

Biomaterials.
v. 33, no. 6 (Feb. 2012)
General Collection
W1 B1852RJ
2012-02-21 10:40:05

February 2012

ISSN 0142-9612

ELSEVIER

Biomaterials



PROPERTY OF THE
NATIONAL
LIBRARY OF
MEDICINE

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

Biomaterials

Editor-in-Chief

D.F. Williams

Professor and Director of International Affairs, Wake Forest Institute of Regenerative Medicine, North Carolina, USA

Visiting Professor, Christiaan Barnard Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Cape Town, South Africa

Visiting Professorial, Fellow, Graduate School of Biomedical Engineering,

University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

Guest Professor, Tsinghua University, Beijing, and

Visiting Professor Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

Emeritus Professor, University of Liverpool, UK

Managing Editor

Peggy O'Donnell

One Sheffield Place, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, 27104, USA

biomaterials@online.be

Associate Editors: Biomaterials Science & Engineering

P. Ducheyne (Materials Science and Bioactivity)

University of Pennsylvania, USA

J. Hunt (Biocompatibility)

University of Liverpool, UK

S. Wang (Polymer Science)

Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

S.-S. Feng (Nanotechnology and Nanomedicine)

National University of Singapore, Singapore

K. Kataoka (Intelligent Materials)

University of Tokyo, Japan

D.W. Grainger (Pharmaceutical Science)

University of Utah, USA

T. Okano (Tissue Engineering Polymers)

Tokyo Women's Medical University, Japan

Associate Editors: Clinical Outcomes

C.R. Arciola (Microbiology)

Istituto Ortopedici Rizzoli, Italy

C.J. Kirkpatrick (Pathology)

Johannes Gutenberg University, Germany

W. Walsh (Orthopaedics)

University of New South Wales, Australia

Y. Cao (Regenerative Medicine)

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, China

Y. Li (Imaging Systems)

Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, China

P. Zilla (Cardiovascular)

University of Cape Town, South Africa

International Editorial Board

H. Ai

Sichuan University, China

L. Ambrosio

University of Naples Federico II, Italy

J. Anderson

Case Western University, USA

S.F. Badylak

University of Pittsburgh, USA

T. Barker

Georgia Tech, USA

S.L. Bellis

University of Alabama at Birmingham, USA

D. Campoccia

Istituto Ortopedici Rizzoli, Italy

G.-Q. Chen

Tsinghua University, China

T. Chirila

Queensland Eye Institute, Australia

P.K. Chu

City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

S. Cool

A STAR Institute of Medical Biology, Singapore

J. Dai

Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

W.-P. Deng

Taipei Medical University, Taiwan

P. Dubruel

Ghent University, Belgium

M.D.M. Evans

CSIRO Materials Science and Engineering, Australia

A. Garcia

Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

S. Goodman

Stanford University Medical Center, USA

D.W. Grijpma

University of Twente, The Netherlands

V. Hasirci

Middle East Technical University, Turkey

W.E. Hennink

Utrecht University, The Netherlands

D. Huttmacher

Queensland University of Technology, Australia

K. Ishihara

The University of Tokyo, Japan

H. Iwata

Kyoto University, Japan

W.H. de Jong

National Institute of Public Health and Environment,

The Netherlands

D. Kaplan

Tufts University, USA

J. Kopecek

University of Utah, USA

S.C. Kundu

Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India

D. Lacroix

Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia, Spain

C. Laurencin

University of Connecticut, USA

K.W. Leong

Duke University, USA

R.J. Levy

The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, USA

F.H. Lin

National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Wei Liu

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, China

I. Martin

University Hospital Basel, Switzerland

R. Oreffo

University of Southampton, UK

A. Pandit

National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland

B. Ratner

University of Washington, USA

M. Saltzman

Cornell University, USA

D. Seliktar

Israel Institute of Technology Technion City, Israel

C.G. Simon, Jr.

National Institute of Standards and Technology, USA

M. Sittlinger

Charité University Hospital, Germany

H.-W. Sung

National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan

M.A. Swartz

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland

V. Trajkovic

University of Belgrade, Serbia

R.S. Tuan

University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, USA

H. Uludag

University of Alberta, Canada

R.B. Vernon

Benaroya Research Institute, USA

E. Vogler

Pennsylvania State University, USA

A. Weiss

The University of Sydney, Australia

M. Yamato

Tokyo Women's Medical University, Japan

H. Yu

National University of Singapore, Singapore

N. Ziets

Case Western University, USA

CONTENTS

Biomaterials

Leading Opinion Paper

- 1689 Single walled carbon nanotubes as drug delivery vehicles: Targeting doxorubicin to tumors
L. Meng, X. Zhang, Q. Lu, Z. Fei and P.J. Dyson

Biomaterials Design and Medical Device Performance

- 1699 Predicting biomaterial property-dendritic cell phenotype relationships from the multivariate analysis of responses to polymethacrylates
P.M. Kou, N. Pallassana, R. Bowden, B. Cunningham, A. Joy, J. Kohn and J.E. Babensee
- 1714 Controllable synthesis of monodispersed silver nanoparticles as standards for quantitative assessment of their cytotoxicity
L. Li, J. Sun, X. Li, Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, C. Wang, J. Dai and Q. Wang
- 1722 Mimicking the human smell sensing mechanism with an artificial nose platform
S.H. Lee, O.S. Kwon, H.S. Song, S.J. Park, J.H. Sung, J. Jang and T.H. Park

Biomaterials and the Stem Cell Niche

- 1730 Control of cell nucleus shapes via micropillar patterns
Z. Pan, C. Yan, R. Peng, Y. Zhao, Y. He and J. Ding
- 1736 3D co-culture of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells and mesenchymal stem cells in collagen scaffolds as a model of the hematopoietic niche
I. Leisten, R. Kramann, M.S. Ventura Ferreira, M. Bovi, S. Neuss, P. Ziegler, W. Wagner, R. Knüchel and R.K. Schneider
- 1748 The influence of spheroid formation of human adipose-derived stem cells on chitosan films on stemness and differentiation capabilities
N.-C. Cheng, S. Wang and T.-H. Young

Biomaterials and Regenerative Medicine

- 1759 Inducing functional radial glia-like progenitors from cortical astrocyte cultures using micropatterned PMMA
M. Mattotti, Z. Alvarez, J.A. Ortega, J.A. Planell, E. Engel and S. Alcántara
- 1771 Consequences of ineffective decellularization of biologic scaffolds on the host response
T.J. Keane, R. Londono, N.J. Turner and S.F. Badylak
- 1782 Cardiac tissue engineering using tissue printing technology and human cardiac progenitor cells
R. Gaetani, P.A. Doevendans, C.H.G. Metz, J. Alblas, E. Messina, A. Giacomello and J.P.G. Sluijter

(continued on p. ii)



0142-9612(201202)33:6;1-3

- 1791 The involvement of integrin $\beta 1$ signaling in the migration and myofibroblastic differentiation of skin fibroblasts on anisotropic collagen-containing nanofibers
C. Huang, X. Fu, J. Liu, Y. Qi, S. Li and H. Wang
- 1801 The effect of collagen-binding vascular endothelial growth factor on the remodeling of scarred rat uterus following full-thickness injury
N. Lin, X. Li, T. Song, J. Wang, K. Meng, J. Yang, X. Hou, J. Dai and Y. Hu

Biomaterials and Cancer

- 1808 Mitochondrial targeting topotecan-loaded liposomes for treating drug-resistant breast cancer and inhibiting invasive metastases of melanoma
Y. Yu, Z.-H. Wang, L. Zhang, H.-J. Yao, Y. Zhang, R.-J. Li, R.-J. Ju, X.-X. Wang, J. Zhou, N. Li and W.-L. Lu
- 1821 Plasmonic nanobubble-enhanced endosomal escape processes for selective and guided intracellular delivery of chemotherapy to drug-resistant cancer cells
E.Y. Lukianova-Hleb, A. Belyanin, S. Kashinath, X. Wu and D.O. Lapotko
- 1827 Stimulated release of photosensitizers from graft and diblock micelles for photodynamic therapy
H.-C. Tsai, C.-H. Tsai, S.-Y. Lin, C.-R. Jhang, Y.-S. Chiang and G.-H. Hsiue

