IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ENTEGRIS, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

INPRIA CORP.

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2025-00267
U.S. Patent 11,673,903

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S PRE-TRIAL EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner Entegris, Inc. ("Entegris") hereby makes the following objections to Patent Owner Inpria Corp.'s ("Inpria") evidence provided with its Preliminary Response (Paper 8) filed May 12, 2025, and its Supplemental Brief Regarding Real Party-in-Interest (Paper 28), filed January 14, 2026.

OBJECTIONS

1. Entegris objects to Exhibits 2001, 2002, 2003, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055 (collectively, "Inpria's Exhibits") under Rules 402 and 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence because Inpria's Exhibits are not sufficiently relevant and any probative value is outweighed by unfair prejudice. Inpria's Exhibits are only relevant to discretionary issues and/or real-party-in-interest issues, all of which have been resolved. Inpria's Exhibits are not sufficiently relevant to any post-institution determinations the Board will make, as evidenced by Inpria's failure to cite any of these Exhibits in its Preliminary Response.

2. Entegris objects to Exhibit 2001 under Rule 902 of the Federal Rules of Evidence because it is an unauthenticated Internet webpage printout that is not self-authenticating. Therefore, under Rule 901 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Exhibit 2001 is inadmissible.

3. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2002 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

4. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2003 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

5. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2029 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

6. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2030 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

7. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2031 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

8. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2032 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

9. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2039 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

10. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2034 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

11. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2035 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

12. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2036 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

13. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2037 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

14. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2040 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

15. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the contents of Exhibit 2041 for the truth of the matter asserted, Entegris objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay under Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802 that does not fall under any exceptions, including those of Federal Rules of Evidence 803, 804, 805, or 807.

16. Entegris objects to Exhibit 2055 for the reasons stated on the record during the cross-examination transcribed therein and for failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(f)(5).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 10, 2026

/ Eliot D. Williams /_____
Eliot D. Williams (Reg. No. 50,822)
LEAD COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e) and 42.105, the undersigned certifies that on February 10, 2026, a complete and entire copy of the foregoing Petitioner's Objections to Patent Owner's Pre-Trial Evidence was served via email to Patent Owner at the following address(es):

PH-Entegris-Inpria-IPR@paulhastings.com

Respectfully submitted,

February 10, 2026
Date

/ Eliot D. Williams/
Eliot D. Williams (Reg. No. 50,822)
LEAD COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER