

From: [Director_PTABDecision_Review](#)
To: "Rush, MacAulay"
Cc: [MLB-HydraFacial-IPR-287-2](#); [EunsungIPR](#); [PTAB Scott McKeown](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00145: Petitioners' Request for Director Review - Dismissed as untimely
Date: Wednesday, September 9, 2026 11:42:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Counsel,

The Director's Decision denying institution of inter partes review in the above-referenced proceeding issued on February 12, 2026. Paper 41. Petitioner timely filed a request for rehearing of the Decision (Paper 42), which was denied on April 20, 2026. Paper 46. Petitioner further filed a request for refund of the post-institution fee (Paper 47), which was granted on May 14, 2026. Paper 48. Petitioner's request for Director Review filed August 28, 2026 (Paper 52), is therefore improper and will not be considered. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.75(a) ("the Director may review any decision on institution under 35 U.S.C. 135, 314, or 324, any final decision under 35 U.S.C. 135, 318, or 328, any decision granting rehearing of such a decision, or any other decision concluding a proceeding brought under 35 U.S.C. 135, 311, or 321").

Thank you.

IPR2025-00145
Ex. 3105

From: Rush, MacAulay <MacAulay.Rush@WolfGreenfield.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2026 7:45 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: MLB-HydraFacial-IPR-287-2 <mlb-hydrafacial-ipr-287-2@morganlewis.com>; EunsungIPR <eunsungipr@foley.com>; PTAB Scott McKeown <SMcKeown-PTAB@WolfGreenfield.com>; Director_PTABDecision_Review <Director_PTABDecision_Review@uspto.gov>
Subject: IPR2025-00145: Petitioners' Request for Director Review

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

RE: Sinclair Pharma Limited et al. v. HydraFacial LLC
Case No. IPR2025-00145

Dear Trials,

Petitioners filed a request for Director Review under 37 C.F.R. § 42.75(c) to vacate the de-institution and termination of IPR2025-00145 in P-TACTS this afternoon. The Director Review Document Type, however, was not available as an option in P-TACTS when Petitioners attempted to file. Accordingly, Petitioner filed the Request as paper type "Other: Other" titled "Petitioners' Request for Director Review." Paper 52.

As stated in the request, the Director is authorized to charge the petition fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(f) and the \$452.00 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(f) to Deposit Account No. 23/2825, under Docket No. A1460.60000US02.

Thank you,
Mac Rush



MacAulay Rush

Post-Grant Paralegal

macaulay.rush@wolfgreenfield.com

O: 617.646.8417

Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.

BOSTON | NEW YORK | WASHINGTON DC

wolfgreenfield.com | [LinkedIn](#)

This e-mail message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me immediately by replying to this message. Please destroy all copies of this message and any attachments. Thank you.