IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MULTIFOLD INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED PTE. LTD., Plaintiff, v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, Defendant.	C.A. No. 23-1173-JLH
MULTIFOLD INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED PTE. LTD., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE LLC, Defendant.	C.A. No. 23-1323-JLH

~~[PROPOSED]~~ SCHEDULING ORDER [PATENT]

This 29 day of April, 2024, ~~the Court having conducted an initial scheduling conference pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) and Local Rule 16.1(b), and~~ the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery Default Standard. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, the parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) on **May 2, 2024**. If they have not already done so, the parties are to review the Court's Default Standard for Discovery, Including Discovery of

Electronically Stored Information (''ESI"), which is posted at https://www.ded.uscourts.gov/default-standard-discovery and is incorporated herein by reference.

2. Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings, shall be filed on or before, **November 1, 2024**. Such motions must follow the provisions of Paragraphs 9(g) and 10.

3. Application to Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court by **May 9, 2024**. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, counsel must follow the provisions of Paragraph 9(g) below.

Any proposed protective order must include the following paragraph:

> Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" [the parties should list any other level of designation, such as "highly confidential," which may be provided for in the protective order] pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

4. Papers and Proceedings Under Seal. In accordance with section G of the Revised Administrative Procedures Governing Filing and Service by Electronic Means, a redacted version of any sealed document shall be filed electronically within seven days of the filing of the sealed document.

Should any party intend to request to seal or redact all or any portion of a transcript of a court proceeding (including a teleconference), such party should expressly note that intent at the

start of the court proceeding. Should any party subsequently choose to make a request for sealing or redaction, it must, promptly after the completion of the transcript, file with the Court a motion for sealing/redaction, and include as attachments (1) a copy of the complete transcript highlighted so the Court can easily identify and read the text proposed to be sealed/redacted, and (2) a copy of the proposed redacted/sealed transcript. With their request, the party seeking redactions must demonstrate why there is good cause for the redactions and why disclosure of the redacted material would work a clearly defined and serious injury to the party seeking redaction.

5. Courtesy Copies. The parties shall provide to the Court two courtesy copies of all briefs and any other document filed in support of any briefs *(i.e.,* appendices, exhibits, declarations, affidavits, etc.). This provision also applies to papers filed under seal. All courtesy copies shall be double-sided.

6. ADR Process. Magistrate Judges will no longer engage in alternative dispute resolution in patent cases. *See* District of Delaware Standing Order No. 2022-2, dated March 14, 2022.

7. Disclosures. Absent agreement among the parties, and approval of the Court:

(a) By **May 31, 2024**, Plaintiff[1] shall identify the accused product(s), including accused methods and systems, and its damages model, as well as the asserted patent(s) that the accused product(s) allegedly infringe(s). Plaintiff shall also produce the file history for each asserted patent.

(b) By **July 8, 2024**, Defendants shall produce core technical documents related to the accused product(s), sufficient to show how the accused product(s) work(s), including but

[1] For purposes of this Scheduling Order, Plaintiff and Defendant(s) are defined as set forth in the Default Standard for Discovery, Including Discovery of Electronically Stored Information ("ESI"), ¶ 4.

not limited to non-publicly available operation manuals, product literature, schematics, and specifications. Defendants shall also produce sales figures for the accused product(s).

(c) By **August 9, 2024**, Plaintiff shall produce an initial claim chart relating each known accused product to the asserted claims each such product allegedly infringes.

(d) By **September 13, 2024**, Defendants shall produce their initial invalidity contentions for each asserted claim, as well as the known related invalidating references.

(e) By **July 11, 2025**, Plaintiff shall provide final infringement contentions.

(f) By **August 15, 2025**, Defendants shall provide final invalidity contentions.

