UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SHENZHEN TUOZHU TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

STRATASYS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2025-00321
Patent 9,421,713

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner hereby submits the following objections to evidence filed with Patent Owner's Preliminary Response of March 24, 2025.

Evidence	**Objections**
Exhibit 2001 Docket Navigator – Judge Rodney Gilstrap Motion Success for Stay Pending IPR and Time to Milestones	**FRE 901 (Authentication):** This exhibit is inadmissible for lack of proper authentication. Patent Owner has not presented evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what it is purported to be.
Exhibit 2008 Transcript of Hearing on the Nomination of Howard Lutnick, of New York, to be Secretary of Commerce (Jan. 29, 2025)	**FRE 401/402/403 (Relevancy):** This exhibit is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it misleads or distracts from the main issues.
Exhibit 2010 Declaration of Gary Larson	**FRE 901 (Authentication):** This exhibit is inadmissible for lack of proper authentication. Patent Owner has not presented evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what it is purported to be. **FRE 801-802 (Hearsay):** This exhibit contains inadmissible hearsay cited for the truth of the statements contained therein. Patent Owner has identified no applicable hearsay exception for the statements in the exhibit. **FRE 401/402/403 (Relevancy):** This exhibit is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it confuses the issues.

Exhibit 2011 Declaration of Paul Leavitt	**FRE 901 (Authentication):** This exhibit is inadmissible for lack of proper authentication. Patent Owner has not presented evidence sufficient to support a finding that the exhibit is what it is purported to be. **FRE 801-802 (Hearsay):** This exhibit contains inadmissible hearsay cited for the truth of the statements contained therein. Patent Owner has identified no applicable hearsay exception for the statements in the exhibit. **FRE 401/402/403 (Relevancy):** This exhibit is inadmissible as irrelevant because, among other things, it confuses the issues.

For at least these reasons, Petitioner objects to Exhibits 2001, 2008, 2010, and 2011. Petitioner reserves the right to move to exclude Exhibits 2001, 2008, 2010, and 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 2, 2025

/ Cameron A. Ubel /

Patrick J. Bisenius, Reg. No. 63,893
Michael T. Hawkins, Reg. No. 57,867
Joshua A. Griswold, Reg. No. 46,310
Cameron A. Ubel, Reg. No. 77,081
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: 202-783-5070
F: 877-769-7945
IPR56224-0005IP1@fr.com

Counsel for Patent Owner

Proceeding No.: IPR2025-00321
Attorney Docket No.: 56224-0005IP1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(4)(i) *et seq.*, the undersigned certifies that on July 2, 2025, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Objections to Evidence was provided by electronic mail to the Patent Owner by serving the correspondence e-mail address of record as follows:

Brian W. Oaks
Aashish G. Kapadia
Kevin J. Meek
Syed K. Fareed
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
IPR-Stratasys-MWE@mwe.com

Counsel for Patent Owner

/Li Feng/
Li Feng
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
lfeng@fr.com