UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

POSCO CO. LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

ARCELORMITTAL,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2025-00370
U.S. Patent No. 10,961,602

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION *PRO HAC VICE* OF RAYMOND LAMAGNA

I. Statement of Relief Requested

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) and Notice of Filing Date Accorded (Paper 6; "Notice") authorizing the parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admissions under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Patent Owner requests that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board") admit Raymond LaMagna *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

II. Statement of Facts Showing Good Cause for the Board to Recognize Counsel Pro Hac Vice During the Proceeding

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. Section 42.10(c) indicates that "where lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding." The facts here establish good cause for the Board to recognize Raymond LaMagna *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1. Lead counsel (Brian M. Buroker) and backup counsel (Benjamin Hershkowitz and Mark Reiter) are registered practitioners.

2. Counsel, Raymond LaMagna, is an experienced litigator and has established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

3. Accompanying this motion as Exhibit 2019 is the October 8, 2025 Declaration of Raymond LaMagna in Support of this Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* ("LaMagna Decl.").

4. Mr. LaMagna is an attorney at the law firm Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP. (Ex. 2019 at ¶ 1).

5. In his declaration, Mr. LaMagna asserts:

> I have been an attorney specializing in patent litigation for nearly 19 years. As such, I have been litigating patent-related cases during the majority of that time period, including before the International Trade Commission, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and multiple United States District Courts. I have experience in multiple aspects of patent infringement and invalidity matters, jury and bench trials, Markman hearings, and summary judgment hearings.

(Ex. 2019 at ¶ 2).

6. In his declaration, Mr. LaMagna asserts:

> I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California (2006). I am admitted to practice before the United States Courts of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and Ninth Circuit and

> the United States District Courts for the Eastern District of Texas, Southern, Central, and Northern Districts of California, and the Northern District of Illinois. I have been admitted pro hac vice in other District Courts, including for the District of Delaware, Southern District of New York, Eastern District of Virginia. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. Nor have any sanctions or contempt citations have ever been imposed against me by any court or administrative body.

(Ex. 2019 at ¶ 3).

7. Mr. LaMagna also asserts:

> I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I began representing and advising the Patent Owner in matters relating to U.S. Patent No. 10,961,602 since at least 2024. I have reviewed the papers filed in this proceeding. Since 2024, I have served as counsel for ArcelorMittal in *Certain High-Strength Aluminum or Aluminum Alloy-Coated Steel, and Automotive Products and Automobiles Containing Same,* Inv. No. 337-TA-1402 before the International Trade Commission, as well as counsel for ArcelorMittal in *ArcelorMittal v. VinFast*

> *Auto, LLC et al.,* Case No. 2:24-cv-3128, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, which both involved the ’602 patent under review in this proceeding. During this time, I have become familiar with the ’602 patent, its prosecution file history, and the prior art and other papers cited in the Petition.

(Ex. 2019 at ¶ 7).

8. In his declaration, Mr. LaMagna also attests to each of the listed items required by the “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7. *See* Ex. 2019 at ¶¶ 4-7.

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board admit Raymond LaMagna *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Dated: October 8, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

/Brian M. Buroker/

Brian M. Buroker (Reg. No. 39,125)

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1700 M St. NW
Washington, D.C. 20036
Phone: (202) 955-8500
Fax: (202) 467-0539
Email: bburoker@gibsondunn.com

Counsel for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE OF RAYMOND LAMAGNA and DECLARATION OF RAYMOND LAMAGNA IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF RAYMOND LAMAGNA UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) was served upon Petitioner by email on October 8, 2025, to the following email address listed in Petitioner's mandatory disclosures:

IPR58281-0002IP2@fr.com

Dated: October 8, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

/Brian M. Buroker/
Brian M. Buroker (Reg. No. 39,125)

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1700 M St. NW
Washington, D.C. 20036
Phone: (202) 955-8500
Fax: (202) 467-0539
Email: bburoker@gibsondunn.com

Counsel for Patent Owner