

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY ENERGY COMPANY,
MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY, and PACIFICORP,
Petitioners,

v.

BIRCHTECH CORP.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00422 (Patent No. 10,668,430)
IPR2025-00423 (Patent No. 10,668,430)¹

Before KRISTINA M. KALAN, ZHENYU YANG, and
AVELYN M. ROSS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

YANG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. §§42.72, 42.74

¹ We use this caption for efficiency. These cases are not joined. The parties may not use such a caption without prior authorization from the Board.

Berkshire Hathaway Energy Company (“Berkshire”), Interstate Power & Light Company (“IPL”), MidAmerican Energy Company (“MidAmerican”), PacifiCorp, WEC Energy Group, Inc. (“WEC”), and Wisconsin Power & Light Company (“WPL”) filed a Petition, seeking *inter partes* review of claims 1–4 and 6–29 of U.S. Patent No. 10,668,430 B2. Paper 1.² IPL and WPL settled their dispute with Patent Owner and were terminated from these proceedings before we instituted trial. Paper 29. We subsequently instituted trial to review the challenged claims. Paper 34. Thereafter, WEC also settled its dispute with Patent Owner and was terminated from these proceedings. Paper 41.

With our authorization, Petitioner MidAmerican and Patent Owner Birchtech Corp. (collectively, “the Settling Parties”) filed a joint Motion to Terminate in each of the referenced proceedings. Paper 42 (“Mot.”). In support of the Joint Motion, the Settling Parties filed a copy of their Settlement Agreement. Ex. 1143. They also filed a Joint Request to treat the Settlement Agreement as business confidential information. Paper 43.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” In these proceedings, the Board has not issued a final written decision determining the patentability of the challenged claims.

² We cite Papers and Exhibits filed in IPR2025-00422. Similar Papers and Exhibits are filed in IPR2025-00423.

The Settling Parties represent that (1) they “have reached a settlement as to all disputes in this proceeding and as to the ’430 patent;”³ (2) a true copy of the Settlement Agreement has been filed; and (3) no other agreements exist between the Settling Parties. Mot. 5–6. The Settling Parties state that no future litigation is contemplated. *Id.* at 4. The Settling Parties further represent that the other remaining named Petitioners (Berkshire and PacifiCorp) do not object to dismissing MidAmerican from this IPR. *Id.* at 2.

Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate each of these proceeding as to Petitioner MidAmerican without rendering a final written decision. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72. This Decision does not terminate the proceedings with respect to Petitioners Berkshire and PacificCorp.

Further, after reviewing the Joint Request and the Settlement Agreement, we find that the Settlement Agreement contains confidential business information regarding the terms of the settlement. As such, good cause exists to treat the Settlement Agreement as business confidential information to be kept separate from the patent file. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(b); 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

This Paper does not constitute a Final Written Decision under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

³ According to the Motion, MidAmerican is a party to the currently pending multi-district litigation *In Re: Midwest Energy Emissions Corp. Patent Litigation*, No. SDIA-4-24-md-03132. Mot. 3. It appears, however, that as of October 23, 2025, MidAmerican has been dismissed from that proceeding.

IPR2025-00422 (Patent No. 10,668,430)

IPR2025-00423 (Patent No. 10,668,430)

Order

In view of the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that, the Joint Motion to Terminate as to Petitioner MidAmerican Energy Company is *granted* in each of the above-identified proceedings, and IPR2025-00422 and IPR2025-00423 are hereby terminated as to Petitioner MidAmerican Energy Company;

FURTHER ORDERED that, the Joint Request to Treat Final Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information is *granted* in each of the above-identified proceedings, and the Settlement Agreement shall be kept separate from the files of Patent 10,926,218 B2 and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c);

FURTHER ORDERED that IPR2025-00422 and IPR2025-00423 shall not be terminated as to the remaining Petitioners Berkshire Hathaway Energy Company and PacificCorp; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the case caption in IPR2025-00422 and IPR2025-00423 for all further submissions shall be changed to remove Petitioner MidAmerican Energy Company as indicated in the attached form of caption.

IPR2025-00422 (Patent No. 10,668,430)

IPR2025-00423 (Patent No. 10,668,430)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY ENERGY COMPANY
and PACIFICORP,
Petitioners,

v.

BIRCHTECH CORP.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00422 (Patent No. 10,668,430)

IPR2025-00423 (Patent No. 10,668,430)

FOR PETITIONERS:

David J. Tobin

Brian W. Oaks

Syed K. Fareed

Christian T. Tatum

McDERMOTT WILL & SCHULTE LLP

dtobin@mwe.com

boaks@mwe.com

sfareed@mwe.com

ctatum@mwe.com

R. Scott Johnson

Thomas M. Patton

FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.

rsjohnson@fredlaw.com

tpatton@fredlaw.com

Michelle M. Kemp

PERKINS COIE LLP

kemp-ptab@perkinscoie.com

Michael T. Piery

Lauren C. Bolcar

QUARLES & BRADY LLP

michael.piery@quarles.com

lauren.bolcar@quarles.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Hamad Hamad

CALDWELL CASSADY & CURRY P.C.

hhamad@caldwellcc.com