

From: [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
To: [Michael Milea](#); [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
Cc: [Arjomand, Mehran](#); [Kreeger, Matthew I.](#); [Yap, Alex S.](#); [Fountain, Aaron G.](#); [Roberts Kingman, Kelsey J.](#); [NeoGenomics-Natera-IPR](#); [J. Steven Baughman](#); [Megan Raymond](#); [Eliza Strong](#); [Bradford Schmidt](#); [NateraNeogenomicsIPR](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00455 - Request for Reply
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 2:16:21 PM

Patent Owner is authorized to file a 3-page Reply in IPR2025-00455 due no later than Monday, June 16, 2025, limited to addressing Petitioner's argument relating to claim interpretation first raised by Petitioner in parallel litigation.

Petitioner is authorized to file a 3-page sur-reply in IPR2025-00455, due no later than Thursday, June 19, 2025, limited to addressing arguments made in Patent Owner's reply briefs.

From: Michael Milea <mike.milea@groombridgewu.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 9:05 AM
To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>
Cc: Arjomand, Mehran <marjomand@mofo.com>; Kreeger, Matthew I. <mkreeger@mofo.com>; Yap, Alex S. <ayap@mofo.com>; Fountain, Aaron G. <afountain@mofo.com>; Roberts Kingman, Kelsey J <krobertskingman@mofo.com>; NeoGenomics-Natera-IPR <neogenomics-natera-ipr@mofo.com>; J. Steven Baughman <steve.baughman@groombridgewu.com>; Megan Raymond <megan.raymond@groombridgewu.com>; Eliza Strong <eliza.strong@groombridgewu.com>; Bradford Schmidt <bradford@archetype-ip.com>; NateraNeogenomicsIPR <NateraNeogenomicsIPR@groombridgewu.com>
Subject: IPR2025-00455 - Request for Reply

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Patent Owner respectfully writes to request a conference call to seek permission to file a 3-page brief to respond to section II.A.3 (Pap. 12, 11-13) of Petitioner's Opposition to Patent Owner's Discretionary Denial brief and its argument relating to claim interpretation first raised by Petitioner in parallel litigation and this IPR only after Patent Owner filed its Discretionary Denial Brief (Pap.10). Petitioner believes that the claim interpretation issues were first raised before Patent Owner's Discretionary Denial Brief and has indicated that it opposes Patent Owner's request and, in the alternative, if Patent Owner's request is granted, that Petitioner will request a 3-page sur-reply (which Patent Owner would not oppose, so long as it responds solely to arguments made in the requested brief).

Patent Owner proposes to file its 3-page brief 3 business days after receiving authorization to do so, and that any brief by Petitioner would be due 3 business days after Patent Owner files its brief.

Counsel for both parties would be available for a call on Thursday, June 12 from 10:30am-1pm and 2pm-3pm and on Friday, June 13 from 10:30am-3pm, or otherwise at the panel's convenience.

Respectfully,

Michael Milea
Counsel for Patent Owner

Michael F. Milea
Groombridge, Wu, Baughman & Stone LLP
565 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
New York, NY 10017
332-269-0029 (office) | 908-868-5633 (mobile)
mike.milea@groombridgewu.com

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any review, use, or dissemination by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us and delete all copies of this message.