8. Reduction of Asserted Claims and Asserted Prior Art. [*Plaintiff's Proposal*: By **June 7, 2024**, Plaintiff shall serve an election of no more than **100** asserted claims on which it intends to proceed. By **September 27, 2024**, Plaintiff shall serve an election of no more than **40** asserted claims on which it intends to proceed. Plaintiff's elections are without prejudice to Plaintiff requesting, by an appropriate motion, to assert additional claims upon a showing of good cause. By **October 11, 2024**, Defendants shall serve an election of no more than ~~**60**~~ TBD * prior art references. By **July 11, 2025**, in connection with its final infringement contentions, Plaintiff shall serve an election of no more than **20** asserted claims on which it intends to proceed. By **August 15, 2025**, in connection with their final invalidity contentions, Defendants shall serve an election of no more than ~~**30**~~ ** TBD (see next page) prior art references on which they intend to proceed. By **February 6, 2026**, the parties will meet and confer to discuss potential further narrowing of the number of asserted claims, patents, and prior art references.] [~~*Defendants' Proposal*: By **June 7, 2024**, Plaintiff shall serve an election of no more than **100** asserted claims across no more than **10** patents on which it intends to proceed. By **September 27, 2024**, Plaintiff shall serve an election of no more than **40** asserted claims across no more than **8** patents on which it intends to proceed. Plaintiff's elections~~

* The number of prior art references that Defendants may elect will depend on the number of patents asserted. If 8 patents, Defendants shall elect no more than 60 references. Otherwise, the parties should meet and confer.

are without prejudice to Plaintiff requesting, by an appropriate motion, to assert additional claims upon a showing of good cause. By **October 11, 2024**, Defendants shall serve an election of no more than **60** prior art references. Defendants' elections are without prejudice to Defendants requesting, by an appropriate motion, to assert additional prior art references upon a showing of good cause. By **July 11, 2025**, in connection with its final infringement contentions, Plaintiff shall serve an election of no more than **20** asserted claims across no more than **4** patents on which it intends to proceed. By **August 15, 2025**, in connection with their final invalidity contentions, Defendants shall serve an election of no more than **30** prior art references on which they intend to proceed. By **February 6, 2026**, the parties will meet and confer to discuss potential further narrowing of the number of asserted claims, patents, and prior art references.]

9. Discovery. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or agreed to by the parties, the limitations on discovery set forth in the Federal Rules and Local Rule 26.1 shall be observed.

(a) Discovery Cut Off. All fact discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before **September 5, 2025**. All expert discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before **January 30, 2026.**

(b) Document Production. Document production shall be substantially complete by **May 30, 2025**.

(c) Requests for Admission. A maximum of **30** requests for admission are permitted for each side. Requests for admission solely for the purpose of authenticating documents or establishing that documents are business records are excluded from this limit, so long as such requests are served after the parties engage in a good faith meet and confer to resolve any issues regarding the authenticity of the documents.

** If 4 patents, Defendants must elect 30 prior art references. Otherwise, the parties should meet and confer.

(d) Interrogatories.

i. Defendants are permitted a maximum of **15** common interrogatories plus **10** individual interrogatories per Defendant, including contention interrogatories. Plaintiff is permitted a maximum of **15** common interrogatories plus **10** individual interrogatories per Defendant, including contention interrogatories, in each above-captioned case. Each side in each above-captioned case shall not exceed **30** total interrogatories. Each party must answer each common interrogatory served by an opposing side.

ii. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. In the absence of agreement among the parties, contention interrogatories, if filed, shall first be addressed by the party with the burden of proof. The adequacy of all interrogatory answers shall be judged by the level of detail each party provides *(i.e.,* the more detail a party provides, the more detail a party shall receive).

(e) Depositions.

i. Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery. Each party is limited to a total of **60** hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination from fact witnesses, including designee witnesses. This limit does not apply to depositions of third party witnesses. Additionally, this limit applies separately for each opposing party (*e.g.*, Plaintiff will have separate limits for each Defendant). A party can seek to go above this limit upon a showing of good cause. For purposes of counting hours, each deposition shall count the greater of the amount of deposition time conducted by that party or 3.5 hours, whichever is greater. The duration of each deposition, however, will be limited pursuant to Rule 30(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. For purposes of calculating deposition time, only time spent on the record will be counted. For

purposes of calculating deposition time, depositions conducted with the assistance of a translator will count at 60% of the elapsed time.

ii. Expert Depositions. Each party may depose all testifying experts disclosed by the other side. Expert depositions will not count against the above-mentioned hours limit for depositions.

iii. Third Party Depositions. The parties will coordinate with each other when scheduling and taking depositions of third parties to limit duplication and undue burden. Third party depositions will not count against the above-mentioned hours limit for depositions.

iv. Location of Depositions. Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this Court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court.

