

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

CERENCE OPERATING COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§


CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-cv-00181-JRG-RSP

ORDER

Before the Court is the Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review, filed by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. **Dkt. No. 59**. Plaintiff opposes. Dkt. No. 63.

The “universal practice” in this District, as well as the practice of most district courts, is to deny a motion for stay when the Patent Trial and Appeal Board has not yet acted on a petition for inter partes review. *Trover Grp., Inc. v. Dedicated Micros USA*, No. 2:13-cv-1047-WCB, 2015 WL 1069179, at *6 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2015) (collecting cases). Accordingly, the motion is **DENIED**.

SIGNED this 3rd day of August, 2025.


ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Samsung v. Cerence
IPR2025-00457
Exhibit 1034