

[REDACTED]

I, Joseph Rivers, declare:

1. I am Senior Director, Product Management at Entegris, Inc. (“Entegris”). I am responsible for certain precursor products developed and made by Entegris. I have prepared this declaration based on my personal knowledge and other information I have collected and reviewed.

2. I understand that, in December 2024, Entegris filed this *inter partes* review (“IPR”) proceeding challenging the validity of U.S. Patent No. 11,673,903 (“’903 Patent”), owned by Inpria, Corp. (“Inpria”). I also understand that Inpria was pursuing a lawsuit in Delaware against Lam Research Corp. (“Lam”) alleging infringement of several patents, including the ’903 Patent (“Delaware Litigation”) and that the Delaware Litigation settled in September 2025. I understand that Inpria has alleged that Lam is a “real party in interest” in this IPR proceeding. I have been asked to provide certain facts relating to Entegris’s interactions with Lam, or lack thereof, as relevant to this proceeding.

I. Entegris’s Filing of this IPR Proceeding

3. Entegris prepared and filed this IPR with no participation by Lam. Entegris did not provide Lam with any materials relating to this IPR (whether public or confidential) and did not ask Lam to review anything related to this IPR before or after Entegris filed it. Entegris did not discuss any strategy with Lam before or after filing. Lam did not provide input on materials relied on in the IPR or any other aspect

[REDACTED]

of the IPR before or after filing.

4. Lam has not controlled and does not control Entegris in any aspect of this IPR. Lam had no input in the decision to pursue this IPR or in the content, timing, scope, or preparation of the IPR or any other aspect of this proceeding.

5. Lam has not funded any aspect of this IPR. Lam also has not provided any compensation, monetary or otherwise, relating to (1) this IPR, (2) any intellectual property of Inpria, or (3) any matters relating to such intellectual property.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

7. As further evidence that Entegris did not pursue this IPR on Lam's behalf, Entegris maintained this IPR and its request that the '903 Patent be invalidated even after Inpria and Lam settled the Delaware Litigation, including as related to the '903 Patent. Entegris had no discussions or involvement in negotiating, reviewing, or agreeing to any aspect of the Inpria/Lam settlement. Entegris had no advanced notice of the settlement before it was executed and was not even aware that Inpria and Lam were in settlement discussions.

8. Entegris did not bring this IPR on Lam's behalf for any commercial reasons either. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

14. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was

[REDACTED]

executed on December 12, 2025, in Suwon, South Korea.

/Joseph Rivers/

Joseph Rivers