

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

PARTEC AG,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00318
Patent No. 11,537,442

PETITIONER'S OBJECTION TO PATENT OWNER'S EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Microsoft Corporation (“Petitioner”) hereby submits the following objections to the evidence Patent Owner filed with its Response dated September 30, 2025 (Paper 16). Petitioner’s objections apply equally to Patent Owner’s reliance on this evidence in any subsequently filed documents in this proceeding.

Petitioner objects as follows to the admissibility of the following evidence.

Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 2017 <i>(Definitions of Research and Development: An Annotated Compilation of Official Sources)</i>	Hearsay under FRE 801-807: The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay.
Exhibit 2018 <i>(ParTec Partners With HDRZ Research Lab to Develop 500 Petaflops AI Supercomputer)</i>	Lack of authentication under FRE 901: The exhibit is not authenticated. Hearsay under FRE 801-807: The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay.
Exhibit 2019 <i>(ParTec AG and HZDR to Build AI Supercomputer Supporting Research in AI, Quantum Computing, and HPC)</i>	Lack of authentication under FRE 901: The exhibit is not authenticated. Hearsay under FRE 801-807: The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay.
Exhibit 2021 <i>(Declaration of Dr. David Kaeli)</i>	Lack of relevance, confusion of the issues, and unfair prejudice under FRE 401-403: Each paragraph in Exhibit 2021 lacks relevance, confuses the issues, and risks unfair prejudice to the extent not cited in support of an

Evidence	Objections
	argument made in the patent owner response in this proceeding. Improper expert testimony under FRE 702: Each paragraph in Exhibit 2021 is improper expert testimony to the extent it does not cite sufficient facts or data or apply reliable principles and methods.
Exhibit 2022 <i>(Exhibits to Deposition of Darrell Long, Ph.D.)</i>	Lack of relevance, confusion of the issues, and needlessly presenting cumulative evidence under FRE 401-403: Pages 1-367 and 407-411 of the 411-page exhibit appear to needlessly reproduce exhibits already in the record, while the remaining pages lack relevance and confuse the issues to the extent they are not cited in support of an argument made in the patent owner response in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 7, 2025

By: /Cameron D. Clawson/

Cameron D. Clawson (Reg. No. 73,509)
cameron.clawson@klarquist.com
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Tel: 503-595-5300
Fax: 503-595-5301

Counsel for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
IN COMPLIANCE WITH 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(E)(4)

The undersigned certifies that on October 7, 2025, a complete copy of the
PETITIONER'S OBJECTION TO PATENT OWNER'S EVIDENCE was
served on counsel for the Patent Owner via electronic mail as follows:

Michael H. Heim
Christopher L. Limbacher
Heim, Payne & Chorush, LLP
1111 Bagby Street, Suite 2100
Houston, TX 77022
Email: mheim@hpcllp.com
climbacher@hpcllp.com

By: /Cameron D. Clawson/
Cameron D. Clawson (Reg. No. 73,509)
cameron.clawson@klarquist.com
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Tel: 503-595-5300
Fax: 503-595-5301

Counsel for Petitioner