Biomaterials for the Delivery of Drugs, Genes, Vaccines and Active Molecules

- 1838 Enhancing the therapeutic efficacy of adenovirus in combination with biomaterials
J. Kim, P.-H. Kim, S.W. Kim and C.-O. Yun
- 1851 Cationic glycopolymers for the delivery of pDNA to human dermal fibroblasts and rat mesenchymal stem cells
K. Kizjakina, J.M. Bryson, G. Grandinetti and T.M. Reineke
- 1863 Selective inhibitory effect of HEMA copolymer-cyclopamine conjugate on prostate cancer stem cells
Y. Zhou, J. Yang and J. Kopeček
- 1873 Biocleavable comb-shaped gene carriers from dextran backbones with bioreducible ATRP initiation sites
Z.-H. Wang, Y. Zhu, M.-Y. Chai, W.-T. Yang and F.-J. Xu
- 1884 Electrostatic charge conversion processes in engineered tumor-identifying polypeptides for targeted chemotherapy
N.M. Oh, D.S. Kwag, K.T. Oh, Y.S. Youn and E.S. Lee
- 1894 Suppression of tumor growth in H-ras^{12V} liver cancer mice by delivery of programmed cell death protein 4 using galactosylated poly(ethylene glycol)-chitosan-graft-spermine
J.-H. Kim, A. Minai-Tehrani, Y.-K. Kim, J.-Y. Shin, S.-H. Hong, H.-J. Kim, H.-D. Lee, S.-H. Chang, K.-N. Yu, Y.-B. Bang, C.-S. Cho, T.-J. Yoon, D.-Y. Yu, H.-L. Jiang and M.-H. Cho
- 1903 Molecular interactions of mussel protective coating protein, mcp-1, from *Mytilus californianus*
Q. Lu, D.S. Hwang, Y. Liu and H. Zeng
- 1912 Photodynamic inactivation of viruses using upconversion nanoparticles
M.E. Lim, Y.-I. Lee, Y. Zhang and J.J.H. Chu
- 1921 The use of cholesterol-containing biodegradable block copolymers to exploit hydrophobic interactions for the delivery of anticancer drugs
A.L.Z. Lee, S. Venkataraman, S.B.M. Sirat, S. Gao, J.L. Hedrick and Y.Y. Yang
- 1929 Predicting protein instability in sustained protein delivery systems using spectral-phase interference
N. Seidel, J. Sitterberg, W. Vornholt, U. Bakowsky, M. Keusgen and T. Kissel
- 1939 Aptamer-conjugated and drug-loaded acoustic droplets for ultrasound theranosis
C.-H. Wang, S.-T. Kang, Y.-H. Lee, Y.-L. Luo, Y.-F. Huang and C.-K. Yeh
- 1948 Quantitative control of targeting effect of anticancer drugs formulated by ligand-conjugated nanoparticles of biodegradable copolymer blend
J. Zhao, Y. Mi, Y. Liu and S.-S. Feng

Aims and Scope

Biomaterials is an international journal covering the science and clinical application of biomaterials. A biomaterial is now defined as a substance that has been engineered to take a form which, alone or as part of a complex system, is used to direct, by control of interactions with components of living systems, the course of any therapeutic or diagnostic procedure. It is the aim of the journal to provide a peer-reviewed forum for the publication of original papers and authoritative review and opinion papers dealing with the most important issues facing the use of biomaterials in clinical practice. The scope of the journal covers the wide range of physical, biological and chemical sciences that underpin the design of biomaterials and the clinical disciplines in which they are used. These sciences include polymer synthesis and characterization, drug and gene vector design, the biology of the host response, immunology and toxicology and self assembly at the nanoscale. Clinical applications include the therapies of medical technology and regenerative medicine in all clinical disciplines, and diagnostic systems that rely on innovative contrast and sensing agents. The journal is relevant to areas such as cancer diagnosis and therapy, implantable devices, drug delivery systems, gene vectors, bionanotechnology and tissue engineering.

Abstracting and Indexing

Biomaterials is abstracted and/or indexed in: Science Citation Index, Current Contents/Life Sciences, Materials Science Citation Index, MEDLINE, EMBASE, BIOSIS Previews, PASCAL, BioBusiness, Ei Compendex, UnCover, Abstracts in New Technologies and Engineering (ANTE), SciSearch, Engineered Materials Abstracts, INSPEC, METADEX, CANCELRIT, Ceramic Abstracts, Life Sciences Collection. Also covered in the abstract and citation database SciVerse SCOPUS®. Full text available on SciVerse ScienceDirect®.

Publishing Office: Elsevier Ltd.
The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington,
Oxford OX5 1GB, UK.
Tel: +44 (0) 1865 843000
Fax: +44 (0) 1865 843010

Publication information: *Biomaterials* (ISSN 0142-9612). For 2012, volume 33, (36 issues) is scheduled for publication. Subscription prices are available upon request from the Publisher or from the Elsevier Customer Service Department nearest you or from this journal's website: (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/biomaterials>). Further information is available on this journal and other Elsevier products through Elsevier's website: (<http://www.elsevier.com>). Subscriptions are accepted on a prepaid basis only and are entered on a calendar year basis. Issues are sent by standard mail (surface within Europe, air delivery outside Europe). Priority rates are available upon request. Claims for missing issues should be made within six months of the date of despatch.

Biomaterials has no page charges.

Author enquiries: For enquiries relating to the submission of articles (including electronic submission) please visit this journal's homepage at <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/biomaterials>. Contact details for questions arising after acceptance of an article, especially those relating to proofs, will be provided by the publisher. You can track accepted articles at <http://www.elsevier.com/trackarticle>. You can also check our Author FAQs at <http://www.elsevier.com/authorFAQ> and/or contact Customer Support via <http://support.elsevier.com>.

Funding body agreements and policies: Elsevier has established agreements and developed policies to allow authors whose articles appear in journals published by Elsevier, to comply with potential manuscript archiving requirements as specified as conditions of their grant awards. To learn more about existing agreements and policies please visit <http://www.elsevier.com/fundingbodies>.

Language services: Authors who require information about language editing and copyediting services pre- and post-submission please visit <http://webshop.elsevier.com/languageediting/> or our customer support site at <http://support.elsevier.com>.

Orders, claims, and journal enquiries: please contact the Elsevier Customer Service Department nearest you:

St. Louis: Elsevier Customer Service Department, 3251 Riverport Lane, Maryland Heights, MO 63043, USA; phone: (877) 8397126 [toll free within the USA]; (+1) (314) 4478878 [outside the USA]; fax: (+1) (314) 4478077; e-mail: JournalCustomerService-usa@elsevier.com

Oxford: Elsevier Customer Service Department, The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, UK; phone: (+44) (1865) 843434; fax: (+44) (1865) 843970; e-mail: JournalsCustomerServiceEMEA@elsevier.com

Tokyo: Elsevier Customer Service Department, 4F Higashi-Azabu, 1-Chome Bldg, 1-9-15 Higashi-Azabu, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106-0044, Japan; phone: (+81) (3) 5561 5037; fax: (+81) (3) 5561 5047; e-mail: JournalsCustomerServiceJapan@elsevier.com

Singapore: Elsevier Customer Service Department, 3 Killiney Road, #08-01 Winsland House I, Singapore 239519; phone: (+65) 63490222; fax: (+65) 67331510; e-mail: JournalsCustomerServiceAPAC@elsevier.com

Advertising information: If you are interested in advertising or other commercial opportunities please e-mail Commercialsales@elsevier.com and your enquiry will be passed to the correct person who will respond to you within 48 hours.

