

From: [Francisco Villegas](#)
To: [Kaiser, Jessica \(DEN\)](#)
Cc: [Damir Cefo](#); [Mark Halderman](#); [Evan Rosenbaum](#); [Roger Thompson](#); bdematteo@spencerfane.com; [*RazDog Perkins](#); [Kyle Deighan](#)
Subject: Re: Twitch v. Razdog, IPR2025-00307, -308: Discretion Requests
Date: Wednesday, April 30, 2025 2:54:11 PM

Jessica,

Thank you for alerting us to this issue. We did not realize we had filed the wrong version of the brief in IPR2025-00308. You are correct that we intended to file the -308 version of the brief in that proceeding, so we confirm Twitch should respond to that version.

We will reach out to the Board tomorrow seeking authorization to file a corrected version of the -308 brief. We will, of course, not make any substantive changes. We apologize for the confusion.

Do you have any objection to our asking the PTAB to file the corrected brief? We would like to indicate your position in our approach to the Board.

Thanks

Francisco

[Villegas & Cefo LLP](#)

Francisco A. Villegas

1350 Avenue of the Americas, 2nd Floor
New York, NY 10019
646.844.1071
fvillegas@villegascefo.com

From: "Kaiser, Jessica (Perkins Coie)" <JKaiser@perkinscoie.com>
Date: Wednesday, April 30, 2025 at 5:10 PM
To: Francisco Villegas <fvillegas@villegascefo.com>
Cc: Damir Cefo <dcefo@villegascefo.com>, Mark Halderman <mhalderman@villegascefo.com>, Evan Rosenbaum <erosenbaum@villegascefo.com>, Roger Thompson <rthompson@villegascefo.com>, "bdematteo@spencerfane.com" <bdematteo@spencerfane.com>, "RazDog_Perkins@perkinscoie.com" <RazDog_Perkins@perkinscoie.com>, Kyle Deighan <kdeighan@calfee.com>
Subject: RE: Twitch v. Razdog, IPR2025-00307, -308: Discretion Requests

Francisco,

Please note the urgency of this email since our discretion opposition briefs are due today.

It has come to my attention that RazDog appears to have filed the incorrect discretion brief in IPR2025-00308. In particular, although RazDog served us with a -308 specific discretion brief, it appears that RazDog did not file that brief and instead filed the -307 brief a second time in -308.

We are assuming we should be responding the -308 brief served (not the -307 brief filed in -308), but we want to confirm.

How do you propose to proceed?

Thanks,

Jessica Kaiser
PARTNER

Perkins Coie

1900 Sixteenth Street Suite 1400

Denver, CO 80202-5255

[+1.303.454.2907](tel:+13034542907)

jkaiser@perkinscoie.com

perkinscoie.com

From: Francisco Villegas <fvillegas@villegascefo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2025 8:59 AM

To: Kaiser, Jessica (DEN) <JKaiser@perkinscoie.com>

Cc: Damir Cefo <dcefo@villegascefo.com>; Mark Halderman <mhalderman@villegascefo.com>;

Evan Rosenbaum <erosenbaum@villegascefo.com>; Roger Thompson

<rthompson@villegascefo.com>; bdematteo@spencerfane.com; *RazDog_Perkins

<RazDog_Perkins@perkinscoie.com>; Kyle Deighan <kdeighan@calfee.com>

Subject: Re: Twitch v. Razdog, IPR2025-00307, -308: Discretion Requests

Hi Jessica,

I misspoke on the floating issue. That was a different schedule I had in mind. Nonetheless, the recent activity in the RazDog litigation does not indicate a need to withdraw our briefing. However, depending on Twitch's arguments, we may request a reply.

Thanks,

Francisco