

EXHIBIT

1

Grant E. Kinsel, Bar No. 172407
GKinsel@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
1888 Century Park East
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: 310.788.9900
Facsimile: 310.788.3399

Attorneys for JUMIO CORPORATION

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

FACETEC, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

JUMIO CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:24-cv-3623-RFL

Declaration of Jessica Kaiser in
Opposition to Motion to Disqualify

Date: 2/11/2025
Time: 10 a.m.
Crt Rm: 15, Hon. R. Lin

1 I, Jessica Kaiser, declare:

2 1. I make this declaration on the basis of personal knowledge, and if
3 called to testify as a witness, I would and could testify competently hereto.

4 2. I am lead counsel for all of the Jumio *inter partes* Review (“IPR”)
5 challenges to FaceTec’s patents, U.S. Patent Nos 10,776,471; 11,157,606;
6 11,874,910; 11,693,938 (the “FaceTec Patents”). As lead counsel for the FaceTec
7 Patents IPRs, I am responsible for all aspects of these matters.

8 3. Prior to joining the firm, I was an Administrative Patent Judge and
9 Lead Administrative Patent Judge at the PTAB for over seven years, where I pre-
10 sided over numerous AIA trials in the electrical arts. I was involved in peer review
11 at the PTAB as well as policy and rulemaking. As a judge and a practitioner, I have
12 handled hundreds of IPRs.

13 4. Properly preparing an IPR petition requires extensive work. Prior art
14 needs to be identified and reviewed. In this case, because the prior art was so ex-
15 tensive, the team reviewed dozens of different pieces of prior art. The team both
16 reviewed prior art returned from searchers and searched itself for prior art. Once
17 the best prior art was identified, the petitions themselves had to be drafted, and the
18 subject-matter expert consulted. We completed and filed the IPR petitions in early
19 November, which was only approximately three months after we were retained to
20 represent Jumio. This is, in my experience, a relatively short amount of time to
21 prepare four separate IPR petitions.

22 5. Because we prepared and then filed four IPR petitions in a relatively
23 short time (from July when we were first retained to early November when we filed
24 the IPRs), my team included nine different lawyers (plus two paralegals). Many of
25 the lawyers on the IPR team have extensive experience in preparing IPR petitions
26 and conducting IPRs through to a Final Written Decision. The IPR team in this
27 matter invested almost 900 hours in the preparation of the IPR petitions.
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 30, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record for the parties.

/s/ Grant E. Kinsel
Grant E. Kinsel