USA mailing notice: *Biomaterials* (ISSN 0142-9612) is published semimonthly with additional issues in January to December by (Elsevier Ltd., The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, UK). Periodical postage rate paid at Rahway NJ and additional mailing offices.

USA POSTMASTER: Send change of address to *Biomaterials*, Elsevier Customer Service Department, 3251 Riverport Lane, Maryland Heights, MO 63043, USA.

AIRFREIGHT AND MAILING in USA by Mercury International Limited, 365, Blair Road, Avenel, NJ 07001.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved

This journal and the individual contributions contained in it are protected under copyright by Elsevier Ltd., and the following terms and conditions apply to their use:

Photocopying Single photocopies of single articles may be made for personal use as allowed by national copyright laws. Permission of the Publisher and payment of a fee is required for all other photocopying, including multiple or systematic copying, copying for advertising or promotional purposes, resale, and all forms of

document delivery. Special rates are available for educational institutions that wish to make photocopies for non-profit educational classroom use.

For information on how to seek permission visit www.elsevier.com/permissions or call: (+44) 1865 843830 (UK)/(+1) 215 239 3804 (USA).

Derivative Works Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution.

Permission of the Publisher is required for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations (please consult www.elsevier.com/permissions).

Electronic Storage or Usage Permission of the Publisher is required to store or use electronically any material contained in this journal, including any article or part of an article (please consult www.elsevier.com/permissions).


Except as outlined above, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Publisher.

Notice No responsibility is assumed by the Publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, in particular, independent verification of diagnoses and drug dosages should be made.

Although all advertising material is expected to conform to ethical (medical) standards, inclusion in this publication does not constitute a guarantee or endorsement of the quality or value of such product or of the claims made of it by its manufacturer.

© The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).

Printed by Polestar Wheatons Ltd, Exeter, UK.



The involvement of integrin $\beta 1$ signaling in the migration and myofibroblastic differentiation of skin fibroblasts on anisotropic collagen-containing nanofibers

Chengyang Huang^{a,1}, Xiaoling Fu^{a,1}, Jie Liu^b, Yanmei Qi^b, Shaohua Li^{b,**}, Hongjun Wang^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Chemical Biology and Biomedical Engineering, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

^bDepartment of Surgery, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 October 2011

Accepted 13 November 2011

Available online 2 December 2011

Keywords:

Anisotropic nanofibers

Normal human dermal fibroblasts

Fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation

Integrin $\beta 1$ signaling

ABSTRACT

Utilization of nanofibrous matrices for skin wound repair holds great promise due to their morphological and dimensional similarity to native extracellular matrix (ECM). It becomes highly desired to understand how various nanofibrous matrices regulate skin cell behaviors and intracellular signaling pathways, important to tuning the functionality of tissue-engineered skin grafts and affecting the wound healing process. In this study, the phenotypic expressions of normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDFs) on collagen-containing nanofibrous matrices with either isotropic (i.e., fibers collected randomly with no alignment) or anisotropic (i.e., fibers collected with alignment) fiber organizations were studied by immunostaining, migration assay and molecular analyses. Results showed that both nanofibrous matrices supported the attachment and growth of NHDFs similarly, while showing different cell morphology with distinct variation in focal adhesion formation and distribution. Anisotropic nanofibers significantly triggered the integrin $\beta 1$ signaling pathway in NHDFs as evidenced by an increase of active integrin $\beta 1$ (130 kD mature form) and phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) at Tyr-397. Anisotropic matrices also promoted the migration of NHDFs along the fibers, while neutralization of the integrin $\beta 1$ activity abolished this promotion. Moreover, the fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation was greatly enhanced for the NHDFs cultured on anisotropic nanofibrous matrices over a period of 48 h. Inhibition of cellular integrin $\beta 1$ activity by neutralizing antibody eliminated this enhancement. These findings suggest the important role of integrin $\beta 1$ signaling pathway in regulating the nanofiber-induced fibroblast phenotypic alteration and providing insightful understanding of the possible application of collagen-containing nanofibrous matrices for skin regeneration.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Tissue-engineered skin grafts have proved to be effective in closing deep skin wounds despite their suboptimal structure, composition and function [1]. To better support and facilitate skin cells to form skin-like tissues, it is necessary for the temporary scaffolds not only to provide a surface for the cells to reside, but also to define a cell-friendly microenvironment that maximally recapitulates the native extracellular matrix (ECM). In this regard,

electrospun nanofibrous matrices characterized by ultrafine continuous nanoscale fibers, high surface-to-volume ratio, high porosity and variable pore-size distribution have great potential to mimic the skin ECM in both morphology and composition [2,3]. In recognition of the superiority of nanofibrous matrices, increasing efforts have been made to explore the possibility of creating tissue-engineered skin grafts using electrospun fibers. Clearly, nanofibrous matrices support the adhesion, spreading and proliferation of skin cells [4,5].

Collagen fibrils, a major ECM component known for their mechanical contribution to skin integrity, provide an interactive 3D microenvironment to skin cells and regulate their behaviors via cell-matrix interactions. To better mimic skin ECM, electrospun collagen fibers have been fabricated, however, the rapid degradation and poor mechanical properties determine that either crosslinking or combination with other materials is necessary to obtain a stable scaffold. Electrospinning a blend solution of collagen and polycaprolactone (PCL), a biocompatible and biodegradable synthetic polyester, can yield rather stable nanofibers [6,7]. Dermal fibroblasts

* Corresponding author. Department of Chemistry, Chemical Biology and Biomedical Engineering, Stevens Institute of Technology, McLean Building Room 416, 1 Castle Point on Hudson, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA. Tel: +1 201 216 5556; fax: +1 201 216 8240.

** Corresponding author. Department of Surgery, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, 125 Paterson Street, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, USA. Tel: +1 732 235 6164; fax: +1 732 235 8538.

E-mail addresses: Shaohua.li@umdnj.edu (S. Li), Hongjun.Wang@stevens.edu (H. Wang).

¹ Equal contribution.

showed preferred adhesion on such collagen-containing nanofibers, comparable to pure collagen fibers [5,8]. In addition, it has been noted that organization of nanofibers in the matrices also affects the behaviors of dermal fibroblasts and adipose stromal cells [9–13], e.g., fibroblasts cultured on aligned nanofibrous substrates became elongated with accelerated migration [12]. Although the exact mechanism is still unclear, it is believed that integrins are involved in the electrospun nanofiber-regulated cell adhesion [14], and the activation of various integrins during focal adhesion formation may influence cellular phenotype, which is critical to determine the functionality of tissue-engineered skin grafts *in vitro* and subsequently influence the quality of healed wounds upon *in vivo* grafting.

During normal wound healing the fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation can facilitate wound closure by drawing the wound margins together, however, it would also result in scar formation if the proper apoptosis of myofibroblasts does not occur upon the cease of wound contraction [15–18]. Therefore, the fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation needs to be appropriately balanced for minimum scar formation during the wound healing. It is well established that transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) signaling could induce fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation [19,20]. However, it is unknown whether the cell-growing substrate can induce this fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation independent of TGF- β signaling. A very recent result that rigid cell culture surface could promote the fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation suggests a possible correlation between fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation and their substrate [21]. Considering that nanofiber organization could modulate cellular morphology and migration, we therefore hypothesize that the fiber alignment may also affect fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation and integrins may be involved as well.

