

Atom > RSS: Why We Should Just Call Them “Feeds” Instead of “RSS” Feeds

By Daniel Miessler on August 27th, 2007: Tagged as [Atom](#) | [Blogging](#) | [RSS](#) | [Standards](#) | [Syndication](#)



I’m no longer calling feeds “RSS feeds”. From now on I’m calling them “syndication” feeds, or just “feeds”. There have been two standards for doing this type of XML-based website syndication for a long time now — Atom and RSS. RSS is older and has more penetration, so its name has been confused with its function. Just like Xerox, Kleenex and Google.

Well, I don’t use Xerox to mean copy, I don’t use Kleenex to mean tissue, and the only reason I use “google” to mean search is because it’s vastly superior to the alternatives. If that changes I’ll drop it as well.

And that’s the case with RSS. It’s not simply **not** superior to Atom — it’s *inferior*. Here are a few of the highlights:

- Atom offers a number of technical advantages that hinge on a more precise and scalable definition. Think XHTML vs. HTML4. Examples include (from [the Atom Wiki](#)):
 - RSS 2.0 requires feed-level title, link, and description. RSS 2.0 does not require that any of the fields of individual items in a feed be present. Atom 1.0 requires that both feeds and entries include a title (which may be empty), a unique identifier, and a last-updated timestamp.
 - RSS 2.0 may contain either plain text or escaped HTML, with no way to indicate which of the two is provided, and the RSS 2.0 content model does not permit actual well-formed XML markup, which reduces the re-usability of content. Atom has a carefully-designed payload container, where content must be explicitly labeled.
 - RSS autodiscovery has been implemented several times in different ways, often relies on unregistered (thus invalid) application/rss+xml MIME type, and has never been standardized. Atom [WWW]standardizes autodiscovery. The application/atom+xml MIME Type is registered with IANA. Additionally, Atom feeds contain a “self” pointer, so a newsreader can auto-subscribe given only the contents of the feed, based on Web-standard dispatching techniques.
 - RSS 2.0 is not in an XML namespace but may contain elements from other XML namespaces. There is no central place where one can find out about many popular extensions. Atom 1.0 is an XML namespace and may contain elements or attributes from other XML namespaces.
 - RSS 2.0 does not specify the handling of relative URI references. Different feed readers implement differing heuristics for their interpretation. There is no interoperability. In practice, relative URI references cannot be used in RSS feeds. Atom 1.0 specifies use of the XML’s built-in [xml:base](#) attribute for allowing the use of relative references.
 - RSS 2.0 can be encrypted or signed like any other web content, if treated as arbitrary content. Rules for applying standard [XML Encryption](#) and [XML Digital Signature](#) on entries are included in Atom 1.0.
 - The RSS 2.0 specification includes no schema. Atom 1.0 includes a (non-normative) ISO-Standard [RelaxNG](#) schema, to support those who want to check the validity of data advertised as Atom 1.0.
- Atom is run by the Atompub Working Group group is specified in [RFC 4287](#) while RSS is basically run by [a single genius](#) who’s arguably very hard to work with.

“Dave Winer has done a tremendous amount of work on RSS and invented important parts of it and deserves a huge amount of credit for getting us as far as we have. **However, just looking around, I observe that there are many people and organizations who seem unable to maintain a good working relationship with Dave.**” — Tim Bray (member of the W3C and co-creator of XML)

- Google perfers Atom.

“That is the sound of inevitability.”

The bottom line for me is that Atom seems to be more technically sound as well as more open. When you combine that with Google having chosen it as their preferred syndication protocol, that pretty much seals it for me.

