

Filed on behalf of: Yangtze Memory Technologies Company, Ltd.

Entered: August 18, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

YANGTZE MEMORY TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2025-00228
Patent 11,101,276

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO PETITIONER'S EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner Yangtze Memory Technologies Company, Ltd. ("Patent Owner") submits the following objections to the evidence relied on by Petitioner Micron Technology, Inc. ("Petitioner"). Patent Owner's objections apply equally to Petitioner's reliance on this evidence in any subsequently filed documents or further proceedings in this matter. These objections are timely, having been filed and served within five business days of evidence. Notwithstanding these objections, Patent Owner expressly reserves the right to rely on any evidence submitted by Petitioner, including on the ground that such evidence constitutes a party admission.

Objections

Exhibit 1081

Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 not falling under any exception, and as improper expert testimony under FRE 702 and 703, to the extent it impermissibly acts as a conduit for hearsay, including the hearsay objected to herein, and does not rely on the kinds of facts or data that experts in the relevant fields would reasonably rely on in forming an opinion on the subject without providing the underlying facts, data, and other required disclosures.

Patent Owner further objects to this exhibit for lack of foundation and lack of personal knowledge under FRE 602, and as improper lay testimony under FRE 701,

to the extent it offers testimony in areas outside of Mr. DeSart's area of expertise or fails to properly provide the underlying facts, data, and other required disclosures.

Patent Owner further objects to this exhibit as incomplete and therefore confusing and unduly prejudicial under FRE 106 and 403.

Exhibit 1082

Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because Petitioner has not presented sufficient evidence to show that it is authentic or self-authenticating under FRE 902. To the extent Petitioner relies on this documents for the truth of the matter asserted, Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 that do not fall under any exceptions, including those of FRE 803, 804, 805, or 807.

Patent Owner further objects to this exhibit as incomplete and therefore confusing and unduly prejudicial under FRE 106 and 403.

Exhibit 1083

Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 not falling under any exception, and as improper expert testimony under FRE 702 and 703, to the extent it impermissibly acts as a conduit for hearsay, including the hearsay objected to herein, and does not rely on the kinds of facts or data that experts in the relevant fields would reasonably rely on in forming an opinion on the subject without providing the underlying facts, data, and other

required disclosures.

Patent Owner further objects to this exhibit for lack of foundation and lack of personal knowledge under FRE 602, and as improper lay testimony under FRE 701, to the extent it offers testimony in areas outside of Mr. Lang's area of expertise or fails to properly provide the underlying facts, data, and other required disclosures.

Exhibit 1084

Patent Owner objects to this exhibit as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801 and 802 not falling under any exception, and as improper expert testimony under FRE 702 and 703, to the extent it impermissibly acts as a conduit for hearsay, including the hearsay objected to herein, and does not rely on the kinds of facts or data that experts in the relevant fields would reasonably rely on in forming an opinion on the subject without providing the underlying facts, data, and other required disclosures.

Patent Owner further objects to this exhibit for lack of foundation and lack of personal knowledge under FRE 602, and as improper lay testimony under FRE 701, to the extent it offers testimony in areas outside of Ms. Ching's area of expertise or fails to properly provide the underlying facts, data, and other required disclosures.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 18, 2025

By: / Inge A. Osman /

Inge A. Osman (Reg. No. 74,480)
inge.osman@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Ste. 1000
Washington, DC 20004-1304
Tel.: 202.637.2200
Fax: 202.637.2201

*Counsel for Patent Owner
Yangtze Memory Technologies
Company, Ltd.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I certify that on this 18th day of August, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Patent Owner's Objections to Petitioner's Evidence** was served by electronic mail on Petitioner's lead and backup counsel at the following email addresses:

Jeremy Jason Lang
Jared Bobrow
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015
T: 650-614-7400
F: 650-614-7401
jlang@orrick.com
jbobrow@orrick.com
PTABDocketJJL2@orrick.com
P52PTABDocket@orrick.com,
PTABDocketJ1H1@orrick.com
PTABDocketJ3B3@orrick.com
MICRON-YMTC_OHS@orrick.com

K. Patrick Herman
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
51 West 52nd Street
New York, NY 10019
T: 212-506-5000
F: 212-506-5151
pherman@orrick.com

Johannes Hsu
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
2050 Main Street, Suite 1100
Irvine, CA 92614-8255
T: 949-567-6700
F: 949-567-6710

johanneshsu@orrick.com

By: / Inge A. Osman /

Inge A. Osman (Reg. No. 74,480)
inge.osman@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Ste. 1000
Washington, DC 20004-1304
Tel.: 202.637.2200
Fax: 202.637.2201

*Counsel for Patent Owner
Yangtze Memory Technologies
Company, Ltd.*