028 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) |
97. Korlach, J. *et al.* Selective aluminum passivation for targeted immobilization of single DNA polymerase molecules in zero-mode waveguide nanostructures. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 1176–1181 (2008). | [Article](#) | [PubMed](#) |
1. Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Foege Building S-250, Box 355065, 1705 NE Pacific St., Seattle, Washington 98195-5065, USA.
 2. Stanford Genome Technology Center and Division of Oncology, Dept. of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, CCSR 3215, 269 Campus Drive, Stanford, California 94305, USA.

Correspondence to: Jay Shendure¹ e-mail: shendure@u.washington.edu

Correspondence to: Hanlee Ji² e-mail: genomics_ji@stanford.edu

MORE ARTICLES LIKE THIS

These links to content published by NPG are automatically generated.

NEWS AND VIEWS

Next-generation sequencing-by-hybridization

Nature Biotechnology News and Views (01 Jun 2008)

Genomics Massively parallel sequencing

Nature News and Views (15 Sep 2005)

[See all 4 matches for News And Views](#)

RESEARCH

BOB.1/OBF.1 controls the balance of TH1 and TH2 immune responses

The EMBO Journal Article (11 Jul 2007)

The development and impact of 454 sequencing

Nature Biotechnology Research (01 Oct 2008)

[See all 6 matches for Research](#)

Nature Biotechnology ISSN 1087-0156 EISSN 1546-1696

About NPG
Contact NPG
RSS web feeds
Help

Privacy policy
Legal notice
Accessibility statement

Nature News
Naturejobs
Nature Asia
Nature Education

Search:

© 2009 Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.

partner of AGORA, HINARI, OARE, INASP, CrossRef and COUNTER