(f) Disclosure of Expert Testimony.

i. Expert Reports. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before **October 17, 2025**. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before **November 21, 2025**. Reply expert reports from the party with the initial burden of proof are due on or before **December 19, 2025**. No other expert reports will be permitted without either the consent of all parties or leave of the Court. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition.

ii. Expert Report Supplementation. The parties agree they will not permit expert declarations to be filed in connection with motions briefing (including case-dispositive motions). For the avoidance of doubt, the parties are permitted to filed expert declarations in connection with claim construction briefing.

iii. Objections to Expert Testimony. To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Briefing on such motions is subject to the page limits set out in connection with briefing of case dispositive motions.

(g) Discovery Matters and Disputes Relating to Protective Orders.

i. The following procedures will apply to discovery matters and protective order disputes unless and until such matters are referred to a Magistrate Judge (who may specify their own procedures). Any discovery motion filed without first complying with the following procedures will be denied without prejudice to renew pursuant to these procedures.

ii. Should counsel find, after good faith efforts—including verbal communication among Delaware and Lead Counsel for all parties to the dispute—that they are unable to resolve a discovery matter or a dispute regarding a protective order, the moving party (*i.e.*, the party seeking relief from the Court) should file a "Motion for Teleconference To Resolve [Discovery/Protective Order] Disputes." The suggested text for this motion can be found in Judge Hall's section of the Court's website, in the "Forms" tab.

iii. The Court will thereafter order a discovery telephone conference and deadlines for submissions. On the date set by court order, generally not less than seventy-two

hours prior to the conference (excluding weekends and holidays), the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. This submission shall include: (1) a proposed order, attached as an exhibit, setting out the nature of the relief requested; and (2) to the extent that the dispute relates to responses to certain discovery requests, an attached exhibit (or exhibits) containing the requests and the responses in dispute. On the date set by court order, generally not less than forty-eight hours prior to the conference (excluding weekends and holidays), any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition.

iv. Each party shall submit two courtesy copies of its letter and any other document filed in support to the Clerk's Office within one day of e-filing. All courtesy copies shall be double-sided.

v. Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the conference, the Court will order it. Alternatively, the Court may choose to resolve the dispute prior to the conference and cancel the conference.

10. Motions to Amend / Motions to Strike.

(a) Any motion to amend (including a motion for leave to amend) a pleading or any motion to strike any pleading shall be made pursuant to the discovery dispute procedure set forth in Paragraph 9(g), above.

(b) Any motion to amend shall attach the proposed amended pleading as well as a "blackline" comparison to the prior pleading. Any motion to strike shall attach the document sought to be stricken.

11. Technology Tutorials. Although technology tutorials are not required by the Court, they are appreciated and, if any party chooses to file such a tutorial, it shall be submitted on or before the date that the Joint Claim Construction Brief is filed.

12. Claim Construction Issue Identification. On **October 25, 2024**, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe need construction and exchange their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrase(s). On **November 8, 2024**, the parties shall exchange their proposed counter-constructions for term(s)/phrase(s) proposed by the other parties. This document will not be filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be filed by **November 22, 2024**. The parties' Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue, and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. If a party proposes a construction of a term to be its "plain and ordinary" meaning, the party must explain what that meaning is and how it differs from the other party's proposed construction.

13. Claim Construction Briefing. The Plaintiff shall serve, but not file, its opening brief, not to exceed 20 pages, on **December 17, 2024**. The Defendants shall serve, but not file, their combined answering brief, not to exceed 30 pages, on **January 17, 2025**. The Plaintiff shall serve, but not file, its reply brief, not to exceed 20 pages, on **January 31, 2025**. The Defendants shall serve, but not file, their combined sur-reply brief, not to exceed 10 pages, on **February 14, 2025**. No later than **February 21, 2025**, the parties shall file a Joint Claim Construction Brief. The parties

shall copy and paste their unfiled briefs into one brief, with their positions on each claim term in sequential order, in substantially the form below.