I’m not going to be calling feeds “Atom feeds” — that would be lame — but I am going to dump the RSS bit. In my view Atom is clearly the winner long-term.:

Related Posts

- [August 2007 Site Enhancements](#)
- [Feed Updates](#)
- [Some Thoughts on Google’s Bookmarking and Linkrolling Strategy](#)
- [Feeds Updated](#)
- [An RSS Reminder](#)



Original Content

Trending

- [A Security-focused HTTP Primer](#)
- [A tan Reference](#)

Popular

- [A tcpdump Tutorial](#)
- [What You Learned in School About Airplane Flight Was Probably Wrong](#)
- [25 Infosec Interview Questions](#)
- [10 Things Everyone Should Know About the Middle East](#)
- [Managing Your Website With Git](#)
- [The find Command](#)
- [The lsof Command](#)
- [Vulnerability Assessment vs. Penetration Test](#)
- [The Nice Guy Paradox](#)
- [A Web Authentication Primer: FedID, OpenID, and OAuth](#)
- [Security and Obscurity Revisited](#)

Information Security / Technology

- [My Preferred Definition of Information Security](#)
- [The Diffie-Hellman Protocol](#)
- [Differences in SYN Packets](#)
- [The Birthday Attack Explained](#)
- [Mac vs. PC Security in One Sentence](#)
- [A UNIX/Linux Permissions Refresher](#)
- [Cross Site Scripting Explained](#)
- [A Security/Obscurity Experiment](#)
- [Expect More Human-Based Infosec Attacks](#)
- [CISSPs Do Need to be Technical](#)
- [An Encoding Primer](#)
- [The Under-appreciated Danger of Password Reset Mechanisms](#)
- [Encoding vs. Encryption](#)
- [An lsof Tutorial](#)
- [The Nmap/Dshield Trick](#)
- [Using Splunk as a Syslog Server](#)
- [Thinking Through Subnetting](#)
- [Explaining Network Ports](#)

Politics

- [Free Will and Determinism as the Core of Political Disagreement](#)
- [Conservatives are Leave it to Beaver, Liberals are Star Trek](#)
- [Socialism and Anarchy](#)
- [On CFR/NWO Conspiracies](#)
- [The Worst Mistake People Make in Political Arguments](#)
- [How to Debate an Idiot](#)

Philosophy & Religion

- [An Atheist Debate Reference](#)
- [Meaning is an Illusion](#)
- [The Best Response to “Atheists are arrogant”](#)
- [The Only Class That Matters](#)
- [Free Will: Absolute vs. Practical](#)
- [A Simplified Argument Against Free Will](#)
- [Happiness: Creation vs. Collection](#)
- [Want: A Disease Based in Low Self-Esteem](#)
- [How to See If Your Morality Comes from God](#)
- [Outrageous Beliefs Are Not Equal to Claims They Are False](#)
- [Was the Last Time Your Last?](#)
- [How I Became an Atheist](#)
- [A Letter to Religious Moderates](#)
- [Philosophy, Science, and Religion: Three Ways of Learning About the World](#)

Technology & Science

- [Building a Lifestream Interface](#)
- [Thoughts on the Future of the IT Field](#)
- [The Steam, Water, and Ice of Modern Communications](#)
- [Social Media as a Personal API](#)
- [Could You Prove Evolution?](#)
- [How to Pronounce “Linux”](#)
- [My Only Shot at Immortality](#)
- [How to Pronounce “Ubuntu”](#)
- [Does Acceleration Depend More on Horsepower or Torque?](#)
- [The Craziest Thing You’ll Ever Learn about Pi](#)
- [How to Pronounce “OS X”](#)
- [How to Pronounce “Rijndael”](#)

Culture & Society

- [Lifecasting and Society](#)
- [Please Submit Your Own Content to Social Media Sites](#)
- [The Bimbo and the Caveman](#)
- [Is it Wrong to Have Children?](#)



Discovered Content

- [My Discovered Content](#)

Top Blog Categories

- [Politics](#)
- [Technology](#)
- [Information Security](#)
- [Philosophy](#)
- [Humor](#)
- [Religion](#)
- [System Administration](#)
- [Education](#)
- [Culture](#)
- [Atheism](#)
- [Music](#)
- [Writing](#)
- [Geek](#)
- [Health](#)
- [Apple](#)

は、 The Quality Of a Society
へ、 Socialism and Anarchy

Miscellaneous

い、 A Coffee Primer

Daniel Miessler | 1999-2010 | [Share Alike](#)
Powered by [linode](#)