

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ENTEGRIS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INPRIA CORP.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00267
Patent 11,673,903 B2

Before CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER, DEBRA L. DENNETT, and
DAVID COTTA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.72

With the Board's authorization, Petitioner and Patent Owner filed (1) a Joint Motion to Terminate based on a settlement agreement between those entities, (2) a true copy of their written settlement agreement, and (3) a Joint Request to Keep Confidential and Separate. Paper 46; Paper 47; Ex. 1031.

The parties indicate that they “have now settled their dispute and have reached agreement to terminate the petition.” Paper 46, 3. The parties also filed what they represent “is a true and accurate copy of the Agreement between the Parties that resolves the present proceeding.” *Id.*; *see* Ex. 1031. The parties argue that termination is appropriate because Patent Owner “has yet to file its Patent Owner Response, and the Board has yet to decide the merits of this proceeding.” *Id.*

Generally, “[t]he Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.” Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide § II.N (Dec. 2025), available at <https://www.uspto.gov/patents/ptab/trial-practice-guide>. As the parties point out, we have not yet decided the merits of this proceeding, so we are persuaded that termination of this proceeding is appropriate.

In addition, we grant the Joint Request to Keep Confidential and Separate. 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) (“At the request of a party to the proceeding, the agreement or understanding shall be treated as business confidential information, shall be kept separate from the file of the involved patents, and shall be made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause.”).

This Decision does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

ORDER

For the reasons discussed above, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate (Paper 46) is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Request to Keep Confidential and Separate (Paper 47) is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that the settlement agreement (Ex. 1031) be treated as business confidential information, kept separate from the file of the challenged patent, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c); and

FURTHER ORDERED that this proceeding is terminated.

IPR2025-00267
Patent 11,673,903 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Eliot Williams
Zhengmao Zhu
Amy Bergeron
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com
frank.zhu@bakerbotts.com
amy.bergeron@bakerbotts.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Naveen Modi
Eric Dittmann
Isaac Ashkenazi
Phillip Citroen
Daniel Zeilberger
Michael Wolfe
Maksim Mints
Paul Anderson
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
naveenmodi@paulhastings.com
ericdittmann@paulhastings.com
isaacashkenazi@paulhastings.com
phillipcitroen@paulhastings.com
danielzeilberger@paulhastings.com
michaelwolfe@paulhastings.com
maksimmints@paulhastings.com
paulanderson@paulhastings.com