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

I. Agreed-Upon Constructions

II. Disputed Constructions

[TERM 1]

1. Plaintiff's Opening Position
2. Defendants' Answering Position
3. Plaintiff's Reply Position
4. Defendants' Sur-Reply Position

[TERM 2]

1. Plaintiff's Opening Position
2. Defendants' Answering Position
3. Plaintiff's Reply Position
4. Defendants' Sur-Reply Position

For each term in dispute, there should be a table setting forth the term in dispute and the parties' competing constructions. If a party proposes a construction of a term to be its "plain and ordinary" meaning, the party must explain what that meaning is and how it differs from the other party's proposed construction. The parties' briefing must also explain how resolution of the dispute is relevant to an issue in the case. The parties need not include any general summaries of the law relating to claim construction. The parties shall include a joint appendix that contains a copy of the patent(s) at issue, all relevant intrinsic evidence, and any other evidence cited in the parties' briefing.

No earlier than three weeks before the claim construction hearing and no later than one week before the claim construction hearing, Delaware and Lead counsel *(i.e.,* those attorneys that will be leading trial) for the parties shall meet and confer and file an amended Joint Claim Construction Chart that sets forth all agreed-upon constructions and all terms that remain in dispute. The meet and confer shall focus on an attempt to reach agreement on any remaining disputed terms where possible and to focus the dispute over the remaining terms in light of the Joint Claim Construction Brief. The amended Joint Claim Construction Chart shall be filed with a letter identifying by name each individual who participated in the meet and confer, when and how that meet and confer occurred, and how long it lasted. If no additional agreements on constructions were reached or if no dispute was narrowed, the letter shall so state. The parties shall e-mail a copy of the amended Joint Claim Construction Chart in Microsoft Word format to jlh_civil@ded.uscourts.gov.

14. Hearing on Claim Construction. Beginning at 10am on ~~March~~ June 27, **2025**, the Court will hear argument on claim construction. The parties need not include any general summaries of the law relating to claim construction in their presentations to the Court. The parties shall notify the Court, by joint letter submission, no later than the date on which their Joint Claim Construction brief is filed (i) whether they request leave to present testimony at the hearing; and (ii) the amount of time they are requesting be allocated to them for the hearing.

Provided that the parties comply with all portions of this Scheduling Order, and any other orders of the Court, the parties should anticipate that the Court will issue its claim construction order within sixty days of the conclusion of the claim construction hearing. If the Court is unable to meet this goal, it will advise the parties no later than sixty days after the conclusion of the claim construction hearing.

15. Interim Status Report. On **July 11, 2025**, counsel shall submit a joint letter to the Court with an interim report on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date. Thereafter, if the Court deems it necessary, it will schedule a status conference.

16. Case Dispositive Motions.

(a) All case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before **February 27, 2026**. Answering briefs and affidavits, if any, shall be served and filed on or before **March 27, 2026**. Reply briefs to any such motions shall be served and filed on or before **April 17, 2026**. Briefing will be presented pursuant to the Court's Local Rules. No case dispositive motion under Rule 56 may be filed more than ten days before the above date without leave of the Court.

(b) Concise Statement of Facts Requirement. Any motion for summary judgment shall be accompanied by a separate concise statement, not to exceed six pages, which details each material fact that the moving party contends is essential for the Court's resolution of the summary judgment motion (not the entire case) and as to which the moving party contends there is no genuine issue to be tried. Each fact shall be set forth in a separate numbered paragraph and shall be supported by specific citation(s) to the record.

Any party opposing the motion shall include with its opposing papers a response to the moving party's concise statement, not to exceed six pages, which admits or disputes the facts set forth in the moving party's concise statement on a paragraph-by-paragraph basis. To the extent a fact is disputed, the basis of the dispute shall be supported by specific citation(s) to the record. Failure to respond to a fact presented in the moving party's concise statement of facts shall indicate that fact is not in dispute for purposes of summary judgment. The party opposing the motion may also include with its opposing papers a separate concise statement, not to exceed four pages, which

sets forth material facts as to which the opposing party contends there is a genuine issue to be tried. Each fact asserted by the opposing party shall also be set forth in a separate numbered paragraph and shall be supported by specific citation(s) to the record.

(c) The moving party shall include with its reply papers a response to the opposing party's concise statement of facts, not to exceed four pages, on a paragraph-by-paragraph basis.

