

From: [Joshua J. Yi](#)
To: [Austin Ciuffo](#); [Chandran Iyer](#); [Jason Charkow](#); [Ron Daignault](#); [Scott Samay](#); [Stephanie Mandir](#); raymort@austinlaw.com; [Reid, Joseph P. \(SDO\)](#); [Zeck, Kevin A. \(SEA\)](#); [Canavera, Kyle Ryan \(SDO\)](#); [Matthew Bernstein](#); [McCullough, Christina J. \(SEA\)](#); [Maas, Eric \(SDO\)](#); [Day, Evan S. \(SDO\)](#); [Al-Salam, Ramsey M. \(SEA\)](#)
Cc: [Paige Welch](#); [Jennifer Clark](#); [Lily Reznik](#); [Joshua J. Yi](#)
Subject: ParkerVision, Inc. v. MediaTek Inc. et al (6:23-cv-00732-ADA)
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 8:08:04 PM

Counsel,

The Court provides the following preliminary constructions in advance of tomorrow's Markman hearing. The purpose of preliminary constructions is to streamline the hearing by providing the parties an indication of the Court's current position for each term. Although the parties are, of course, free to argue for their originally proposed construction, it is generally unlikely that the Court will select a party's originally proposed construction over the preliminary construction. As such, the Court believes that making arguments to fine-tune the preliminary construction may be more helpful. The preliminary constructions are not final as the Court may change some of those constructions based on the arguments at the hearing.

Of the below terms, please let me know what terms each side would like to argue at least an hour before the hearing. Each side may email their list separately (but please CC the other side) or jointly (please indicate which side, or both, wants to argue each term). To the extent a junior attorney will be arguing a term, please let me know which term(s) the junior attorney will be arguing.

Also, at least an hour before the hearing, please submit any slides the parties (and CC the other side) wish to use at the Markman hearing.

If you have any questions, please let me know. Thanks.

-Josh

P.S. To assist the court reporter (Lily Reznik, CC-ed), please email her a copy of your slides as soon as possible (it's okay if it's just a draft). When you email her, no need to CC the other side or the Court as it is purely to help her generate the transcript as quickly as possible.

Term	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Court's Preliminary Construction
#1: "string of multiple pulses" U.S. Patent No. 7,050,508, Claim 11 Proposed by Defendants	Plain and ordinary meaning	"a sequence of pulses containing at least n+1 pulses for every cycle of said string of pulses"	Plain-and-ordinary meaning
#2: "the first control signal"	Plain and	Indefinite	Not indefinite. Plain-and-

MEDIATEK-1032

MediaTek, Inc. v. Parkervision, Inc.

and the second control signal are configured to improve energy transfer to a desired harmonic” U.S. Patent No. 7,929,638, Claim 17 Proposed by Defendants	ordinary meaning		ordinary meaning
#3: “a pulse width of the first control signal and the second control signal is configured to improve energy transfer to a desired harmonic” U.S. Patent No. 7,929,638, Claim 18 Proposed by Defendants	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite	Not indefinite. Plain-and-ordinary meaning
#4: “wherein the relative amplitude of a particular harmonic image of said plurality of harmonic images can be adjusted by adjusting a pulse width of the first control signal and the second control signal” U.S. Patent No. 7,929,638, Claim 20 Proposed by Defendants	Plain and ordinary meaning.	“the wherein clause is not limiting” Alternatively, indefinite	Not indefinite. Plain-and-ordinary meaning
#5: “power efficiency” U.S. Patent No. 8,498,593, Claim 9 Proposed by Defendants	Plain and ordinary meaning	“the output power of the power supply compared to its input power”	Plain-and-ordinary meaning

Joshua J. Yi, Ph.D.

The Law Office of Joshua J. Yi, PLLC
13492 Research Blvd; Ste. 120 – #445
Austin, TX 78750-2254
<https://joshuayipatentlaw.com/>