To address this hypothesis together with the attempt to mechanistically understand fiber alignment-induced cell migration, normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDFs) were seeded on collagen-containing PCL nanofibrous matrices with either isotropic nanofiber arrangement (i.e., randomly collected nanofibers with no alignment, abbreviated as “*Iso*”) or anisotropic nanofiber arrangement (i.e., collected nanofibers with alignment, abbreviated as “*Aniso*”). The elongated cell morphology and accelerated migration of NHDFs along the fiber orientation were observed in the anisotropic fiber group. Both immunostaining and immunoprecipitation demonstrated the enhanced activation of integrin $\beta 1$ by anisotropic collagen-containing PCL nanofibers. Treatment of NHDFs with integrin $\beta 1$ neutralizing antibody clearly blocked the fiber-induced migration. Elevated expression of α -SMA was detected in NHDFs cultured on anisotropic matrices by real-time PCR and immunofluorescent staining, demonstrating that fiber alignment indeed induces fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation. Blocking the cellular activity of integrin $\beta 1$ also diminished this fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation. Clearly, integrin $\beta 1$ signaling played a crucial role in regulating the response of NHDFs to the spatial organization of collagen-containing nanofibers. This finding would provide a mechanistic guidance for the fabrication of functional skin grafts using electrospun nanofibers.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) was obtained from Oakwood products Inc (West Columbia, SC). Poly (epsilon-caprolactone) (PCL, Mw = 80,000) was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and type I collagen (Coll) was obtained from Elastin Products Inc. (Owensville, MO). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). All other reagents and solutions were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) except as indicated.

2.2. Nanofibrous matrix preparation

Nanofibrous matrices of PCL and Collagen at a weight ratio of 3:1 were fabricated using electrospinning as previously described [11]. Briefly, 8% (w/v) solution of 3:1 PCL/Coll was prepared by dissolving PCL and collagen in HFIP. Then, the solution was loaded into a 3 mL syringe with a 20 gauge stainless steel blunt-tip needle and electrospun at 15 kV using a custom electrospinning device. The polymer solution was dispensed using a syringe pump (Kdscentific, Holliston, MA) at 10 μ L/min. Nanofibrous matrices with two types of fiber spatial organizations, isotropic and anisotropic, were prepared. To fabricate isotropic nanofibrous matrix, PCL/Coll nanofibers were collected on a grounded stationary aluminum plate surface, while the anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibrous matrices were obtained by collecting the nanofibers onto a customized rotating mandrel (10 cm in diameter). Nanofibrous matrices collected on glass cover slips under sterile conditions were used for the studies unless indicated.

To characterize the electrospun nanofiber using a scanning electron microscope (SEM), fibers were collected on Si wafer and sputter-coated with gold. The coated fibers were examined with a LEO 982 FEG SEM. To determine the diameter of nanofibers, images of five randomly selected areas were captured and analyzed by NIS-elements BR 3.10 analysis software from Nikon. Tensile properties of the electrospun nanofibrous matrices were measured with a Rheometrics Solid Analyzer (RSA III; Rheometric Scientific Inc., Piscataway, NJ) at an extension rate of 0.05 mm/s. All the samples were tested at 15 mm gauge length. Data were analyzed using TA Orchestrator (New Castle, DE).

The orientation of electrospun nanofibers was determined by using the ImageJ program (NIH) along with the Oval Profile Plot plugin [22]. Briefly, representative SEM images of the electrospun fibers were cropped into a square covering as much of the image as possible (the images used were 905 $\times$ 905 pixels in size). These cropped images were then processed with a fast Fourier transform (FFT) and then a circular selection of the image was made maximizing the amount selected (edges of the circle were tangent with the edges of the image). This selection then underwent a radial summation using the Oval Profile Plot plugin with 360 points such that the degree interval was 1°. The raw data were normalized to 0 and data from all sets were rescaled to an arbitrary range between 0 and 0.3. The final results were presented by plotting this arbitrary scale versus degrees, where the height of peaks represents a greater degree of alignment at a given angle.

2.3. Cell seeding and culture onto nanofibrous matrix

Normal primary human skin fibroblasts from newborn foreskin (R2F) were a gift from Dr. James G. Rheinwald of the Harvard NIH Skin Disease Research Center. The cells were grown in monolayer culture in the Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (low glucose) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Sigma) at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Cells were routinely subcultured and used at passages 5–7.

The fibroblasts were seeded on the nanofibrous matrices at a density of 5 $\times$ 10³ cells/cm² and allowed to attach for 60 min before fully supplemented media was added. The cells were cultured on the isotropic or anisotropic nanofibrous matrices for up to 7 days. The effects of nanofiber spatial organization on cell behaviors were determined throughout the 1-week culture period.

2.4. Immunofluorescence staining

Immunofluorescence staining of cells was performed as described previously [23]. Briefly, cultured cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. Then, they were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS. Afterward, cells were incubated with the following reagents, respectively: phalloidin-TRITC (Sigma, P1951, 1:500), anti-integrin $\beta 1$ antibody against the active conformation of the $\beta 1$ integrin (Millipore, clone HUTS-4, 1:300), anti-vinculin-FITC (Sigma, F7053, 1:200) and anti-paxillin (Millipore, clone 5H11, 1:200). For those primary antibodies without fluorescent labels, the cells were further incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG-FITC conjugate secondary antibody (Caltag, PAB4971, 1:400). Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (Sigma, 1:1000). The staining was examined under a Nikon Eclipse 80i epifluorescent microscope. Fluorescence intensity of stained cells was quantified by using a multi-mode microplate reader (Synergy™ HT, BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT).

2.5. Cell proliferation

Cell proliferation on nanofibrous matrices was determined by CyQUANT® Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Molecular Probes, Inc., Eugene, OR) following the protocol suggested by the manufacturer. Briefly, A standard curve over cell number was first created ($y = 10.94x + 28.73$, $R^2 = 0.994$). Samples were then harvested on day 1, 3 and 7 ($n = 4$ per group for each time point). After removal of media and washing with PBS, the samples were frozen and stored at –70 °C. After collecting all the time point samples, the samples were thawed at room temperature and then 200 μ L of CyQUANT® GR dye/cell-lysis buffer was added to each sample and incubated for 2–5 min in the dark at room temperature. The fluorescence of cell lysates was measured using the multi-mode BioTek microplate reader at ~480 nm excitation

Table 1
Primers for various genes.

Gene	Primer sequence
GAPDH	Forward: TGGATGGCCCTCCGGGAAA Reverse: AGTGGGGACACGGAAGGCCA
β -actin	Forward: GAGGAATACAGCTGTGGGT Reverse: CAGAAGGTGCAGAGATGATGA
ATCA2	Forward: CTTGGCTTGTCAAGGCTGTGCC Reverse: AGACCACTGCGCTCCACGTGA
Integrin β 1	Forward: GCCTTCTGTCACTCTCTAATCTTT Reverse: CGTAGGTGAGAGCTGTGCATAG

and ~520 nm emission. Cell numbers were calculated based on the standard curve. In addition, MTT assay for metabolic activity was also performed to confirm the DNA assay result [24].

2.6. Reverse transcription (RT)-PCR and real-time PCR (Q-PCR) analysis

Total RNA of the cultured cells was isolated using the Trizol reagent and subjected to reverse transcription for the first strand cDNA synthesis with superscript III reverse transcriptase following the manufacturer's protocol. RT-PCR was performed using the Eppendorf Mastercycler® (Hauppauge, NY). Q-PCR was performed with the Stratagene Mx3000P system (Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA) and analyzed as previously described [25]. Housekeeping genes, GAPDH and β -actin (data not shown), were used to normalize the target RNA. Primers for Q-PCR in this study were shown in Table 1.

2.7. Immunoprecipitation

Cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (50 mM Tris, pH7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 0.25% deoxycholate) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails. Protein concentrations were determined using bicinchoninic acid reagents (Pierce). For immunoprecipitation, equal amounts of proteins were precleared with protein G agarose and then incubated with anti-integrin β 1 antibody (HUTS-4) and protein G agarose overnight. Immunoprecipitates were washed and analyzed by non-reducing SDS-PAGE and western blotting.

2.8. Western blotting

Cellular protein lysates were extracted from homogenized specimens in 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris (pH 7.2), 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1% deoxycholate, and 5 mM EDTA. The protein lysates were denatured in Laemmli buffer at 90 °C for 15 min. Then they were loaded on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel, and subjected to electrophoresis under reducing conditions. Proteins were transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Kodak, Rochester, NY) following the standard protocol. After blocking in 5% fat-free milk in PBS for 1 h, the membrane was incubated overnight with primary antibody at 4 °C. Antibodies used in Western blotting are as follows: Anti-FAK (Millipore, 05-537, 1:5000), Anti-FAK-Tyr397 (BD biosciences, 611806, 1:1000), Anti-integrin β 1 (Millipore, MAB2079Z, 1:1000; MAB1987, 1:1000), Anti-GAPDH (Millipore, MAB374, 1:5000), Anti- β -actin (Sigma, A5316, 1:5000). The membrane was washed, and then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen, 1:4000) at room temperature. Detection was performed using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL, GE Health, UK).

2.9. Wound healing assay

In vitro CytoSelect™ 24-Well Wound Healing Assay Kit purchased from Cell Biolabs Inc. (San Diego, CA) was used to evaluate the migratory behavior of fibroblasts. Briefly, 5×10^4 fibroblasts suspended in culture media were seeded onto the surfaces of nanofibrous matrices with inserts in the middle. After 24 h, the insert was removed to generate a consistent 0.9 mm wound gap in the middle. Cells were allowed to migrate into the wound gap on either isotropic or anisotropic nanofibrous matrices for 24 and 48 h. After fixation, cells were stained with methylene blue and images of the wound gap were taken to analyze the migration distance of fibroblasts. At least 10 representative points along the “wound” of each sample were used for evaluating the migration rate from 4 separate samples ($n = 4$) for each time point.

2.10. Statistical analysis

Each experiment was repeated at least 3 times on different days and data were expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. All the cytotoxicity and cell attachment measurements were collected in triplicate for each group. An unpaired student *t*-test was used to evaluate the significance among experimental groups. A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.




Fig. 1. Characterization of isotropic and anisotropic electrospun PCL/Coll nanofibrous matrices. SEM image of nanofibrous matrices with two types of fiber spatial organizations: isotropic (A) and anisotropic (B). (C) Normalized intensity plots against the angle of acquisition for isotropic and anisotropic nanofibrous matrices. (D) The measured average fiber diameter based on SEM images. Scale bar: 5 μ m.




Fig. 2. Cellular morphology of human dermal fibroblasts on isotropic and anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibrous matrices. Immunofluorescent staining of intracellular cytoskeleton protein of F-actin (red/orange) and nuclei with DAPI (purple/blue) (A), and focal adhesion proteins of vinculin (green) (B) and paxillin (green) (C). White arrows indicate spatial distribution of F-actin, vinculin or paxillin. Scale bar: 50 μm. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of PCL/collagen nanofibrous matrices

Using the established electrospinning condition as described in Materials and Methods, PCL/Coll nanofibers collected on a rotating mandrel or on a stationary surface had distinct spatial arrangements (anisotropic *versus* isotropic) (Fig. 1A and B). A majority of the fibers (~90%) collected on the rotating mandrel aligned in the same direction as the rotation. To better analyze the fiber alignment, FFT analysis was performed on the SEM images of randomly selected area ($n = 5$) to characterize the anisotropy and assign a numerical value to fiber orientation. The narrow peak of the normalized intensity values in anisotropic matrices (Fig. 1C) indicated that PCL/Coll nanofibers aligned along a single axis (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the lack of significant peak for the fibers collected on a stationary surface demonstrated no preferred fiber orientation in the isotropic matrices (Fig. 1C). The average fiber diameter for both matrices was comparable (*Aniso*, 313.33 ± 69.52 nm vs. *Iso*, 331.11 ± 112.05 nm) (Fig. 1D). Close examination of the nanofiber surface with SEM revealed a relatively smooth surface for both isotropic and anisotropic nanofibers (data not shown). Compared to isotropic matrices, the freestanding anisotropic matrices showed higher ultimate stress (*Aniso*, 1.07 ± 0.19 MPa vs. *Iso*, 0.60 ± 0.09 MPa) and Young's modulus (*Aniso*, 8.98 ± 3.72 MPa vs. *Iso*, 2.83 ± 0.60 MPa) in the alignment.

3.2. Cellular morphology and proliferation

To determine the cell morphology on various nanofibrous matrices, NHDFs cultured on either isotropic or anisotropic

nanofibrous matrices collected on glass cover slips, were immunostained for focal adhesion proteins, vinculin and paxillin. The actin cytoskeleton was visualized with TRITC-labeled phalloidin. After 3-day culture, F-actin staining showed that NHDFs appeared to be polygonal on the isotropic matrices without preferred orientation despite certain orientation of actin filaments within individual cells (Fig. 2A, arrows). Interestingly, the cells on anisotropic matrices became elongated with a majority of the actin filaments oriented in the same direction as aligned PCL/collagen nanofibers (Fig. 2A). Close examination of the organization of actin




Fig. 3. Cell proliferation on either isotropic or anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibrous matrices for up to 7 days determined by DNA assay ($n = 4$).

filaments showed that they remained as compact bundles instead of individual actin filaments like those on isotropic matrices. The staining for focal adhesion associated proteins indicated that nanofiber orientation affected the spatial distribution of focal adhesion plaques on cell membranes. On anisotropic matrices, long segments of vinculin were stained along the nanofiber alignment, but on the isotropic matrices no preferred orientation was observed (Fig. 2B, arrows). On both matrices, vinculin clusters mainly located on the circumferential region of cell membranes directly contacted with nanofibers. Similarly, elongated paxillin was observed along the fiber alignment on the anisotropic matrices and randomly distributed paxillin was seen on the isotropic matrices (Fig. 2C, arrows). They evenly distributed across the cell membrane on both matrices.

The proliferation of NHDFs on both PCL/Coll nanofiber matrices was determined by DNA assay and MTT assay for up to 7 days. No

statistical difference was identified between the two groups on day 1, 3 and 7 (Fig. 3 and Supplemental Figure). A time-dependent increase of cell number on both nanofibrous matrices demonstrated the biocompatibility and supportiveness of PCL/Coll nanofibers for fibroblast proliferation.

3.3. Activation of integrin $\beta 1$ in fibroblasts by anisotropic nanofibrous matrices

Integrins of the $\beta 1$ subfamily are the major ECM receptors present in fibroblasts. Integrin $\beta 1$ is synthesized and partially glycosylated on rough endoplasmic reticulum (ER). This precursor is further processed in Golgi apparatus by glycosyltransferases to generate fully glycosylated mature form prior to transport to the plasma membrane. In fibroblasts cultured on the plastic surface, the ECM-cell interaction activates integrin $\beta 1$ and induces focal




Fig. 4. PCL/Coll anisotropic nanofibrous matrix activates integrin $\beta 1$ in human dermal fibroblasts. (A) Immunofluorescent staining of active integrin $\beta 1$ on either isotropic or anisotropic matrices. White arrows indicate the distribution of active integrin $\beta 1$. (B) Quantification of fluorescent intensity of active integrin $\beta 1$ with a fluorometer. ** Statistically significant, $p < 0.01$. (C) Q-PCR analysis of integrin $\beta 1$ gene expression in isotropic and anisotropic groups. (D) Immunoprecipitation for active integrin $\beta 1$. Cell lysates containing 1.5 mg proteins were immunoprecipitated with monoclonal antibody HUTS-4 that reacts with the activated epitope of human integrin $\beta 1$. The immunoprecipitates and the input cell lysates were detected for the total integrin $\beta 1$ by western blotting under non-reducing conditions. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (E) Quantification of immunoblots. Integrin $\beta 1$ immunoblots were quantified by densitometry and normalized against the loading control GAPDH. Integrin $\beta 1$ activation was enhanced in cells cultured on anisotropic matrices (Aniso) compared to those on isotropic ones (Iso). Scale bar: 50 μm .

