EXHIBIT 1

Grant E. Kinsel, Bar No. 172407
GKinsel@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
1888 Century Park East
Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: 310.788.9900
Facsimile: 310.788.3399

Attorneys for JUMIO CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FACETEC, INC., *Plaintiff*, *v.* JUMIO CORPORATION, *Defendant*.	Case No. 5:24-cv-3623-RFL Declaration of Jessica Kaiser in Opposition to Motion to Disqualify Date: 2/11/2025 Time: 10 a.m. Crt Rm: 15, Hon. R. Lin

I, Jessica Kaiser, declare:

1. I make this declaration on the basis of personal knowledge, and if called to testify as a witness, I would and could testify competently hereto.

2. I am lead counsel for all of the Jumio *inter partes* Review ("IPR") challenges to FaceTec's patents, U.S. Patent Nos 10,776,471; 11,157,606; 11,874,910; 11,693,938 (the "FaceTec Patents"). As lead counsel for the FaceTec Patents IPRs, I am responsible for all aspects of these matters.

3. Prior to joining the firm, I was an Administrative Patent Judge and Lead Administrative Patent Judge at the PTAB for over seven years, where I presided over numerous AIA trials in the electrical arts. I was involved in peer review at the PTAB as well as policy and rulemaking. As a judge and a practitioner, I have handled hundreds of IPRs.

4. Properly preparing an IPR petition requires extensive work. Prior art needs to be identified and reviewed. In this case, because the prior art was so extensive, the team reviewed dozens of different pieces of prior art. The team both reviewed prior art returned from searchers and searched itself for prior art. Once the best prior art was identified, the petitions themselves had to be drafted, and the subject-matter expert consulted. We completed and filed the IPR petitions in early November, which was only approximately three months after we were retained to represent Jumio. This is, in my experience, a relatively short amount of time to prepare four separate IPR petitions.

5. Because we prepared and then filed four IPR petitions in a relatively short time (from July when we were first retained to early November when we filed the IPRs), my team included nine different lawyers (plus two paralegals). Many of the lawyers on the IPR team have extensive experience in preparing IPR petitions and conducting IPRs through to a Final Written Decision. The IPR team in this matter invested almost 900 hours in the preparation of the IPR petitions.

6. In July 2024, after Perkins Coie had been retained by Jumio to represent Jumio in connection with the IPR proceedings, Perkins Coie set up an ethical screen to screen all members of the IPR team from any lawyers who previously represented FaceTec. A true and correct copy of the ethical screen is attached hereto as Exhibit "1." At the time of the ethical screen, Jordan Becker and Lowell Ness were the only lawyers remaining at the firm who had, at any time, represented FaceTec. I was in charge of implementing the ethical screen for the IPR matters. Neither I nor any member of my team has spoken with Mr. Ness or Mr. Becker regarding the FaceTec litigation or IPRs. Nor has anyone on my team subject to the screen reviewed any materials held by the firm associated with FaceTec. Nor has any member of my team sought information from or provided information to any screened Perkins Coie lawyer.

7. Because IPRs are focused on the patents and the publicly available, written prior art, neither I nor any member of my team would have any need for any information regarding FaceTec's products or technology. Such information is entirely irrelevant to IPRs. Thus, neither I nor any member of my team has seen any confidential FaceTec materials from any source, including from either Perkins Coie or Jumio.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed December 30, 2024, at Denver, CO.

Jessica Kaiser

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 30, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record for the parties.

/s/ *Grant E. Kinsel*
Grant E. Kinsel