

From: [Director_PTABDecision_Review](#)
To: "Shi, Hong"
Cc: [Hong Shi IPR](#); gcarlin@mcciplaw.com; [Kelly Lyle IPR](#); lhamilton@mcciplaw.com; sfritz@mcciplaw.com; [Greg Michelson IPR](#); wthomas@mcciplaw.com; [David OBrien IPR](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00383 and -384: Request for authorization to file exhibit in support of Petitioner's Request for Director Review
Date: Thursday, August 28, 2025 12:05:00 PM

Counsel,

Petitioner's request for authorization to submit evidence in support of its request for Director Review is denied.

Thanks. IPR2025-00383 and IPR2025-00384
Ex. 3100

From: Shi, Hong <Hong.Shi@haynesboone.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2025 2:29 PM
To: Director_PTABDecision_Review <Director_PTABDecision_Review@uspto.gov>
Cc: Hong Shi IPR <Hong.Shi.ipr@haynesboone.com>; gcarlin@mcciplaw.com; Kelly Lyle IPR <Kelly.Lyle.ipr@haynesboone.com>; lhamilton@mcciplaw.com; sfritz@mcciplaw.com; Greg Michelson IPR <Greg.Michelson.ipr@haynesboone.com>; wthomas@mcciplaw.com; David OBrien IPR <david.obrien.ipr@haynesboone.com>
Subject: IPR2025-00383 and -384: Request for authorization to file exhibit in support of Petitioner's Request for Director Review

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Director,

Petitioner respectfully requests authorization to submit an exhibit in support of its Request for Director Review.

The proposed exhibit (attached as Exhibit 1048) is a Law360 article published on August 6, 2025, reporting on the Director's statements regarding the bifurcated discretionary denial process and the role of "settled expectations" in the Office's exercise of discretion. Specifically, the exhibit demonstrates a disconnect between (i) the Director's statement for evaluating "settled expectations" in the context of discretionary denial and (ii) the standard applied in the present proceedings.

Because the Director's statements reflect intervening guidance on discretionary denial and raise issues of first impression regarding the Office's treatment of "settled expectations," Petitioner respectfully requests authorization to submit this exhibit in support of its Request for Director Review.

Respectfully submitted,
Hong Shi
Counsel for Petitioner

HAYNES BOONE

Hong Shi | Counsel | She/Her/Hers
hong.shi@haynesboone.com | (t) +1 512.867.8440

From: PTACTS_System@uspto.gov <PTACTS_System@uspto.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 3:39 PM

To: wthomas@mcciplaw.com; David OBrien IPR <david.obrien.ipr@haynesboone.com>

Cc: Hong Shi IPR <Hong.Shi.ipr@haynesboone.com>; gcarlin@mcciplaw.com; Kelly Lyle IPR <Kelly.Lyle.ipr@haynesboone.com>; lhamilton@mcciplaw.com; sfritz@mcciplaw.com; Greg Michelson IPR <Greg.Michelson.ipr@haynesboone.com>

Subject: IPR2025-00383: PO Preliminary Response Waived - Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd. v. Georgia Tech Research Corporation

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PO Preliminary Response Waiver Notice

AIA Review No.: IPR2025-00383
Petitioner: Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd. of Nagaokakyo-shi Kyoto, JP
Patent Owner: Georgia Tech Research Corporation of , GA
Patent No.: 7489914
Response Filing Date: 07/15/2025
Submitted By: MARY COGBURN, mcogburn@mcciplaw.com

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response has been waived.

Questions regarding this receipt should be directed to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822 or e-mail to Trials@uspto.gov.

This e-mail is computer-generated. Do not reply to this e-mail because the e-mail box is not monitored.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission is confidential, may be privileged and should be read or retained only by the intended

recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete it from your system.