

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ENTEGRIS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

INPRIA CORP.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2025-00267
Patent No. 11,673,903

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO SEAL

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.54, Patent Owner Inpria Corp. (“Inpria”) hereby moves to seal Patent Owner’s Request for Director Review of Decision Granting Institution. Good cause exists for granting this motion because Petitioner Entegris, Inc. (“Entegris”) has marked certain documents referenced in Patent Owner’s Request as “PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY” under the Modified Protective Order (Paper 25). Although Inpria does not necessarily agree with Entegris’s asserted need for such high confidentiality of virtually all of the documents, Inpria is proceeding according to Entegris’s designations to ensure compliance with the protective order.

I. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR SEALING CERTAIN INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL BY ENTEGRIS

The *Office Patent Trial Practice Guide* provides that “the rules aim to strike a balance between the public’s interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties’ interest in protecting truly sensitive information.” 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48760 (Aug. 14, 2012). Further, those rules “identify confidential information in a manner consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G), which provides for protective orders for trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” *Id.* (citing 37 C.F.R. § 42.54).

According to Entegris, Exhibits 2046-2048, 2050, and 2054 “contain non-public information, including information relating to third parties,” and thus Entegris

has marked them “PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY.” Entegris has also indicated that portions of Dr. Rivers’s deposition transcript, EX2055, are also highly confidential. Based on Entegris’s extensive confidentiality designations, out of an abundance of caution, Inpria moves to seal its Request because it relies on each of those exhibits. Inpria will file a redacted version of the Request as soon as Entegris provides direction on which portions it considers confidential.

II. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Inpria respectfully requests that the following be placed under seal: Patent Owner’s Request for Director Review of Decision Granting Institution.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 10, 2026

By: /Naveen Modi/
Naveen Modi (Registration No. 46,224)
Counsel for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 10, 2026, a copy of the foregoing Patent Owner's Motion to Seal was served by electronic means upon the following:

Eliot D. Williams (Reg. No. 50,822)
Katharine Burke (*pro hac vice*)
Frank Zhu (Reg. No. 71,214)
Amy E. Bergeron (Reg. No. 76,974)
E-mail: DLEntegris-InpriaIPR@Bakerbotts.com

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 10, 2026

By: /Naveen Modi/
Naveen Modi (Registration No. 46,224)
Counsel for Patent Owner