adhesion formation. However, little is known about the modulation of integrin $\beta 1$ activity by nanofibrous matrices. To examine the activation of integrin $\beta 1$ in NHDFs by various nanofibrous matrices, immunofluorescent staining was performed 3 h after the cells were seeded to detect the active conformation of integrin $\beta 1$ using the monoclonal antibody HUTS-4. As shown in Fig. 4A (arrows), a significant amount of active integrin $\beta 1$ was observed on the edge of NHDFs cultured on the anisotropic matrices compared to those on the isotropic matrices. Although the cells did not spread completely, which resulted in the enriched strong signals of integrin $\beta 1$ in cell bodies, the activation of integrin $\beta 1$ at the newly formed cellular edge was detected. The active integrin $\beta 1$ mainly located along the anisotropic nanofibers with a similar anisotropic pattern. Furthermore, quantitative analysis of active integrin $\beta 1$ by measuring the fluorescence intensity of labeled cells confirmed that active integrin $\beta 1$ level was significantly higher on the anisotropic matrices than that on the isotropic ones ($p < 0.01$) (Fig. 4B). Similarly, immunoprecipitation with the antibody HUTS-4 pulled down the 130 kD mature, active form of integrin $\beta 1$ (Fig. 4D), which was increased by 58% in the cells on the anisotropic matrices compared to those on the isotropic ones (Fig. 4E). The under glycosylated immature integrin $\beta 1$ (105 kD) was not detected in the immunoprecipitates. Both semi-quantitative analysis of integrin $\beta 1$ gene expression by Q-PCR and total integrin $\beta 1$ protein level by western blot revealed that there was no significant difference between isotropic and anisotropic groups (Fig. 4C–E). These results suggest that the alignment of nanofibers may induce integrin signaling by activating integrin $\beta 1$ without modulating the total integrin $\beta 1$ level.

3.4. Phosphorylation of FAK in fibroblasts enhanced by anisotropic nanofibrous matrices

The differential activation of integrin $\beta 1$ by nanofibrous matrices suggests the possibility that various nanofiber spatial organizations may trigger outside-in signaling in NHDFs through integrin $\beta 1$. It is known that FAK, one of the most critical integrin signaling molecules, is activated through autophosphorylation at tyrosine 397 upon integrin $\beta 1$ activation. To confirm this, the FAK phosphorylation level in cultured NHDFs was determined by western blotting. It was found that FAK phosphorylation at Tyr-397 was significantly increased in the NHDFs cultured on anisotropic matrices despite a comparable expression of total FAK on both isotropic and anisotropic matrices (Fig. 5). Thus, our results suggest that fiber organization in nanofibrous matrices may regulate the integrin-FAK signaling pathway in NHDFs.

3.5. Role of integrin $\beta 1$ in fibroblast migration on various nanofibrous matrices

In order to evaluate the effects of various fiber organizations (i.e., isotropic vs. anisotropic) on NHDF migration, an *in vitro* wound healing assay was performed by culturing cells on various matrices with “wound gaps” created by an insert (Fig. 6A). The culture stained with methylene blue after 24 and 48 h revealed that the migration of NHDFs was significantly regulated by the underlying nanofiber organization. On the isotropic matrices, NHDFs barely migrated into the “wound gap” after 24 h culture and only provided a moderate wound coverage (about 50%) after 48 h (Fig. 6A and B). In contrast, on the anisotropic matrices, NHDF migration into the wound area was greatly enhanced along the fiber alignment and the “wound gap” was completely closed by 48 h (Fig. 6A and B). In order to determine the role of integrin $\beta 1$ in fibroblast motility on these collagen-containing nanofibers, we also did the wound healing assay by blocking the NHDF integrin $\beta 1$ activity with




Fig. 5. Western blotting analysis of FAK phosphorylation (Tyr-397) in human dermal fibroblasts on PCL/Coll nanofibrous matrices. β -actin was used to normalize the protein input.

integrin $\beta 1$ neutralizing antibody. Apparently, the antibody significantly inhibited NHDF migration on the anisotropic fibers. Even after 48 h, the “wound gap” remained unclosed (Fig. 6A) and only approximately 60% wound closure was achieved (Fig. 6B). These results suggested that the promotion of fibroblast migration on anisotropic collagen-containing nanofibrous matrices is mediated by $\beta 1$ integrins.

3.6. Promotion of myofibroblastic differentiation by anisotropic nanofibers

Differentiation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts and the consequent wound contraction are important processes in wound healing. The expression of α -smooth muscle actin (α -SMA) is considered as a specific marker for myofibroblast differentiation. To address whether the organization of PCL/Coll nanofibers regulated the fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation, NHDFs cultured on isotropic and anisotropic nanofibrous matrices were examined for their gene expression of α -SMA by using both RT-PCR and Q-PCR. A significantly higher expression of α -SMA gene (ACTA2, NM_001613.2) was measured on the NHDFs cultured for 48 h on the anisotropic matrices in comparison to those cultured on the isotropic matrices (Fig. 7A and B). Consistent with the gene expression results, immunofluorescent staining for α -SMA further confirmed that nanofiber alignment indeed stimulated the fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation of NHDFs, evidenced by a significant increase of α -SMA positive cells in the cultured NHDFs (Fig. 7C and D). To examine whether integrin $\beta 1$ was involved in myofibroblastic differentiation, integrin $\beta 1$ neutralizing antibody was added to the culture while culturing NHDFs on various

nanofibrous matrices. It was found that with the integrin $\beta 1$ neutralizing antibody, the percentage of α -SMA positive cells was significantly reduced on the anisotropic matrices (Fig. 7C and D), suggesting that integrin $\beta 1$ was involved in the regulation of fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation by anisotropic nanofibers.

4. Discussion

The utilization of biomimetic nanofibers for wound healing and tissue-engineered skin grafts has shown multifold advantages in promoting tissue formation and facilitating wound closure, while insightful understanding of nanofiber-regulated phenotype of fibroblasts becomes an emerging demand. In this study, we have shown that anisotropic PCL/collagen nanofibers could activate the integrin $\beta 1$ in the membrane of NHDFs and increase the phosphorylation of FAK, a critical downstream kinase of integrin signaling. By using a wound healing model and antibody blockade treatment, we have demonstrated that the accelerated fibroblast

migration on anisotropic PCL/collagen nanofibers is mediated by integrin $\beta 1$. More importantly, we have found that these anisotropic nanofibers significantly promote the fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation, but the blockade of integrin $\beta 1$ activity abolishes this differentiation. These findings suggest that integrin $\beta 1$ -FAK signaling is an important mechanism to regulate the migration and differentiation of fibroblasts on collagen-containing nanofibrous matrices.

