

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CROWDSTRIKE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

GOSECURE, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00068 (Patent 9,954,872 B2)
IPR2025-00070 (Patent 9,954,872 B2)¹

Before NEIL T. POWELL, STACEY G. WHITE, and
GARTH D. BAER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

POWELL, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

¹ This Order addresses matters common to the above-captioned proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading in subsequent papers.

IPR2025-00068 (Patent 9,954,872 B2)

IPR2025-00070 (Patent 9,954,872 B2)

An email we received from the parties notes that, in each of these proceedings, Patent Owner's Motion for Additional Discovery (IPR2025-00068, Paper 23; IPR2025-00070, Paper 24) is moot for procedural reasons. Ex. 3001. Because Patent Owner's Motion for Additional Discovery is moot, Patent Owner's accompanying Motion to Seal (IPR2025-00068, Paper 22; IPR2025-00070, Paper 23) is also moot. Accordingly, we deny as moot Patent Owner's Motion for Additional Discovery and Motion to Seal.

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion for Additional Discovery in each proceeding is denied as moot;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion to Seal in each proceeding is denied as moot.

IPR2025-00068 (Patent 9,954,872 B2)

IPR2025-00070 (Patent 9,954,872 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Paul R. Hart

Adam P. Seitz

ERISE IP, P.A.

paul.hart@eriseip.com

adam.seitz@eriseip.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

S. Giri Pathmanaban

Clement Naples

Daniel S. Todd

Steven W. Peters

CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

gpathmanaban@cgsh.com

cnaples@cgsh.com

dtodd@cgsh.com

speters@cgsh.com

Raghav Bajaj

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

raghav.bajaj@lw.com