

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

YANGTZE MEMORY TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY, LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2025-00117 (Patent 10,672,711 B2)  
IPR2025-00191 (Patent 11,581,322 B2)  
IPR2025-00228 (Patent 11,101,276 B2)

---

Before JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, KIMBERLY McGRAW, and  
MICHAEL T. CYGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CYGAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER<sup>1</sup>  
Granting Patent Owner's Motions for  
*Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Robert B. Dunteman  
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

---

<sup>1</sup> The combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate that IPR2025-00117, IPR2025-00191, and IPR2025-00228 have been joined. The parties are not authorized to use this caption without express permission of the Board.

IPR2025-00117 (Patent 10,672,711 B2)  
IPR2025-00191 (Patent 11,581,322 B2)  
IPR2025-00228 (Patent 11,101,276 B2)

On December 9, 2025, Patent Owner filed motions requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Robert B. Dunteman in each of the above-identified proceedings (collectively “Motions”). Paper 40.<sup>2</sup> Patent Owner also submitted Declarations from Mr. Dunteman in support of the Motions (collectively “Declarations”). Ex. 2011. Patent Owner attests that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Paper 40, 1. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner’s Motions are *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Dunteman has sufficient qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 2011. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro*

---

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of expediency, we cite to documents filed in IPR2025-00117. Corresponding documents were filed in IPR2025-00191 and IPR2025-00228.

IPR2025-00117 (Patent 10,672,711 B2)  
IPR2025-00191 (Patent 11,581,322 B2)  
IPR2025-00228 (Patent 11,101,276 B2)

*hac vice* admission of Mr. Dunteman. Mr. Dunteman will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1).

We note that Patent Owner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Dunteman in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 39. Patent Owner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Dunteman as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 38, 1–3.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Robert B. Dunteman are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Dunteman is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Dunteman be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide<sup>3</sup> (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Dunteman is subject to the Office’s disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

---

<sup>3</sup> Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2025-00117 (Patent 10,672,711 B2)  
IPR2025-00191 (Patent 11,581,322 B2)  
IPR2025-00228 (Patent 11,101,276 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Jeremy Lang  
Travis Jensen  
Johannes Hsu  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
ptabdocketjl2@orrick.com  
t61ptabdocket@orrick.com  
ptabdocket@orrick.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Inge Osman  
Richard Lowry  
Amit Makker  
Kevin C. Wheeler  
Charles H. Sanders  
B. Thomas Watson  
Benjamin Smith  
Alex Grabowski  
Adam Greenfield  
Robert B. Dunteman  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
inge.osman@lw.com  
richard.lowry@lw.com  
amit.makker@lw.com  
kevin.wheeler@lw.com  
charles.sanders@lw.com  
thomas.watson@lw.com  
ben.smith@lw.com  
alex.grabowski@lw.com  
adam.greenfield@lw.com  
robert.dunteman@lw.com