

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JUMIO CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

FACETEC, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00106 (Patent 10,776,471 B2)
IPR2025-00107 (Patent 11,157,606 B2)
IPR2025-00108 (Patent 11,693,938 B2)
IPR2025-00109 (Patent 11,874,910 B2)¹

Before GARTH D. BAER, NABEEL U. KHAN, and BACH V. HOANG,
Administrative Patent Judges.

KHAN, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motion for
Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Kyle W.K. Mooney
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Order applies to each of the above-listed proceedings. The parties must obtain prior Board approval to use this caption style.

IPR2025-00106 (Patent 10,776,471 B2)
IPR2025-00107 (Patent 11,157,606 B2)
IPR2025-00108 (Patent 11,693,938 B2)
IPR2025-00109 (Patent 11,874,910 B2)

August 28, 2025, Petitioner filed a motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Kyle W.K. Mooney. Paper 26 (“Motion”).² Petitioner also filed a declaration from Mr. Mooney in support of the Motion. Ex. 1052 (“Declaration”).³ Petitioner attests that Patent Owner was contacted and did not plan to oppose. Motion ¶ 1. For the reasons provided below, Petitioner’s Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Mooney has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding, that Mr. Mooney has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and that Mr. Mooney satisfies all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. Declaration ¶¶ 1–9. Accordingly,

² We cite to the paper filed in IPR2025-00106. Same papers were filed in IPR2025-00107, 00108, and 00109.

³ We cite to the exhibit filed in IPR2025-00106. Same exhibits were filed in IPR2025-00107, 00108, and 00109.

IPR2025-00106 (Patent 10,776,471 B2)
IPR2025-00107 (Patent 11,157,606 B2)
IPR2025-00108 (Patent 11,693,938 B2)
IPR2025-00109 (Patent 11,874,910 B2)

Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Mooney.

Petitioner has filed an Updated Power of Attorney including Mr. Mooney in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 8. Petitioner has also filed Updated Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Mooney as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 27.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Mooney is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Mooney is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel only in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Mooney comply with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide⁴ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Mooney is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.*

⁴ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2025-00106 (Patent 10,776,471 B2)
IPR2025-00107 (Patent 11,157,606 B2)
IPR2025-00108 (Patent 11,693,938 B2)
IPR2025-00109 (Patent 11,874,910 B2)

PETITIONER:

Alex Yap
Mehran Arjomand
Jean Nguyen
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
ayap@mofo.com
marjomand@mofo.com
jnguyen@mofo.com

PATENT OWNER:

Joshua Goldberg
Christopher Johns
Jason Stach
Chen Zang
Anthony Berlenbach
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT, & DUNNER LLP
joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com
christopher.johns@finnegan.com
jason.stach@finnegan.com
chen.zang@finnegan.com
anthony.berlenbach@finnegan.com