(d) Page limits combined with Daubert motion page limits. Each party is permitted to file as many case dispositive motions as desired, provided, however, that each *side* will be limited to a combined total of 40 pages for all opening briefs, a combined total of 40 pages for all answering briefs, and a combined total of 20 pages for all reply briefs. In the event that a party files, in addition to a case dispositive motion, a Daubert motion to exclude or preclude all or any portion of an expert's testimony, the total amount of pages permitted for all case dispositive and Daubert motions shall be increased to 50 pages for all opening briefs, 50 pages for all answering briefs, and 25 pages for all reply briefs for each *side.*[2]

(e) Hearing. The Court will hear argument on all pending case dispositive and *Daubert* motions on **June** 25**, 2026** beginning at 10am. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, each side will be allocated a total of forty-five minutes to present its argument on all pending motions.

[2] The parties must work together to ensure that the Court receives no more than a *total* of 250 pages *(i.e.,* 50 + 50 + 25 regarding one side's motions, and 50 + 50 + 25 regarding the other side's motions) of briefing on all case dispositive motions and *Daubert* motions that are covered by this scheduling order and any other scheduling order entered in any related case that is proceeding on a consolidated or coordinated pretrial schedule.

17. Applications by Motion. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

18. Amendments to the Scheduling Order. Any stipulation or other request to amend the scheduling order shall include a chart that lists each court-ordered event with a deadline/date (even if it is not being changed), the current deadline/date, and the new proposed deadline/date.

19. IPRs/PGRs. Any party asserting a patent must file a "Notice of IPR/PGR Event" within seven days of any of the following actions taken on that patent: petition for *inter partes* review/post grant review (regardless of whether a party filed the petition), institution decision, final written decision, appeal to the Federal Circuit, Federal Circuit decision. The Notice must list the action taken, the affected claims, the dates of any expected further action by the PTAB, and the status of all other pending IPRs/PGRs.

20. Motions *in Limine*. Motions *in limine* shall not be separately filed. All *in limine* requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each side shall be limited to three *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The *in limine* request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon. Each *in limine* request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument, may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument, and the side making the *in limine* request may add a maximum of one additional page in reply in support of its request. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an *in limine* request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three page submission (and, if

the moving party, a single one page reply), unless otherwise ordered by the Court. No separate briefing shall be submitted on *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.

21. Pretrial Conferences. On ~~**August**~~ July 21**, 2026**, the Court will hold a pretrial conference for the trial against Defendant Motorola Mobility LLC in Court with counsel beginning at 3 pm. On **November** 5**, 2026**, the Court will hold a pretrial conference for the trial against Defendant Google LLC in Court with counsel beginning at 3 pm. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order satisfies the pretrial disclosure requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). The parties in each case shall file with the Court the joint proposed final pretrial order for that case in compliance with Local Rule 16.3(c) not later than seven days before the relevant pretrial conference. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties shall comply with the timeframes set forth in Local Rule 16.3(d)(1)-(3) for the preparation of the joint proposed final pretrial orders.

The proposed final pretrial orders shall contain a table of contents. The parties shall provide the Court two double-sided courtesy copies of the joint proposed final pretrial orders and all attachments.

22. Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47.1(a)(2) and 51.1, the parties should file (i) proposed voir dire, (ii) preliminary jury instructions, (iii) final jury instructions, and (iv) special verdict forms seven days before the final pretrial conference. This submission shall be accompanied by a courtesy copy

containing electronic files of these documents in Microsoft Word format, which should be submitted by e-mail to jlh_civil@ded.uscourts.gov.

23. Trial. These matters are scheduled for seven (7)[3] day jury trials. The trial against Defendant Motorola Mobility LLC will begin at 9:30 a.m. on **August 3, 2026**, with the subsequent trial days beginning at 9:00 a.m. The trial against Defendant Google LLC will begin at 9:30 a.m. on **November 16, 2026**, with the subsequent trial days beginning at 9:00 a.m. Until the cases are submitted to the jury for deliberations, the jury will be excused each day at 5:00 p.m. The trials will be timed, and counsel will be allocated a total number of hours in which to present their respective cases.

24. Judgment on Verdict and Post-Trial Status Report. Within seven days after a jury returns a verdict in any portion of a jury trial, the parties shall jointly submit a form of order to enter judgment on the verdict. At the same time, the parties shall submit a joint status report, indicating among other things how the case should proceed and listing any post-trial motions each party intends to file.

