

Case No. IPR2025-00054

Patent No. 11,246,828

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DR. FALK PHARMA GMBH,  
Petitioner,

v.

ELLODI PHARMACEUTICALS, L.P.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2025-00054

Patent No. 11,246,828

---

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) and the Federal Rules of Evidence (“FRE”), Patent Owner objects to the following documents submitted by Petitioner Dr. Falk Pharma GMBH.

Nothing in this paper should be construed as an admission that any rights of Patent Owner would have been waived or forfeited had the paper or any objection herein not been filed, or that 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b) applies to any of the objections herein if § 42.64(b) would not otherwise apply. The objections herein are premised upon § 42.64 potentially being determined to apply to the document in question and are submitted solely to preserve the rights of Patent Owner should § 42.64(b) be determined to apply.

**I. Exhibit 1003**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it is not prior art, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**II. Exhibit 1004**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it is not prior art, it does not form a basis of the instituted

grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

### **III. Exhibit 1009**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

### **IV. Exhibit 1010**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**V. Exhibit 1011**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**VI. Exhibit 1012**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**VII. Exhibit 1013**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks

authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

#### **VIII. Exhibit 1014**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

#### **IX. Exhibit 1015**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

#### **X. Exhibit 1017**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice,

confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

#### **XI. Exhibit 1018**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

#### **XII. Exhibit 1019**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

#### **XIII. Exhibit 1025**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its

probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

#### **XIV. Exhibit 1026**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

#### **XV. Exhibit 1027**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XVI. Exhibit 1028**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XVII. Exhibit 1029**

Under FRE 401/402/403/702, this document has not shown that the purportedly expert declarant is qualified to testify competently regarding the matters that the expert's opinions are said to address. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XVIII. Exhibit 1031**

Under FRE 106/1001, 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3) & (5), and 37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(1), these documents are incomplete and are not a copy which accurately reproduces the original.

**XIX. Exhibit 1035**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its

probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XX. Exhibit 1036**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XXI. Exhibit 1039**

Under FRE 106/1001, 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3) & (5), and 37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(1), these documents are incomplete and are not a copy which accurately reproduces the original.

**XXII. Exhibit 1041**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks

authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XXIII. Exhibit 1042**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XXIV. Exhibit 1043**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XXV. Exhibit 1044**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice,

confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XXVI. Exhibit 1045**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XXVII. Exhibit 1046**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XXVIII. Exhibit 1047**

Under FRE 401/402/403, this document is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it does not form a basis of the instituted grounds, and its

probative value is outweighed by other considerations including prejudice, confusion and waste of time. Under FRE 901/902, this document lacks authentication and is not self-authenticating because it lacks sufficient indicia that the exhibit is what it purports to be.

**XXIX. Exhibit 1048**

Under FRE 106/1001, 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3) & (5), and 37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(1), these documents are incomplete and are not a copy which accurately reproduces the original.

**XXX. Exhibit 1049**

Under FRE 106/1001, 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3) & (5), and 37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(1), these documents are incomplete and are not a copy which accurately reproduces the original.

**XXXI. Exhibit 1051**

Under FRE 602/701/801/802 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.61, this document includes testimony that is not shown to be based on first-hand knowledge, is based on speculation, and constitutes and contains inadmissible hearsay. Under FRE and 37 C.F.R. § 42.65, this document, including for example and without limitation paragraphs 32-37, 44-48, 56-58, 60, 62, 63, 65-73, 83, 85-87, 89, 96-106, 118-120, 122-126, 128-130, 133, 136-138, 140-143, 144-148, 150-152, 154-156, 158, 159, 162-167, 170, 173, 176-179, 182-184, 187-191, 194-199, 202-206, 209-214, 217-

221, 226, 230, 233, 235, 239-243, 245-252, 257, 261-263, 265-268, 270-274, 277-282, 285, 286, 289-293, 296, 299, 302-306, 308-311, 313-318, 320-324, 326, 329-335, 337-341, 345, 348, 353, 356-362, is inadmissible to the extent that it is conclusory and does not disclose bases for the opinions offered therein. Petitioner relies on this exhibit to prove the truth of the matter asserted therein, but it fails to meet the requirements of any hearsay exception or exemption under FRE 803-807. Under FRE 401/402/403/702, this document, including for example and without limitation the paragraphs identified earlier in this objection, includes testimony that is not reliable and not relevant to the instituted review, because, among other things, it has not been shown that the purportedly expert declarant is qualified to testify competently regarding the matters the opinions are said to address, or that the declarant's testimony is based on sufficient facts or data or arrived at by reliable legal or art principles, procedures, or methods reliably applied to the facts of this case, or that the declarant's opinion will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine any fact in issue and does not have a greater potential to confuse and/or mislead than to enlighten. Under FRE 401/705 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.65, this document does not disclose underlying facts and data. Under FRE 401/705 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.65, this document includes testimony on patent law and practice.

Date: June 17, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

Cooley LLP  
ATTN: Patent Group  
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Suite 700  
Washington D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 776-2982  
Fax: (202) 842-7887

BY: /Sanya Sukduang/  
Sanya Sukduang  
Reg. No. 46,390  
Counsel for Patent Owner

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Sanya Sukduang, hereby certify that on June 17, 2025, the foregoing **PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)** were served electronically via email on the following counsel of record for Petitioner as follows:

Nathanael Luman  
Eric S. Furman  
Philip J. Minnick  
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON, & BEAR, LLP  
2nrl@knobbe.com  
2esf@knobbe.com  
2pjm@knobbe.com  
BoxFalkPharma@knobbe.com

DATED: June 17, 2025

/Sanya Sukduang/  
Sanya Sukduang  
Reg. No. 46,390