

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PADAGIS US LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

NEURELIS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00464 (Patent 8,895,546 B2)
IPR2025-00465 (Patent 11,241,414 B2)
IPR2025-00466 (Patent 11,793,786 B2)¹

Before GRACE KARAFFA OBERMANN, ANNETTE R. REIMERS, and
TIMOTHY G. MAJORS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MAJORS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Termination of the Proceeding
35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.72

¹ This decision addresses issues common to the listed cases. Therefore, we exercise our discretion to issue one decision to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading.

IPR2025-00464 (Patent 8,895,546 B2)
IPR2025-00465 (Patent 11,241,414 B2)
IPR2025-00466 (Patent 11,793,786 B2)

On August 28, 2026, Petitioner wrote to the Board indicating that the parties had settled their dispute and requested authorization to move to terminate the proceeding. Ex. 3007.² On September 4, 2026, with Board authorization, Petitioner filed an unopposed motion to terminate this proceeding. Paper 72. Petitioner also filed what it represents is a true and correct copy of the written settlement agreement between it and Patent Owner (Ex. 1108). In the motion, Petitioner indicates that “Petitioner and Patent Owner have settled their disputes related to this proceeding and have entered into certain agreements related to that resolution, which are being submitted under seal as Exhibit 1108.” Paper 72, 1. Petitioner states that, given the resolution of all disputes between the parties related to the challenged patent and the opportunity to preserve Board and party resources before a final decision has been made by the Board, termination of this proceeding is justified. *Id.* at 2.

On September 4, 2025, the parties also filed a joint request that their settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information and kept separate from the file of the patents challenged in the above-captioned proceedings. Paper 71.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n inter partes review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.”

Although this proceeding is far advanced, with trial being instituted on

² We refer in this Decision to papers and exhibits filed in IPR2025-00464. Similar materials were filed in related IPR2025-00465 and IPR2025-00466.

IPR2025-00464 (Patent 8,895,546 B2)
IPR2025-00465 (Patent 11,241,414 B2)
IPR2025-00466 (Patent 11,793,786 B2)

September 16, 2025, and oral hearing held on June 16, 2026, the merits remain under consideration. Under the circumstances, the Board finds that termination of the proceeding is appropriate.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), “[a]ny agreement or understanding between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of a proceeding shall be in writing and a true copy shall be filed with the Board before the termination of the trial.” Petitioner has filed what it indicates is a true copy of the parties’ written settlement agreement, and states that there are no other agreements, oral or written, between the parties related to termination of this proceeding. Paper 72, 1. In view of the foregoing, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate this proceeding without reaching a final written decision. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.74.

As requested by the parties, the settlement agreement (Ex. 1108) will be treated as business confidential information and kept separate from the relevant patent files. 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Petitioner’s motion to terminate the proceeding is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties’ joint request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that this proceeding is hereby *terminated*.

IPR2025-00464 (Patent 8,895,546 B2)
IPR2025-00465 (Patent 11,241,414 B2)
IPR2025-00466 (Patent 11,793,786 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

James Murphy
Corey Casey
Mark Deming
Chad A. Landon
POLSINELLI PC
jpmurphy@polsinelli.com
ccasey@polsinelli.com
mdeming@polsinelli.com
clandmon@polsinelli.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Michael A Sitzman
Timothy Best
David J. Tobin
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
msitzman@mwe.com
tbest@mwe.com
dtobin@mwe.com

Raymond Miller
N. Nicole Endejann
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
raymond.miller@us.dlapiper.com
nicole.endejann@us.dlapiper.com