

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

STERLING COMPUTERS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00270
Patent 8,073,911 B2

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, AMBER L. HAGY, and BACH V. HOANG,
Administrative Patent Judges.

HOANG, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

NEW DUE DATE 8

Patent Owner requested, and Petitioner did not oppose, rescheduling the initial Due Date 8 for oral argument to the week of July 13, 2026.

Ex. 3002, 1.

Should the parties request it, the Board will hear IPR2025-00270, involving the above-named parties, on Thursday, July 16, 2026. Therefore, the initial Due Date 8 for oral argument in this IPR is changed from June 24, 2026 (Paper 13, 13) to July 16, 2026. No other changes to the Scheduling Order have been made. *See* Paper 13. As set forth in the initial Scheduling Order, the parties may not stipulate to an extension of the request for oral argument beyond the date below. *Id.*

We include an amended due date appendix reflecting new due date 8.

ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Scheduling Order in this proceeding is amended as to new Due Date 8, and, if requested, oral argument for this proceeding shall take place on July 16, 2026.

AMENDED DUE DATE APPENDIX

DUE DATE 1 December 16, 2025

Patent Owner's response to the petition

Patent Owner's motion to amend the patent

DUE DATE 2 March 10, 2026

Petitioner's reply to Patent Owner's response to petition

Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend

DUE DATE 3 April 21, 2026

Patent Owner's sur-reply to reply

Patent Owner's reply to opposition to motion to amend
(or Patent Owner's revised motion to amend)¹

DUE DATE 4 May 12, 2026

Request for oral argument (may not be extended by stipulation)

DUE DATE 5 June 2, 2026

Petitioner's sur-reply to reply to opposition to motion to amend

Motion to exclude evidence

DUE DATE 6 June 9, 2026

Opposition to motion to exclude

Request for prehearing conference

DUE DATE 7 June 16, 2026

Reply to opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 8 July 16, 2026

Oral argument (if requested)

¹ If Patent Owner files neither a reply to Petitioner's opposition to the MTA nor a revised MTA, the parties are directed to Section B(3) above.

IPR2025-00270
Patent 8,073,911 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Scott Border
Tathagata Goswami
Joe Netikosol
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
sborder@winston.com
tdgoswami@winston.com
jnetikosol@winston.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Devan Padmanabhan
Michelle Dawson
PADMANABHAN & DAWSON, PLLC
devan@paddalawgroup.com
michelle@paddalawgroup.com