Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 19
Date: November 24, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and
PENSANDO SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

XTREAMEDGE, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00223 (Patent 10,985,943 B1)
IPR2025-00478 (Patent 8,924,596 B1)
IPR2025-00486 (Patent 10,873,753 B1)[1]

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and IFTIKHAR AHMED, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

AHMED, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conditionally Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of
Zachary L. Jacobs
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

[1] We exercise our discretion to issue one Order that applies to each of the listed cases. The parties are not authorized to use this type of caption.

XtreamEdge, Inc. ("Patent Owner") filed an unopposed motion requesting admission *pro hac vice* of Zachary L. Jacobs in each of the above-identified proceedings. Paper 17 ("Motion").[2] The Motion relies on a declaration from Mr. Jacobs. Ex. 2008. Petitioner does not oppose the Motion.

Patent Owner has not submitted a Power of Attorney for Mr. Jacobs in accordance with 37 C.F.R § 42.10(b) or filed Updated Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Jacobs as back-up counsel, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). In view thereof, and for the reasons provided below, Patent Owner's Motion is *conditionally granted*, and is to be effective after Patent Owner files the aforementioned Power of Attorney and Updated Mandatory Notices.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing a motion for admission *pro hac vice*, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (setting forth the requirements for admission *pro hac vice*).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying declaration, we conclude that Mr. Jacobs has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in the above-identified proceedings,

[2] We cite to Papers and Exhibits in IPR2025-00223. Similar Papers and Exhibits were filed in IPR2025-00478 and IPR2025-00486.

that Mr. Jacobs has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of the above-identified proceedings, and that Mr. Jacobs meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*.[3] *See* Ex. 2008 ¶¶ 1–8. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for admission *pro hac vice* of Mr. Jacobs. Mr. Jacobs will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion for admission *pro hac vice* of Zachary L. Jacobs in the above-identified proceedings is *conditionally granted*, provided that within ten (10) business days of the date of this order, Patent Owner submits a Power of Attorney for Mr. Jacobs in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent them as lead counsel for these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jacobs is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jacobs be familiar with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, 84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations;

---

[3] The declaration of Mr. Jacobs does not identify any other proceedings before the Office for which Mr. Jacobs has applied to appear *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 2008. For the purposes of this Order, we treat the omission as a representation that Mr. Jacobs has not applied to appear *pro hac vice* in any other proceedings before the Office in the last three years.

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jacobs be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a) and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq*.; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall file an updated mandatory notice in this proceeding, within ten (10) business days of the date of this order, according to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)–(b), providing updated information regarding back-up counsel.

IPR2025-00223 (Patent 10,985,943 B1)
IPR2025-00478 (Patent 8,924,596 B1)
IPR2025-00486 (Patent 10,873,753 B1)

FOR PETITIONER:

Harper Batts
Chris Ponder
Jeffrey Liang
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
hbatts@sheppardmullin.com
cponder@sheppardmullin.com
jliang@sheppardmullin.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Michael Matulewicz-Crowley
Christine E. Lehman
Peter J. Park
REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN & FELDBERG LLP
mmatulewicz-crowley@reichmanjorgensen.com
clehman@reichmanjorgensen.com
ppark@reichmanjorgensen.com