

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ABBOTT LABORATORIES,
Petitioner,

v.

MIRACOR MEDICAL SA,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00096
Patent 11,351,356 B2

GRANT OF GOOD CAUSE EXTENSION
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11); 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c)

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11), “the final determination in an inter partes review [shall] be issued not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director notices the institution of a review under this chapter, except that the Director may, for good cause shown, extend the 1-year period by not more than 6 months” The Director has delegated the authority to extend the one-year period to the Chief Administrative Patent

Judge. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c). In particular, 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c) provides:

An *inter partes* review proceeding shall be administered such that pendency before the Board after institution is normally no more than one year. The time can be extended by up to six months for good cause by the Chief Administrative Patent Judge

The Board issued a Decision Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review in the instant proceeding on May 19, 2025, along with a Scheduling Order stating that oral argument in the proceeding would be held on February 25, 2026, if requested by either party. Papers 15, 16. On February 12, 2026, following requests by the parties for oral argument, the Board issued an Order setting joint oral arguments in the instant proceeding and related proceedings IPR2025-00112, IPR2025-00113, IPR2025-00114, IPR2025-00115, and IPR2025-00116, involving the same parties and common issues, for February 25, 2026, in accordance with the Scheduling Order. Paper 37. On February 23, 2026, the Board issued an Order granting an unopposed request by Patent Owner Miracor Medical SA to cancel and reschedule the oral arguments, and on February 26, 2026, the Board issued a further Order, setting the oral arguments for March 5, 2026. Papers 45, 48. The Board heard joint oral arguments in all six proceedings on March 5, 2026. *See* Paper 56.

In the absence of any extension, the Board is due to issue a Final Written Decision in the instant proceeding no later than May 19, 2026. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11) (final written decision presumptively due no later than one year after entry of decision on institution); Paper 15. Final written decisions in related proceedings IPR2025-00112 to -00116 are variously due

between June 6 and June 11, 2026. IPR2025-00112, Paper 13 (Decision granting institution dated June 9, 2025); IPR2025-00113, Paper 13 (Decision granting institution dated June 6, 2025); IPR2025-00114, Paper 13 (Decision granting institution dated June 10, 2025); IPR2025-00115, Paper 13 (Decision granting institution dated June 11, 2025); IPR2025-00116, Paper 13 (Decision granting institution dated June 11, 2025).

Under the circumstances presented, including the rescheduling of the oral arguments and common issues among this and the related proceedings, the Chief Administrative Patent Judge determines that extending the deadline for the final written decision in this proceeding will promote efficient resolution of this and the related proceedings and, accordingly, that good cause exists in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c) to extend the one-year period for issuing a final written decision.



Digitally signed by
KALYAN
DESHPANDE
Date: 2026.05.13
09:37:12 -04'00'

Kalyan K. Deshpande
Chief Administrative Patent Judge

IPR2025-00096
Patent 11,351,356 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Scott Border
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
sborder@winston.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Joshua Larsen
Ronald Cahill
Mark Hagedorn
Todd Vare
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
joshua.larsen@btlaw.com
rcahill@btlaw.com
mhagedorn@btlaw.com
todd.vare@btlaw.com