Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper:10
Entered: December 5, 2024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC AND
LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ERICSSON AB,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00004
Patent 11,122,313 B2

Before GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, NATHAN A. ENGELS, and NORMAN H. BEAMER, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

BRADEN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Kayvan B. Noroozi
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On December 2, 2024, Patent Owner filed a Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Kayvan B. Noroozi in the above-identified proceeding. Paper 8 ("Motion"). The Motion is supported by the declaration of Mr. Noroozi. Ex. 2001 ("Declaration").

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (representative "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission").

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Noroozi has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Declaration ¶¶ 1–9. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Noroozi. Mr. Noroozi will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Patent Owner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Noroozi in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 5. Patent Owner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Noroozi as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 4, 4.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Kayvan B. Noroozi is *granted*, and Mr. Noroozi is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that a registered practitioner will continue to represent Patent Owner as lead counsel in the above-identified proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Noroozi be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019))[1] and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Noroozi is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq*.

[1] Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

For PETITIONER:

Scott McKeown
Smckeown-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com

Anant Saraswat
Asaraswat-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Peter C. Knops
peter@noroozipc.com

Kayvan B. Noroozi
kayvan@noroozipc.com