Increasing evidence has shown that the cellular microenvironment greatly regulates cellular responses such as adhesion, spreading, proliferation and migration. This regulation is closely related to the substrate rigidity and topography via mechanotransduction in addition to chemical stimulation [21,26–28]. Several studies have investigated the effect of electrospun nanofiber organization on the morphology and migration of fibroblasts [9–12]. Consistent with those observations, we also found that NHDFs became elongated on anisotropic nanofibrous matrices (Fig. 2). Although higher Young's modulus was indeed measured on freestanding anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibrous matrices along the




Fig. 6. Migration of human dermal fibroblasts on PCL/Coll nanofibrous matrices in wound healing assay. (A) cells (5×10^4 per matrix) were seeded on either isotropic or anisotropic matrices with an insert in the middle. After 12 h, the insert was removed to generate a 0.9 mm “wound gap”. Cells were allowed to migrate into the wound gap, and visualized after 24 and 48 h using methylene blue staining. (B) Quantification of the “wound gap” distance between the front lines of migrating fibroblasts ($n = 4$). ** Statistically significant, $p < 0.01$. Scale bar: 300 μ m. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

alignment compared to isotropic ones (*aniso*, 8.98 ± 3.72 MPa vs. *iso*, 2.83 ± 0.60 MPa), this mechanical contribution could be neglected in this study due to the use of rigid glass cover slips as collection surfaces for both matrices and being used through the entire study. In addition, the comparable average nanofiber diameters in both matrices (331 nm vs. 313 nm) also exclude the possible contribution of fiber diameter. Thus, this morphology variation mainly comes from the fiber organization, and is plausibly controlled by the formation and distribution of focal adhesion plaques between nanofibers and cell membrane. As shown in Fig. 2, long segment staining of both paxillin and vinculin was observed along the aligned PCL/Coll nanofibers and located on the cell-nanofiber contact regions. The formation of focal adhesions is mediated by integrin receptors on the cell surface. The engagement of integrins to ECM ligands leads to integrin activation (outside-in

signaling) and activated integrins in turn recruit focal adhesion proteins such as paxillin, vinculin, talin, and kindlin to the cell-matrix adhesion site. The binding of talin and kindlin to the integrin β cytoplasmic tail can further enhance integrin activation (inside-out signaling). It is unclear, however, whether these signaling events are regulated by anisotropy of cell adhesive microenvironment. Our new data showed that anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibers could selectively enhance integrin $\beta 1$ activation (Fig. 4B and D) and the activated integrin $\beta 1$ mainly located in the front edge of fibroblasts along both the polarized F-actin filaments inside the cell and the outside nanofibers (Fig. 4A). We speculate that the polarized distribution of F-actin filaments, which are connected to integrins through talin and kindlin, may be involved in the amplification of integrin activation. This nanofiber-induced activation of integrin $\beta 1$ may be further transmitted to its downstream effectors,




Fig. 7. Myofibroblastic differentiation of human dermal fibroblasts on PCL/Coll nanofibrous matrices. (A) RT-PCR and (B) Q-PCR analyses of cellular α -SMA (ACTA2) gene expression level on either isotropic or anisotropic nanofibrous matrices. (C) Immunostaining of cellular α -SMA proteins on isotropic and anisotropic matrices. (D) Quantification of α -SMA positive cells. The DAPI stained cell nuclei were used for normalization. ** Statistically significant, $p < 0.01$. Scale bar: 100 μ m.

FAK, a cytoplasmic protein tyrosine kinase, is an important mediator of integrin signaling and co-localizes with integrin $\beta 1$ at focal adhesions. The phosphorylation of FAK primarily occurs at the Y397 site upon integrin $\beta 1$ activation [29,30]. In this study, FAK phosphorylation at Y397 in NHDFs was significantly elevated on anisotropic nanofibrous matrices despite a constant expression of FAK on both matrices. Phosphorylated FAK may regulate multiple cellular functions such as cell adhesion and migration by further activating downstream signaling molecules such as Src and PI3K [31]. Cell migration is a complex and highly coordinated process, greatly depending upon dynamic integrin-matrix interactions [32–34]. Previous study illustrated the establishment of integrin-mediated focal adhesions at the front protrusions and the disassembly of these adhesion complexes at the tail region of migrating fibroblasts [35]. In our study, the abrogation of facilitated cell migration by integrin $\beta 1$ neutralizing antibody (Fig. 6) implies the crucial role of integrin $\beta 1$ signaling in fibroblast migration on anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibers and the increased phosphorylation of FAK at Y397 may induce the rapid turnover of focal adhesions at the trailing edge to promote cell motility [36–38].

Fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation, important to the reconstruction of connective tissue during wound healing, is promoted by TGF- $\beta 1$ and mechanical stress [19,39–41]. In TGF- $\beta 1$ -regulated myofibroblastic differentiation, FAK expression is critical to both ERK and p38 activation that are required for TGF- $\beta 1$ -induced α -SMA expression [42]. Spatial organization of FAK is well connected to the intracellular distribution of α -SMA stress fibers and greatly correlated with the mature of myofibroblastic differentiation [43]. Whereas in the present study no exogenous TGF- $\beta 1$ was supplemented to the culture, to our surprise, higher expression of α -SMA gene (ACTA2) was still detected on the NHDFs cultured on anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibrous matrices (Fig. 7A and B). Fluorescent staining for α -SMA further confirmed this observation (Fig. 7C and D). Interestingly, the blockade of integrin $\beta 1$ activity with neutralizing antibody almost completely abolished the α -SMA promotion effect by anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibers (Fig. 7C and D). It has been noted that anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibers could activate integrin $\beta 1$ and promote the phosphorylation of FAK (Figs. 4 and 5). The phosphorylation of FAK may lead to the activation of ERK and p38 mediators for α -SMA [42]. Further investigation on the ERK and p38 activity on anisotropic PCL/Coll nanofibers is necessary to confirm the regulatory involvement of this pathway. Additionally, it has been reported that fibroblast-to-myofibroblast transition in collagen gel could be promoted by interstitial fluid flow, as a result of the aligned force imposed on the fibroblasts by fluid flow [44]. However, in this study no medium flow was offered to the culture, which excluded this possible contribution. Necessary to mention, although in this study the potential contribution of mechanical differences between isotropic and anisotropic matrices to the cellular responses was neglected considering that the same highly rigid glass cover slips were used for the collected PCL/Coll nanofibers, studies on the mechanical stimuli are surely required for creating tissue-engineered skin grafts [45].

5. Conclusions

The present study demonstrated the dual functions of integrin $\beta 1$ in mediating both fibroblast migration and fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation on anisotropic collagen-containing nanofibers. NHDFs cultured on anisotropic PCL/collagen nanofibers showed elongated cell morphology, distinct distribution of focal adhesions, accelerated cell migration and promoted fibroblast-to-myofibroblast differentiation. The activation of the integrin $\beta 1$ -FAK signaling pathway by anisotropic nanofibers and

the elimination of nanofiber-induced cellular responses by blocking integrin $\beta 1$ activity both prove the important role of integrin $\beta 1$ signaling in fibroblast migration and differentiation regulated by various spatial arrangements of collagen-containing nanofibers.

Author disclosure statement

No competing financial interest.

Acknowledgments

This investigation was sponsored by Grant Number 1R21 AR056416 from NIAMS. Xiaoling Fu is also supported by Innovation & Entrepreneurship Doctoral Fellowship from Stevens Institute of Technology.

Appendix. Supplementary material

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.11.025.

