

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

VASU HOLDINGS, LLC, a Texas Corporation,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 2:24-cv-00034-JRG-RSP
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean Corporation, and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York Corporation,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review. **Dkt. No. 59.**


After consideration, the motion is **DENIED** as premature.

The "universal practice" in this District, as well as the practice of most district courts, is to deny a motion for stay when the Patent Trial and Appeal Board has not yet acted on a petition for *inter partes* review. *Trover*, 2015 WL 1069179, at *6 (collecting cases); *see also Peloton Interactive*, 2019 WL 3826051, at *2 (citation omitted). The reasoning behind this is that unless the Board indicates there is a serious chance it will invalidate all the asserted claims, the Court will not needlessly wait to rule on the remaining asserted claims.

Here, the Board has not instituted *inter partes* review on any of the asserted patents in this case. *See* Dkt. No. 66 at 4.

Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Defendants' Motion as premature.

SIGNED this 8th day of May, 2025.


ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**Samsung Ex. 1028
Samsung v. Vasu
IPR2025-00449
Page 00001**