

**From:** [Director Discretionary Decision](#)  
**To:** [Steve McBride](#); [Director Discretionary Decision](#)  
**Cc:** [Procomm-IPR](#); [Muldoon, Patrick C.](#); [English, D. Joseph](#); [Anderson, Kevin](#); [DC-IP Docketing](#); [McPherson, Patrick D.](#)  
**Subject:** RE: IPR2024-01452, -01453, -01454, -01455 Ericsson et al. v. Procomm - Patent Owner Procomm's Request for authorization to file amended docket control order and reply to petitioners' opposition to patent owner's request for discretionary denial  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 11, 2025 4:30:32 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Patent Owner was authorized to file a 5-page reply in IPR2024-01452, and -01454, due no later than Thursday, June 12, 2025, limited to addressing (1) the impact of Petitioners' *Sotera* stipulation submitted with their opposition, (2) the impact of the Amended Docket Control Order to the district court schedule. PO may now also include the citation of an application related to the challenged '100 Patent and the citation of the challenged '521 Patent application in patent applications filed by Petitioner Ericsson in the previously authorized brief.

Patent Owner is further authorized to file a 5-page reply in IPR2024-01453 due no later than Thursday, June 12, 2025, limited to addressing address *IRhythm*'s impact on the citation of the challenged '377 Patent by an Ericsson patent application, as well as the impact of the dismissal with prejudice of the '377 Patent from the District Court litigation on discretionary denial.

Petitioner is authorized to file a 5-page sur-reply in IPR2024-01452, -01453 and -01454, due no later than Monday, June 16, 2025, limited to addressing arguments made in Patent Owner's reply briefs.

---

**From:** Steve McBride <stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:43 PM  
**To:** Director\_Discretionary\_Decision <Director\_Discretionary\_Decision@uspto.gov>  
**Cc:** Procomm-IPR <Procomm-IPR@carmichaelip.com>; Muldoon, Patrick C. <PCMuldoon@duanemorris.com>; English, D. Joseph <DJEnglish@duanemorris.com>; Anderson, Kevin <KPAnderson@duanemorris.com>; DC-IP Docketing <DC\_IPDocketing@duanemorris.com>; McPherson, Patrick D. <PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com>  
**Subject:** RE: IPR2024-01452, -01453, -01454, -01455 Ericsson et al. v. Procomm - Patent Owner Procomm's Request for authorization to file amended docket control order and reply to petitioners' opposition to patent owner's request for discretionary denial

**CAUTION:** This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Director,

In light of Friday's decision in *IRhythm Technologies, Inc. v Welch Allyn, Inc.*, IPR2025-00363 Paper 10 (PTAB June 6, 2010), Patent Owner requests to address as part of its authorized replies in IPR2024-01452 and IPR2024-01454 the citation of an application related to the challenged '100 Patent and the citation of the challenged '521 Patent application in patent applications filed by Petitioner Ericsson.

Separately, Patent Owner requests a 5-page reply in IPR2024-01453 to address *IRhythm's* impact on the citation of the challenged '377 Patent by an Ericsson patent application, as well as the impact of the dismissal with prejudice of the '377 Patent from the District Court litigation on discretionary denial.

Respectfully,



Stephen McBride  
Carmichael IP, PLLC  
8607 Westwood Center Drive, Suite 270  
Tysons, VA 22182  
(703) 646-9247  
[stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com](mailto:stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com)

*This message may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, kindly contact the sender and destroy all copies.*

