

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Twitch Interactive, Inc.,
Petitioner,

v.

Razdog Holdings LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2025-00307
U.S. Patent No. 9,729,658

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, Patent Owner Razdog Holdings LLC (“Razdog”) respectfully requests oral argument in this proceeding on all of the instituted grounds of review of U.S. Patent No. 9,729,658 (“the ‘658 Patent”). According to the Scheduling Order, the Oral Hearing in this IPR proceeding is scheduled for April 24, 2026. *See* Paper 22 at 12. Razdog respectfully requests that the Board conduct a consolidated oral argument for this IPR proceeding and IPR2025-00308, and that the oral hearing be held in-person at USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia. Razdog respectfully requests that each side be given 60 minutes at the Oral Hearing to address the related IPR proceedings (IPR2025-00307 and IPR2025-00308) and the issues below or, to the extent it is greater, the amount of time granted to Petitioner Twitch Interactive, Inc. (“Twitch”).

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), and without intending to waive any issue not specifically identified, Razdog requests the ability to present arguments on the following issues related to this IPR proceeding:

1. The grounds on which the IPRs were instituted, including the issues and evidence raised in the parties’ briefing and supporting declarations and exhibits, including but not limited to:

- a. Whether claims 1-5 and 7-10 would have been obvious over Darnell (Ex. 1004) and Kim (Ex. 1005) (Ground 1A);

b. Whether claims 6 and 12-18 would have been obvious over Darnell (Ex. 1004), Kim (Ex. 1005), and Dasgupta (Ex. 1006) (Ground 1B);

c. Whether claims 1 and 4-10 would have been obvious over Dasgupta (Ex. 1006) and Darnell (Ex. 1004);

d. Whether claims 2-3 and 11 would have been obvious over Dasgupta (Ex. 1006), Darnell (Ex. 1004), and Kim (Ex. 1005);

2. Any issues raised in the parties' papers and the Board's institution decisions, including but not limited to claim construction issues including the construction of "real time";

3. Any issues raised by Twitch in any request(s) for oral argument;

4. Rebuttal to Twitch's presentation on all matters;

5. Any procedural and/or evidentiary issues raised by the parties;

6. Any issues raised by the parties in any filings contemporaneous or subsequent to this Request, including any motions to exclude;

7. Any additional issues the parties raise or propose to raise at or before the oral argument; and

8. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks information or clarification.

Razdog also respectfully requests the ability to use audio visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits at the hearing, including the use of a projector to connect a laptop for a PowerPoint presentation.

Dated: March 16, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Roger Thompson

Roger Thompson (Reg. No. 29,594)

rthompson@villegascefo.com

Damir Cefo (Reg. No. 51,538)

dcefo@villegascefo.com

VILLEGAS & CEFO, LLP

1350 Avenue of the Americas, Floor 2

New York, New York 10019

P: 646-844-0679

Kyle Deighan (Reg. No. 75,525)

kdeighan@calfee.com

Mark McDougall (Reg. No. 62,670)

mmcdougall@calfee.com

CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP

The Calfee Building

1405 East Sixth Street

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

P: 216-622-8200

F: 216-241-0816

*Attorneys for Patent Owner RazDog
Holdings LLC*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing **PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** were served on the date below via email to the following counsel of record for Petitioner:

Jessica C. Kaiser
Jon R. Carter
John C. Moulder
PERKINS COIE LLP
kaiser-ptab@perkinscoie.com
carter-ptab@perkinscoie.com
moulder-ptab@perkinscoie.com
Twitch-RazdogIPR@perkinscoie.com

Dated: March 16, 2026

/s/ Roger Thompson

*Attorney for Patent Owner RazDog
Holdings LLC*