Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 7
Entered: February 26, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

YANGTZE MEMORY TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00034 (Patent 10,879,254 B2)
IPR2025-00035 (Patent 11,450,604 B2)
IPR2025-00117 (Patent 10,672,711 B2)
IPR2025-00118 (Patent 10,879,164 B2)
IPR2025-00119 (Patent 10,879,254 B2)
IPR2025-00189 (Patent 11,145,666 B2)[1]

Before JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, KIMBERLY McGRAW, and MICHAEL T. CYGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motions for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Jared Bobrow
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

[1] The combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate that IPR2025-00034, IPR2025-00035, IPR2025-00117, IPR2025-00118, IPR2025-00119, and IPR2025-00189 have been joined. Parties are not authorized to use this caption without express Board permission.

On January 15, 2025, Petitioner filed motions requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Jared Bobrow in each of the above-identified proceedings (collectively "Motions"). Paper 8.[2] Petitioner also submitted declarations from Jared Bobrow in support of the Motions (collectively "Declarations"). Ex. 1045.[3] Patent Owner has not opposed the Motions.

For the reasons provided below, Petitioner's Motions are *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission").

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Bobrow has sufficient legal and

---

[2] For purposes of expediency, we cite to Papers filed in IPR2025-00034. Petitioner filed similar Motions in IPR2025-00035 (Paper 6), IPR2025-00117 (Paper 6), IPR2025-00118 (Paper 7), IPR2025-00119 (Paper 8), and IPR2025-00189 (Paper 6).

[3] We cite to Exhibits filed in IPR2025-00034. Petitioner filed similar Declarations in IPR2025-00035 (Ex. 1031), IPR2025-00117 (Ex. 1022), IPR2025-00118 (Ex. 1028), IPR2025-00119 (Ex. 1045), and IPR2025-00189 (Ex. 1023).

technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 1045. Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Bobrow. Mr. Bobrow will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Petitioner has filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Bobrow in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). IPR2025-00034, Paper 2; IPR2025-00035, Paper 2; IPR2025-00117, Paper 2; IPR2025-00118, Paper 2; IPR2025-00119, Paper 2; and IPR2025-00189, Paper 2. Petitioner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Bobrow as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). IPR2025-00034, Paper 1, 7; IPR2025-00035, Paper 1, 3; IPR2025-00117, Paper 1, 9; IPR2025-00118, Paper 1, 4; IPR2025-00119, Paper 1, 8; and IPR2025-00189, Paper 1, 9.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Jared Bobrow are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel only in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide[4] (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

[4] Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

IPR2025-00034 (Patent 10,879,254 B2)
IPR2025-00035 (Patent 11,450,604 B2)
IPR2025-00117 (Patent 10,672,711 B2)
IPR2025-00118 (Patent 10,879,164 B2)
IPR2025-00119 (Patent 10,879,254 B2)
IPR2025-00189 (Patent 11,145,666 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

K. Herman
Jeremy Lang
ORRICK, HERRINGTON, & SUTCLIFFE LLP
p52ptabdocket@orrick.com
ptabdocketjjl2@orrick.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Inge Osman
Clement Naples
Brenda Danek
Steven Peters
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
inge.osman@lw.com
clement.naples@lw.com
brenda.danek@lw.com
steven.peters@lw.com