25. Post-Trial Motions.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, all *sides* are limited to a maximum of 20 pages of opening briefs, 20 pages of answering briefs, and 10 pages of reply briefs relating to any post-trial motions filed by that side, no matter how many such motions are filed.

The Honorable Jennifer L. Hall
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

[3] Five days (i.e., about ten to thirteen hours per side) is the presumptive length of a patent jury trial. If the parties think it is obvious that this will not be enough, they may put in a different length and should be prepared to explain why at the Rule 16 conference. A final decision on the precise length of trial will not be made before the final pretrial conference.

Reply Claim Construction Brief (Plaintiff)	1/31/2025
Sur-Reply Claim Construction Brief (Defendants)	2/14/2025
Joint Claim Construction Brief	2/21/2025
Interim Status Report	7/11/2025
Joint Claim Construction Chart	No earlier than three weeks before the claim construction hearing and no later than one week before the claim construction hearing
Claim Construction Hearing	~~3/___/2025~~ 6/27/2025, 10am
Substantial Completion of Document Production	5/30/2025
Final Infringement Contentions and [*Plaintiff's Proposal*: Plaintiff narrows number of asserted claims to 20] [*Defendants' Proposal*: Plaintiff narrows number of asserted claims to 20 across no more than 4 patents]	7/11/2025
Final Invalidity Contentions and Defendants narrow number of asserted prior art references to 30	8/15/2025
Close of Fact Discovery	9/5/2025
Opening Expert Reports	10/17/2025
Rebuttal Expert Reports	11/21/2025
Reply Expert Reports	12/19/2025
Close of Expert Discovery	1/30/2026
Parties meet and confer to discuss further narrowing the number of asserted claims, patents, and/or prior art references	2/6/2026
Case Dispositive Motions/*Daubert* Motions	2/27/2026
Case Dispositive Motions/*Daubert* Answering Briefs	3/27/2026
Case Dispositive Motions/*Daubert* Reply Briefs	4/17/2026
Case Dispositive Motions/*Daubert* Hearing	6/25/2026, 10am
Proposed Final Pretrial Order, Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms in *Multifold v. Motorola*	8/___/2026
Pretrial Conference in *Multifold v. Motorola*	~~8/___/2026~~ 7/21/2026, 3pm
Trial in *Multifold v. Motorola*	8/3/2026

EVENT	DEADLINE
Initial Disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)	5/2/2024
Application to Court for Protective Order	5/9/2024
Plaintiff identifies the accused product(s) and the asserted patent(s) that the accused product(s) allegedly infringe(s), and also produce the file history for each asserted patent.	5/31/2024
[*Plaintiff's Proposal*: Plaintiff narrows number of asserted claims to 100] ~~[*Defendants' Proposal*: Plaintiff narrows number of asserted claims to 100 across no more than 10 patents]~~	6/7/2024
Defendants produce core technical documents related to the accused product(s), sufficient to show how the accused product(s) work(s), including but not limited to non-publicly available operation manuals, product literature, schematics, and specifications. Defendants also produce sales figures for the accused product(s).	7/8/2024
Motions to Join Other Parties and for Amendment of Pleadings	11/1/2024
Plaintiff produces initial claim charts relating each known accused product to the asserted claims each such product allegedly infringes.	8/9/2024
Defendants produce their initial invalidity contentions for each asserted claim, as well as the known related invalidating references.	9/13/2024
[*Plaintiff's Proposal*: Plaintiff narrows number of asserted claims to 40] ~~[*Defendants' Proposal*: Plaintiff narrows number of asserted claims to 40 across no more than 8 patents]~~	9/27/2024
Defendants narrow number of asserted prior art references to ~~60~~ * TBD	10/11/2024
Exchange List of Claim Terms for Construction and Proposed Claim Constructions	10/25/2024
Exchange Proposed Counter-Constructions	11/8/2024
Joint Claim Construction Chart	11/22/2024
Opening Claim Construction Brief (Plaintiff)	12/17/2024
Answering Claim Construction Brief (Defendants)	1/17/2025

Proposed Final Pretrial Order, Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms in *Multifold v. Google*	11/___/2026
Pretrial Conference in *Multifold v. Google*	11/5/2026, 3pm
Trial in *Multifold v. Google*	11/16/2026