---

**From:** Director\_Discretionary\_Decision <[Director\\_Discretionary\\_Decision@uspto.gov](mailto:Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 10, 2025 10:42 AM  
**To:** Director\_Discretionary\_Decision <[Director\\_Discretionary\\_Decision@uspto.gov](mailto:Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov)>; McPherson, Patrick D. <[PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com](mailto:PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com)>; Steve McBride <[stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com](mailto:stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com)>  
**Cc:** Procomm-IPR <[Procomm-IPR@carmichaelip.com](mailto:Procomm-IPR@carmichaelip.com)>; Muldoon, Patrick C. <[PCMuldoon@duanemorris.com](mailto:PCMuldoon@duanemorris.com)>; English, D. Joseph <[DJEnglish@duanemorris.com](mailto:DJEnglish@duanemorris.com)>; Anderson, Kevin <[KPAnderson@duanemorris.com](mailto:KPAnderson@duanemorris.com)>; DC-IP Docketing <[DC\\_IPDocketing@duanemorris.com](mailto:DC_IPDocketing@duanemorris.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: IPR2024-01452, -01453, -01454, -01455 Ericsson et al. v. Procomm - Patent Owner Procomm's Request for authorization to file amended docket control order and reply to petitioners' opposition to patent owner's request for discretionary denial

Hello,

Please see corrected case numbers.

---

**From:** Director\_Discretionary\_Decision <[Director\\_Discretionary\\_Decision@uspto.gov](mailto:Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 10, 2025 9:55 AM  
**To:** McPherson, Patrick D. <[PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com](mailto:PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com)>; Steve McBride <[stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com](mailto:stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com)>; Director\_Discretionary\_Decision <[Director\\_Discretionary\\_Decision@uspto.gov](mailto:Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov)>  
**Cc:** Procomm-IPR <[Procomm-IPR@carmichaelip.com](mailto:Procomm-IPR@carmichaelip.com)>; Muldoon, Patrick C. <[PCMuldoon@duanemorris.com](mailto:PCMuldoon@duanemorris.com)>; English, D. Joseph <[DJEnglish@duanemorris.com](mailto:DJEnglish@duanemorris.com)>; Anderson, Kevin <[KPAnderson@duanemorris.com](mailto:KPAnderson@duanemorris.com)>; DC-IP Docketing <[DC\\_IPDocketing@duanemorris.com](mailto:DC_IPDocketing@duanemorris.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: IPR2024-01452, -01453, -01454, -01455 Ericsson et al. v. Procomm - Patent Owner Procomm's Request for authorization to file amended docket control order and reply to petitioners' opposition to patent owner's request for discretionary denial

Patent Owner is authorized to file a 5-page Reply in IPR2024-01452, and -01454, due no later than Thursday, June 12, 2025, limited to addressing (1) the impact of Petitioners' Sotera stipulation submitted with their opposition, and (2) the impact of the Amended Docket Control Order to the district court schedule. Patent Owner is further authorized to enter an Amended Docket Control Order in the record as an exhibit.

Petitioner is authorized to file a 5-page sur-reply in IPR2024-01452, and -01454, due no later than Monday, June 16, 2025, limited to addressing arguments made in Patent Owner's reply briefs.

---

**From:** McPherson, Patrick D. <[PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com](mailto:PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 9, 2025 4:49 PM  
**To:** Steve McBride <[stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com](mailto:stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com)>; Director\_Discretionary\_Decision <[Director\\_Discretionary\\_Decision@uspto.gov](mailto:Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov)>  
**Cc:** Procomm-IPR <[Procomm-IPR@carmichaelip.com](mailto:Procomm-IPR@carmichaelip.com)>; Muldoon, Patrick C. <[PCMuldoon@duanemorris.com](mailto:PCMuldoon@duanemorris.com)>; English, D. Joseph <[DJEnglish@duanemorris.com](mailto:DJEnglish@duanemorris.com)>; Anderson, Kevin <[KPAnderson@duanemorris.com](mailto:KPAnderson@duanemorris.com)>; DC-IP Docketing <[DC\\_IPDocketing@duanemorris.com](mailto:DC_IPDocketing@duanemorris.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: IPR2024-01452, -01453, -01454, -01455 Ericsson et al. v. Procomm - Patent Owner Procomm's Request for authorization to file amended docket control order and reply to petitioners' opposition to patent owner's request for discretionary denial

**CAUTION:** This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Director:

The Patent Owner's email below failed to include Petitioner's full position.

