

From: [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
To: [Michael Matulewicz-Crowley](#); [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
Cc: [LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent](#); [RJ XtreamEdge-AMD](#); [Chris Ponder](#); [Jeffrey Liang](#); [Harper Batts](#); [Scott Cole](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00486 - Request for Authorization to file Reply regarding Discretionary Denial
Date: Monday, July 14, 2025 1:41:58 PM

Patent Owner is authorized to file a 5-page reply in IPR2025-00486, due no later than Thursday, July 17, 2025, limited to addressing the arguments raised in Petitioner's opposition to Patent Owners request for discretionary denial regarding developments that occurred after Patent Owner filed its request.

From: Michael Matulewicz-Crowley <mmatulewicz-crowley@reichmanjorgensen.com>
Sent: Monday, July 14, 2025 8:37 AM
To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>
Cc: LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent <LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent@sheppardmullin.com>; RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD <RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Chris Ponder <CPonder@sheppardmullin.com>; Jeffrey Liang <JLiang@sheppardmullin.com>; Harper Batts <HBatts@sheppardmullin.com>; Scott Cole <scole@reichmanjorgensen.com>
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00486 - Request for Authorization to file Reply regarding Discretionary Denial

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Director,

Patent Owners respectfully request authorization to file a reply in support of their June 4 request for discretionary denial (Paper 6) in the above-captioned proceeding. Good cause exists for this request. Petitioners' July 7 opposition to Patent Owners' request for discretionary denial (Paper 8) focuses almost entirely on district court developments that occurred *after* the filing of Patent Owners' request. Patent Owners thus have not had an opportunity to address those developments or provide their position on how they impact the discretionary denial analysis. Patent Owners should be provided an opportunity to address Petitioners' arguments raised in their opposition. Accordingly, Patent Owners request authorization to file a 3,500 word reply brief. Patent Owners propose July 21 (2 weeks after Petitioners filed their opposition) as the filing deadline. Petitioners do not oppose Patent Owner's request.

Best,
Michael Matulewicz-Crowley
REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN & FELDBERG LLP
400 Madison Avenue, Suite 14D
New York, NY 10017
(332) 208-7170

NOTICE: This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is

privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately via reply e-mail, and then destroy all instances of this communication. Thank you.