

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ENTEGRIS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

INPRIA CORP.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2025-00267
Patent No. 11,673,903

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO SEAL

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.54, Patent Owner Inpria Corp. (“Inpria”) hereby moves to seal Exhibits 2046–2055 and Patent Owner’s Supplemental Brief on Real Party-in-Interest, which relies on and discusses those exhibits. Good cause exists for granting this motion because Petitioner Entegris, Inc. (“Entegris”) has marked those documents as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY” or “Confidential” under the Modified Protective Order (Paper 25). Although Inpria does not necessarily agree with Entegris’s asserted need for such high confidentiality of virtually all of the documents, Inpria is proceeding according to Entegris’s designations to ensure compliance with the protective order.

I. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR SEALING CERTAIN INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL BY ENTEGRIS

The *Office Patent Trial Practice Guide* provides that “the rules aim to strike a balance between the public’s interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties’ interest in protecting truly sensitive information.” 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48760 (Aug. 14, 2012). Further, those rules “identify confidential information in a manner consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G), which provides for protective orders for trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” *Id.* (citing 37 C.F.R. § 42.54).

According to Entegris, Exhibits 2046-2052, 2054 “contain non-public information, including information relating to third parties,” and thus Entegris has

marked them “PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES’ ONLY.” Entegris has also indicated that at least portions of Dr. Rivers’s deposition transcript, EX2055, are also highly confidential. Given Entegris’s designation, and in accordance with the rules and the Modified Protective Order entered in this proceeding, Inpria moves to seal those exhibits.

Entegris provided a redacted, public version of Exhibit 2046, which Inpria is filing simultaneously with this motion. For Dr. Rivers’s deposition transcript (EX2055), Entegris has indicated that it will provide a redacted copy that it views as non-confidential. Inpria will file that redacted version once it is provided. Entegris has indicated that it considers the remaining exhibits as confidential in their entirety.

Entegris also marked EX2053 as CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL. Given Entegris’s designation, and in accordance with the rules and the Modified Protective Order entered in this proceeding, Inpria moves to seal Exhibit 2053.

Based on Entegris’s extensive confidentiality designations, out of an abundance of caution, Inpria also moves to seal Patent Owner’s Supplemental Brief on Real Party-in-Interest, which cites to these exhibits and discusses the purportedly confidential or highly confidential information therein. Inpria will file a redacted version of the Supplemental Brief as soon as Entegris provides direction on which portions it considers confidential.

II. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Inpria respectfully requests that the following be placed under seal: Exhibits 2046–2055; and Patent Owner’s Supplemental Brief on Real Party-in-Interest.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 14, 2026

By: /Naveen Modi/
Naveen Modi (Registration No. 46,224)
Counsel for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 14, 2026, a copy of the foregoing Patent Owner's Motion to Seal was served by electronic means upon the following:

Eliot D. Williams (Reg. No. 50,822)
Katharine Burke (*pro hac vice*)
Frank Zhu (Reg. No. 71,214)
Amy E. Bergeron (Reg. No. 76,974)
E-mail: DLEntegris-InpriaIPR@Bakerbotts.com

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 14, 2026

By: /Naveen Modi/
Naveen Modi (Registration No. 46,224)
Counsel for Patent Owner