Petitioner does not oppose Patent Owner's request, but requests that Petitioner be afforded an opportunity to respond to the recently Amended Docket Control Order in a sur-reply. Petitioner did not have the opportunity to address Amended Docket Control Order previously. Accordingly, Petitioner requests that it be authorized to file a sur-reply or not more than 5 pages within 7 days of the filing of Patent Owner's Reply. The Patent Owner opposes Petitioner's request.

Respectfully,

Patrick McPherson  
Lead Counsel for Petitioner

**Patrick D. McPherson**  
Partner

Duane Morris LLP  
901 New York Avenue N.W., Suite 700 East  
Washington, DC 20001-4795  
P: +1 202 776 5214  
F: +1 202 478 0826  
C: +1 703 675 0639

[PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com](mailto:PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com)  
[www.duanemorris.com](http://www.duanemorris.com)

Not admitted in D.C. Practice in D.C. limited to matters and proceedings before federal courts and agencies.

---

**From:** Steve McBride <[stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com](mailto:stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, June 9, 2025 4:32 PM

**To:** [Director\\_Discretionary\\_Decision@USPTO.gov](mailto:Director_Discretionary_Decision@USPTO.gov)

**Cc:** Procomm-IPR <[Procomm-IPR@carmichaelip.com](mailto:Procomm-IPR@carmichaelip.com)>; Muldoon, Patrick C. <[PCMuldoon@duanemorris.com](mailto:PCMuldoon@duanemorris.com)>; McPherson, Patrick D. <[PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com](mailto:PDMcPherson@duanemorris.com)>; English, D. Joseph <[DJEnglish@duanemorris.com](mailto:DJEnglish@duanemorris.com)>; Anderson, Kevin <[KPAnderson@duanemorris.com](mailto:KPAnderson@duanemorris.com)>; DC-IP Docketing <[DC\\_IPDocketing@duanemorris.com](mailto:DC_IPDocketing@duanemorris.com)>

**Subject:** IPR2024-01452, -01453, -01454, -01455 Ericsson et al. v. Procomm - Patent Owner Procomm's Request for authorization to file amended docket control order and reply to petitioners' opposition to patent owner's request for discretionary denial

Dear Director,

Patent Owner Procomm International Pte. Ltd. respectfully requests authorization pursuant to the Interim Processes For PTAB Workload Management to enter an Amended Docket Control Order (issued on June 4) in the record as an exhibit to the discretionary denial briefing in related IPR2024-01452, -01453, -01454 and -01455.

Patent Owner also requests authorization to file a Reply to Petitioners' Opposition to Patent Owner's Request for Discretionary Denial of Institution, Paper 13 in IPR2024-01452 and -01454. The purpose of the reply is to address the following issues raised in Petitioners' opposition:

- (1) the impact of Petitioners' *Sotera* stipulation submitted with their opposition,
- (2) the impact of the Amended Docket Control Order to the district court schedule.

Good cause exists for a reply on these issues for the following reasons:

- (1) The PTO's FAQs for Interim Processes for PTAB Workload Management | USPTO number 14 states that patent owner should be provided the opportunity to address a *Sotera* stipulation,
- (2) The Amended Docket Control Order issued recently on June 4<sup>th</sup>. Petitioner's opposition raised arguments relating to the district court trial date and schedule that Patent Owner seeks to address using the dates in the Amended Docket Control Order.

Petitioner does not oppose Patent Owner's request.

Respectfully,



Stephen McBride  
Carmichael IP, PLLC  
8607 Westwood Center Drive, Suite 270  
Tysons, VA 22182  
(703) 646-9247  
[stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com](mailto:stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com)

*This message may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, kindly contact the sender and destroy all copies.*

For more information about Duane Morris, please visit <https://www.DuaneMorris.com>

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic mail transmission is privileged and confidential and is intended only for the review of the party to whom it is addressed. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately return it to the sender. Unintended transmission shall not constitute waiver of the attorney-client or any other privilege.