

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

SIONYX, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-01430
Patent 11,069,737 B2

Before GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, MONICA S. ULLAGADDI, and
BRIAN D. RANGE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

RANGE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION
Prior to Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 314

I. INTRODUCTION

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1–55 of U.S. Patent No. 11,069,737 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’737 patent”). Paper 1 (“Pet.”). SiOnyx, LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 13 (“Prelim. Resp.”).

Patent Owner has disclaimed claims 1, 7–27, 29–32, and 38–55 of the ’737 patent. Prelim. Resp. 1 (citing Ex. 2002; Ex. 2003). In view of this disclaimer, the remaining claims are claims 2–6, 28, and 33–37 of the ’737 patent (the “challenged claims”). With our authorization (Ex. 3001), Patent Owner filed a Supplemental Submission regarding *Fintiv* discretionary denial (Paper 19, “PO *Fintiv* Supp.”). Petitioner filed a Response (Paper 20, “Pet. *Fintiv* Resp.”) wherein Petitioner indicated that it would move to terminate this proceeding. On April 1, 2025, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate this proceeding. (Paper 20, “Mot.”); *see also* Ex. 3002 (authorizing motion to terminate).

The parties represent that there are no pertinent agreements or understandings between the patent owner and the petitioner under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) or 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b).

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” *See also* 37 C.F.R. § 42.72. Termination of the present *inter partes* review is appropriate because the parties have agreed to narrow their dispute regarding the ’737 patent and the Office has not yet decided the merits of the proceeding.

We note that Petitioner continues to challenge some claims of the '737 patent in IPR2024-01431.

II. ORDER

For the foregoing reasons, it is

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate this proceeding is *granted*, the Petition is *dismissed* under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a).

IPR2024-01430
Patent 11,069,737 B2

For PETITIONER:

Scott Weidenfeller
Nitin Subhedar
Juwon Ahn
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
sweidenfeller@cov.com
nsubhedar@cov.com
aahn@cov.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Alison McCarthy
Dustin Ferzacca
TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP
alison.mccarthy@troutman.com
dustin.ferzacca@troutman.com