

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TESLA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC,
Patent Owner

IPR2025-00217
U.S. Patent No. 10,952,153

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner Tesla, Inc. (“Petitioner”) hereby requests an oral argument in the present *inter partes* review. Per the Board’s Scheduling Order, the oral argument is currently scheduled for April 7, 2026. Scheduling Order, Paper 12, p. 12. Petitioner requests an in-person hearing to be held in a Patent Trial and Appeal Board hearing room located at the United States Patent and Trademark Office headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia. Petitioner has conferred with Patent Owner’s counsel and both parties jointly request that the in-person hearing be conducted at the USPTO headquarters in Alexandria.

Petitioner requests that the Board consolidate the oral hearing for this proceeding with the oral hearing for IPR2025-00219 involving U.S. Patent No. 9,706,500 due to overlapping issues. Petitioner conferred with Patent Owner’s counsel and both parties agreed to request a consolidated oral hearing for this proceeding and IPR2025-00219.

The Board should consolidate the oral hearings for this proceeding and IPR2025-00219 for the following reasons:

- the ’153 patent involved in this proceeding is a grandchild of the ’500 patent involved in IPR2025-00219;
- the prior art challenges in this proceeding and IPR2025-00219 are substantially similar;

- the parties' prior art and claim construction arguments in this proceeding and IPR2025-00219 substantially overlap; and
- this proceeding and IPR2025-00219 were instituted on the same day, and the Board issued a consolidated Scheduling Order scheduling oral hearings for both proceedings on April 7, 2026.

Petitioner requests 60 minutes of oral argument per side in a consolidated oral hearing and the opportunity to address all issues raised in the papers, including:

- the obviousness grounds for the Challenged Claims of the '153 patent and the '500 patent in the respective Petitions of IPR2025-00217 and IPR2025-00219;
- the parties' claim construction positions in this proceeding and IPR2025-00219;
- the parties' prior art arguments as presented in post-Petition briefing in this proceeding and IPR2025-00219;
- any other issues raised by the parties; and
- any responses to issues raised by the Board or Patent Owner at the oral argument.

If the Board has any questions or comments, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone conference.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 2/17/2026
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
2801 N. Harwood St., Suite 2300
Dallas, Texas 75201
Customer No. 27683

/Scott Jarratt/
Scott T. Jarratt
Lead Counsel for Petitioner
Registration No. 70,297

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.6, that service was made on the Patent Owner as detailed below.

Date of service February 17, 2026

Manner of service Electronic Service by E-mail: BTheiss@vklaw.com;
DGolub@vklaw.com; RODonnell@vklaw.com;
RLeonard@vklaw.com; rrigby@intven.com

Documents served **PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

Persons served Brandon R. Theiss
Daniel H. Golub
Ryan W. O'Donnell
Robert D. Leonard
VOLPE KOENIG
30 S. 17th Street, Suite 1800
Philadelphia PA 19103

Russell J. Rigby
INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC
3150 139th Avenue Southeast Building 4
Bellevue, WA 98005

/Scott Jarratt/

Scott T. Jarratt
Lead Counsel for Petitioner
Registration No. 70,297