References

- [1] MacNeil S. Progress and opportunities for tissue-engineered skin. *Nature* 2007;445:874–80.
- [2] Ma Z, Kotaki M, Inai R, Ramakrishna S. Potential of nanofiber matrix as tissue-engineering scaffolds. *Tissue Eng* 2005;11:101–9.
- [3] Kumbhar SG, James R, Nukavarapu SP, Laurencin CT. Electrospun nanofiber scaffolds: engineering soft tissues. *Biomed Mater* 2008;3:034002.
- [4] Venugopal J, Ramakrishna S. Biocompatible nanofiber matrices for the engineering of a dermal substitute for skin regeneration. *Tissue Eng* 2005;11:847–54.
- [5] Yang X, Shah JD, Wang H. Nanofiber enabled layer-by-layer approach toward three-dimensional tissue formation. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2009;15:945–56.
- [6] Miyata T, Taira T, Noishiki Y. Collagen engineering for biomaterial use. *Clin Mater* 1992;9:139–48.
- [7] Powell HM, Supp DM, Boyce ST. Influence of electrospun collagen on wound contraction of engineered skin substitutes. *Biomaterials* 2008;29:834–43.
- [8] Yang X, Ogbolu KR, Wang H. Multifunctional nanofibrous scaffold for tissue engineering. *J Exp Nanosci* 2008;3:329–45.
- [9] Dalby MJ, Riehle MO, Johnstone HJ, Affrossman S, Curtis AS. Polymer-demixed nanotopography: control of fibroblast spreading and proliferation. *Tissue Eng* 2002;8:1099–108.
- [10] Venugopal JR, Zhang Y, Ramakrishna S. In vitro culture of human dermal fibroblasts on electrospun polycaprolactone collagen nanofibrous membrane. *Artif Organs* 2006;30:440–6.
- [11] Zhang YZ, Venugopal J, Huang ZM, Lim CT, Ramakrishna S. Characterization of the surface biocompatibility of the electrospun PCL-collagen nanofibers using fibroblasts. *Biomacromolecules* 2005;6:2583–9.
- [12] Xie J, Macewan MR, Ray WZ, Liu W, Siewe DY, Xia Y. Radially aligned, electrospun nanofibers as dural substitutes for wound closure and tissue regeneration applications. *ACS Nano* 2010;4:5027–36.
- [13] Fu X, Wang H. Spatial arrangement of polycaprolactone/collagen nanofibrous scaffolds regulates the wound-healing related behaviors of human adipose stromal cells. *Tissue Eng Part A* Epub ahead of print.
- [14] Yang X, Wang H. Electrospun functional nanofibrous scaffolds for tissue engineering. In: Eberli D, editor. *Tissue engineering*. Croatia: InTech; 2010. p. 159–76.
- [15] Martin P. Wound healing—aiming for perfect skin regeneration. *Science* 1997;276:75–81.
- [16] Singer AJ, Clark RA. Cutaneous wound healing. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341:738–46.
- [17] Darby IA, Hewitson TD. Fibroblast differentiation in wound healing and fibrosis. *Int Rev Cytol* 2007;257:143–79.
- [18] Hinz B. Formation and function of the myofibroblast during tissue repair. *J Invest Dermatol* 2007;127:526–37.
- [19] Desmouliere A, Geinoz A, Gabbiani F, Gabbiani G. Transforming growth factor- $\beta 1$ induces alpha-smooth muscle actin expression in granulation tissue myofibroblasts and in quiescent and growing cultured fibroblasts. *J Cell Biol* 1993;122:103–11.
- [20] Vi L, de Lasa C, DiGuglielmo GM, Dagnino L. Integrin-linked kinase is required for TGF- $\beta 1$ induction of dermal myofibroblast differentiation. *J Invest Dermatol* 2011;131:586–93.
- [21] Li Z, Dranoff JA, Chan EP, Uemura M, Seigny J, Wells RG. Transforming growth factor- β and substrate stiffness regulate portal fibroblast activation in culture. *Hepatology* 2007;46:1246–56.

- [22] Ayres C, Bowlin GL, Henderson SC, Taylor L, Shultz J, Alexander J, et al. Modulation of anisotropy in electrospun tissue-engineering scaffolds: analysis of fiber alignment by the fast Fourier transform. *Biomaterials* 2006;27:5524–34.
- [23] Eisenbarth E, Meyle J, Nachtigall W, Breme J. Influence of the surface structure of titanium materials on the adhesion of fibroblasts. *Biomaterials* 1996;17:1399–403.
- [24] Hansen MB, Nielsen SE, Kurt B. Re-examination and further development of a precise and rapid dye method for measuring cell growth/cell kill. *J Immunol Methods* 1989;119(2):203–10.
- [25] Yuan JS, Reed A, Chen F, Stewart Jr CN. Statistical analysis of real-time PCR data. *BMC Bioinform* 2006;7:85.
- [26] Webster TJ, Ergun C, Doremus RH, Siegel RW, Bizios R. Enhanced osteoclast-like cell functions on nanophase ceramics. *Biomaterials* 2001;22:1327–33.
- [27] Dalby MJ, Di Silvio L, Gurav N, Annaz B, Kayser MV, Bonfield W. Optimizing HAPEx topography influences osteoblast response. *Tissue Eng* 2002;8:453–67.
- [28] Dalby MJ. Topographically induced direct cell mechanotransduction. *Med Eng Phys* 2005;27:730–42.
- [29] Sieg DJ, Hauck CR, Schlaepfer DD. Required role of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) for integrin-stimulated cell migration. *J Cell Sci* 1999;112(16):2677–91.
- [30] Schlaepfer DD, Mitra SK. Multiple connections link FAK to cell motility and invasion. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2004;14:92–101.
- [31] Mitra SK, Schlaepfer DD. Integrin-regulated FAK-Src signaling in normal and cancer cells. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2006;18:516–23.
- [32] Palecek SP, Loftus JC, Ginsberg MH, Lauffenburger DA, Horwitz AF. Integrin-ligand binding properties govern cell migration speed through cell-substratum adhesiveness. *Nature* 1997;385:537–40.
- [33] Giancotti FG, Ruoslahti E. Integrin signaling. *Science* 1999;285:1028–32.
- [34] Harburger DS, Calderwood DA. Integrin signalling at a glance. *J Cell Sci* 2009;122:159–63.
- [35] Palecek SP, Schmidt CE, Lauffenburger DA, Horwitz AF. Integrin dynamics on the tail region of migrating fibroblasts. *J Cell Sci* 1996;109:941–52.
- [36] Yamada KM, Miyamoto S. Integrin transmembrane signaling and cytoskeletal control. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 1995;7:681–9.
- [37] Takagi J, Petre BM, Walz T, Springer TA. Global conformational rearrangements in integrin extracellular domains in outside-in and inside-out signaling. *Cell* 2002;110:599–611.
- [38] Hamadi A, Bouali M, Dontenwill M, Stoeckel H, Takeda K, Ronde P. Regulation of focal adhesion dynamics and disassembly by phosphorylation of FAK at tyrosine 397. *J Cell Sci* 2005;118:4415–25.
- [39] Vaughan MB, Howard EW, Tomasek JJ. Transforming growth factor-beta1 promotes the morphological and functional differentiation of the myofibroblast. *Exp Cell Res* 2000;257:180–9.
- [40] Chan MW, Chaudary F, Lee W, Copeland JW, McCulloch CA. Force-induced myofibroblast differentiation through collagen receptors is dependent on mammalian diaphanous (mDia). *J Biol Chem* 2010;285:9273–81.
- [41] Hinz B, Mastrangelo D, Iselin CE, Chaponnier C, Gabbiani G. Mechanical tension controls granulation tissue contractile activity and myofibroblast differentiation. *Am J Pathol* 2001;159:1009–20.
- [42] Ding Q, Gladson CL, Wu H, Hayasaka H, Olman MA. Focal adhesion kinase (FAK)-related non-kinase inhibits myofibroblast differentiation through differential MAPK activation in a FAK-dependent manner. *J Biol Chem* 2008;283:26839–49.
- [43] Dugina V, Fontao L, Chaponnier C, Vasiliev J, Gabbiani G. Focal adhesion features during myofibroblastic differentiation are controlled by intracellular and extracellular factors. *J Cell Sci* 2001;114:3285–96.
- [44] Ng CP, Hinz B, Swartz MA. Interstitial fluid flow induces myofibroblast differentiation and collagen alignment in vitro. *J Cell Sci* 2005;118:4731–9.
- [45] Lee CH, Shin HJ, Cho IH, Kang YM, Kim IA, Park KD, et al. Nanofiber alignment and direction of mechanical strain affect the ECM production of human ACL fibroblast. *Biomaterials* 2005;26:1261–70.