

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JUMIO CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

FACETEC, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00106 (Patent 10,776,471 B2)
IPR2025-00107 (Patent 11,157,606 B2)
IPR2025-00108 (Patent 11,693,938 B2)
IPR2025-00109 (Patent 11,874,910 B2)¹

Before GARTH D. BAER, NABEEL U. KHAN, and BACH V. HOANG,
Administrative Patent Judges.

KHAN, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

Granting Petitioner's Motions for Entry of Protective Order
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14, 42.54

¹ We issue one Order to be entered in each proceeding. The combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate a joined case or an expanded panel.

IPR2025-00106 (Patent 10,776,471 B2)
IPR2025-00107 (Patent 11,157,606 B2)
IPR2025-00108 (Patent 11,693,938 B2)
IPR2025-00109 (Patent 11,874,910 B2)

On August 28, 2025, Petitioner, Jumio Corporation, filed a Motion for Entry of Protective Order in each of the above-listed proceedings (collectively, “Motions”). *See* Paper 28². In the Motions, Petitioner seeks entry of a “modified” version of the Board’s Default Protective Order as set forth in the Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s Consolidated Trial Practice Guide³ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), 20–21. *Id.* at 6. Petitioner submits a marked-up version of the Default Protective Order as Exhibit 1053 and also submits a clean version as Exhibit 1054. Petitioner also notes that Patent Owner, FaceTec, Inc., assents to Petitioner’s Motions for entry of the protective order submitted as Exhibits 1053 and 1054. *See id.*

There is a strong public policy for making the record of *inter partes* review proceedings open to the public, given that such proceedings address the patentability of claims in an issued patent and consequently affect the rights of the public. As such, per 35 U.S.C. § 316(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.14, the general rule is that all papers and exhibits filed in an *inter partes* review proceeding are open and available for access by the public, however, a party may file a motion for protective order and an accompanying motion to seal a particular paper or exhibit, and such paper or exhibit will be provisionally sealed pending the outcome of the motion for protective order and the motion to seal. The standard for granting such motions is “for good cause.”

² We note that the Paper number and Exhibit numbers are the same for each motion.

³ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2025-00106 (Patent 10,776,471 B2)
IPR2025-00107 (Patent 11,157,606 B2)
IPR2025-00108 (Patent 11,693,938 B2)
IPR2025-00109 (Patent 11,874,910 B2)

37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a). Thus, Petitioner, as the moving party, bears the burden of showing entitlement to the requested relief. 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c). Having reviewed Petitioner's Motions, we conclude that good cause exists for the proposed amendments to the Board's Default Protective Order.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions for Entry of the Protective Order set forth in Exhibits 1053 and 1054 are *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Protective Orders set forth in Exhibits 1053 and 1054 are *entered* and shall govern the conduct of these proceedings with respect to those papers and exhibits placed under seal.

IPR2025-00106 (Patent 10,776,471 B2)
IPR2025-00107 (Patent 11,157,606 B2)
IPR2025-00108 (Patent 11,693,938 B2)
IPR2025-00109 (Patent 11,874,910 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Alex Yap
Mehran Arjomand
Jean Nguyen
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
ayap@mofo.com
marjomand@mofo.com
jnguyen@mofo.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Joshua Goldberg
Christopher Johns
Jason Stach
Chen Zang
Anthony Berlenbach
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT, & DUNNER LLP
joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com
christopher.johns@finnegan.com
jason.stach@finnegan.com
chen.zang@finnegan.com
anthony.berlenbach@finnegan.com