

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	)	Investigation No.
CERTAIN COMPUTING DEVICES UTILIZING)		337-TA-1389
INDEXED SEARCH SYSTEMS AND	)	
COMPONENTS THEREOF	)	

REVISED AND CORRECTED TRANSCRIPT OPEN SESSIONS

Pages: 764 through 1049 (with excerpts)
Place: Washington, D.C.
Date: October 9, 2024

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888
contracts@hrcourtreporters.com

Petitioner has added a romanette to the first page. Otherwise, it leaves the original page numbering.

MICROSOFT
EXHIBIT 1079

1 UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
2 Washington, D.C.
3 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAMERON ELLIOT
4 Administrative Law Judge

5 _____
6 In the Matter of:) Investigation No.
7 CERTAIN COMPUTING DEVICES UTILIZING) 337-TA-1389
8 INDEXED SEARCH SYSTEMS AND)
9 COMPONENTS THEREOF)

10 _____

11
12 International Trade Commission
13 500 E Street, S.W.
14 Washington, D.C.

15
16 Wednesday, October 9, 2024
17 EVIDENTIARY HEARING - VOLUME IV

18

19 The Hearing commenced in Courtroom B, pursuant to the
20 notice of the Judge, at 9:01 a.m. Eastern.

21

22

23

24 Reported by: Marjorie Peters, FAPR, RMR, CRR

25

1 APPEARANCES:

2 For Complainants X1 Discovery Inc.:

3 PATRICK J. MCCARTHY, ESQ.

4 SRAVAN K. TUMULURI, ESQ.

5 AMADOU KILKENNY DIAW, ESQ.

6 Goodwin Procter LLP

7 1900 N Street, N.W.

8 Washington, D.C. 20036

9

10 I. NEEL CHATTERJEE, ESQ.

11 Goodwin Procter LLP

12 601 Marshall Street

13 Redwood City, CA 94063

14

15 LORA KRSULICH, ESQ.

16 Goodwin Procter LLP

17 601 South Figueroa Street, 41st Floor

18 Los Angeles, CA 90017

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

2 For Complainants X1 Discovery Inc.:

3 CHRISTIE L. LAROCHELLE, ESQ.

4 KRISTIN M. BEALE, ESQ.

5 EMMA MURRAY, ESQ.

6 Goodwin Procter LLP

7 100 Northern Avenue

8 Boston, MA 02210

9

10 GABRIELLA MONTES, ESQ.

11 Goodwin Procter LLP

12 The New York Times Building

13 620 Eighth Avenue

14 New York, NY 10018

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES (Continued):

2 For Respondents Acer Inc., Acer America Corporation,
3 ASUSTek Computer Inc., ASUS Computer International, Dell
4 Technologies Inc. and Dell Products L.P.:

5 AAMIR A. KAZI, ESQ.

6 ASHLEY A. BOLT, ESQ.

7 Fish & Richardson P.C.

8 1180 Peachtree Street, NE, 21st Floor

9 Atlanta, GA 30309

10

11 AHMED J. DAVIS, ESQ.

12 Fish & Richardson P.C.

13 1000 Maine Avenue, S.W., Suite 1000

14 Washington, D.C. 20024

15

16 JASON W. WOLFF, ESQ.

17 CHERYL WANG, ESQ.

18 Fish & Richardson P.C.

19 12860 El Camino Real, Suite 400

20 San Diego, CA 92130

21

22

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES (Continued):

2 For Respondents Acer Inc., Acer America Corporation,
3 ASUSTek Computer Inc., ASUS Computer International, Dell
4 Technologies Inc. and Dell Products L.P.:

5 LEERON G. KALAY, ESQ.

6 Fish & Richardson P.C.

7 500 Arguello Street, Suite 400

8 Redwood City, CA 94063

9

10 KEVIN SU, ESQ.

11 Fish & Richardson P.C.

12 One Marina Park Drive

13 Boston, MA 02210

14

15 GRAYSON P. SUNDERMEIR, ESQ.

16 Fish & Richardson P.C.

17 222 Delaware Avenue, 17th Floor

18 Wilmington, DE 19801

19

20 ALLISON E. ELKMAN, ESQ.

21 Fish & Richardson P.C.

22 7 Times Square, 20th Floor

23 New York, NY 10036

24

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE ELLIOT: We're here in Certain Computing
3 Devices Utilizing Indexed Search Systems and Components
4 Thereof, United States International Trade Commission,
5 Investigation Number 337-TA-1389. My name is Cameron
6 Elliot, presiding administrative law judge.

7 May I have appearances from counsel, please.

8 MR. CHATTERJEE: Good morning, Your Honor. Neel
9 Chatterjee and my colleagues.

10 JUDGE ELLIOT: Could you turn your microphone
11 on, please, or come to the podium.

12 MR. CHATTERJEE: Good morning, Your Honor. Neel
13 Chatterjee, for Complainant, as well as my colleagues whom
14 you have met before.

15 MR. KAZI: Good morning, Your Honor. Aamir Kazi
16 with Fish, along with our colleagues you've met before as
17 well.

18 JUDGE ELLIOT: Good morning.

19 So anything we need to take up before we start
20 with Dr. Mitzenmacher again?

21 MR. CHATTERJEE: I believe we are good to go,
22 Your Honor.

23 JUDGE ELLIOT: Very well.

24 Good morning, sir. Let me remind you that you
25 are still under oath.

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Yes.

2 Whereupon--

3 MICHAEL MITZENMACHER, PhD,
4 having been previously duly sworn, was examined and
5 testified as follows:

6 MR. CHATTERJEE: Your Honor, it says we're on
7 the confidential record right now, I don't think we need to
8 be.

9 JUDGE ELLIOT: Can we start on public?

10 MR. CHATTERJEE: We're going to start on the
11 public record.

12 JUDGE ELLIOT: Okay.

13 My attorney adviser is not here.

14 All right. Go ahead.

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. CHATTERJEE:

17 Q. Dr. Mitzenmacher, before I get us started with
18 my cross-examination, I just want to start with a couple of
19 preliminaries.

20 You didn't talk to anyone about your testimony
21 between your testifying yesterday and today; right?

22 A. No, I didn't.

23 Q. When was the last time you reviewed your
24 deposition transcript?

25 A. I probably looked over some of it last night.

1 incremental search was known prior to the '093 patent;
2 correct?
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. X1 did not invent the concept of incremental
5 search; correct?
6 A. Correct.
7 Q. You talked about Raskin -- sorry. Go ahead,
8 sir.
9 A. Thank you.
10 Q. No problem.
11 You talked about Raskin during your direct
12 examination; correct?
13 A. Yes.
14 Q. Raskin discloses incremental search; correct?
15 A. Yes.
16 Q. Morgan discloses incremental search; correct?
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. Encarta discloses incremental search?
19 A. Yes.
20 Q. You'd agree that incremental search was
21 well-known prior to the '093 patent?
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. With respect to Encarta, you talked about
24 Encarta on your direct; right, sir?
25 A. Yes.