

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

SINOTECHNIX LLC

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC., ET AL.

§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 2:24-CV-0544-JRG-RSP

**Motions Hearing
MAG. JUDGE ROY PAYNE PRESIDING
March 10, 2025**

OPEN: 9:05 am

ADJOURN: 11:00 am

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF:

Ryan Griffin
Bill Kennedy
Pat Conroy

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS:

Paul Bondor
Lee Matalon
Travis Underwood
Grace McFee

LAW CLERK:

Greg Saltz

COURT REPORTER:

Shelly Holmes

COURTROOM DEPUTY:

Becky Andrews

Court opened. Case called. Pat Conroy introduced co-counsel and announced ready. Travis Underwood introduced co-counsel and announced ready.

Paul Bondor argued Defendants' Motion to Strike Certain Products from Plaintiff's Infringement Contentions for Failure to Comply with P.R. 3-1 (Dkt. No. 65). Bill Kennedy responded. The Court ordered Plaintiff to amend the contentions for the reasons orally assigned. The deadline to amend is March 24th.

After the morning break, the parties informed the Court that they would like to wait to argue Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. to Produce Complete Interrogatory Responses and Produce Documents (Dkt No. 70) and Defendant Samsung Display's Motion for Protective Order Pursuant to FRCP 26(C)(1) Precluding Discovery, including Depositions, Concerning Products Not Properly Accused (Dkt. No. 82).

Mr. Kennedy then argued Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. to Permit Site Inspections (Dkt. No. 91). The Court DENIED the motion for the reasons orally assigned.