

From: [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
To: [Michael Matulewicz-Crowley](#); [Director Discretionary Decision](#); [Harper Batts](#)
Cc: [RJ XtreamEdge-AMD](#); [Chris Ponder](#); [Jeffrey Liang](#); [LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent](#); [Christine E. Lehman](#); [Peter Park](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00486: Request for authorization: Response to PO's rehearing request on DDD
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 9:51:32 AM

Patent Owner is authorized to file a motion to withdraw its rehearing request in IPR2025-00486.

From: Michael Matulewicz-Crowley <mmatulewicz-crowley@reichmanjorgensen.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2025 3:16 PM
To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>; Harper Batts <HBatts@sheppardmullin.com>
Cc: RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD <RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Chris Ponder <CPonder@sheppardmullin.com>; Jeffrey Liang <JLiang@sheppardmullin.com>; LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent <LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent@sheppardmullin.com>; Christine E. Lehman <clehman@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Peter Park <ppark@reichmanjorgensen.com>
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00486: Request for authorization: Response to PO's rehearing request on DDD

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Director,

Patent Owner writes to withdraw its Request for Rehearing in IPR2025-00486. On October 27, 2025, Judge Albright issued an oral order in the parallel district court litigation transferring the case from the Western District of Texas to the Northern District of California. As the transfer is likely to reset the trial date, Patent Owner no longer seeks discretionary denial as to this proceeding.

Patent Owner does not withdraw its Request for Rehearing in IPR2025-00478. Patent Owner has filed its reply brief as to that proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,
Michael
Michael Matulewicz-Crowley
REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN & FELDBERG LLP
400 Madison Avenue, Suite 14D
New York, NY 10017
(332) 208-7170

From: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2025 10:44 PM
To: Michael Matulewicz-Crowley <mmatulewicz-crowley@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Harper Batts <HBatts@sheppardmullin.com>; Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>
Cc: RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD <RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Chris Ponder

<CPonder@sheppardmullin.com>; Jeffrey Liang <JLiang@sheppardmullin.com>; LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent <LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent@sheppardmullin.com>; Christine E. Lehman <clehman@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Peter Park <ppark@reichmanjorgensen.com>

Subject: RE: IPR2025-00486: Request for authorization: Response to PO's rehearing request on DDD

CAUTION: EXTERNAL SENDER

Petitioner is authorized to file a 5-page opposition in IPR2025-00486 and IPR2025-00478 due no later than Wednesday, October 22, 2025, limited to addressing the arguments raised in Patent Owner's request for rehearing. Patent Owner may file a 3-page reply due no later than Tuesday, October 28, 2025.

From: Michael Matulewicz-Crowley <mmatulewicz-crowley@reichmanjorgensen.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2025 2:49 PM

To: Harper Batts <HBatts@sheppardmullin.com>; Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>

Cc: RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD <RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Chris Ponder <CPonder@sheppardmullin.com>; Jeffrey Liang <JLiang@sheppardmullin.com>; LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent <LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent@sheppardmullin.com>; Christine E. Lehman <clehman@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Peter Park <ppark@reichmanjorgensen.com>

Subject: RE: IPR2025-00486: Request for authorization: Response to PO's rehearing request on DDD

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Director,

Petitioner has requested authorization to file a 5-page opposition to address arguments raised in the request for rehearing. Patent Owner does not oppose this request on the condition that Patent Owner receives authorization to file a 3-page reply brief responding to the opposition.

Good cause exists because Petitioner is incorrect that the request for rehearing is untimely. Patent Owner requested rehearing less than two weeks after the Board instituted *Inter Partes* Review. That was timely under 37 CFR § 42.71(d)(1). Further, Patent Owner could not have sought rehearing earlier because the Acting Director expressly ordered that “neither party shall file a request for rehearing or Director Review of this decision until the Board issues a decision on institution.” Paper 10 at 3. Patent Owner provided an accurate, factual representation of the status of the district court proceedings, and Patent Owner's reply brief would aid the Director by rebutting any inaccuracies raised by Petitioner.

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael

Michael Matulewicz-Crowley

REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN & FELDBERG LLP

400 Madison Avenue, Suite 14D
New York, NY 10017
(332) 208-7170

From: Harper Batts <HBatts@sheppardmullin.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2025 5:51 PM

To: Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov

Cc: RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD <RJ_XtreamEdge-AMD@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Chris Ponder <CPonder@sheppardmullin.com>; Jeffrey Liang <JLiang@sheppardmullin.com>; LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent <LegalTM-AMD-Concurrent@sheppardmullin.com>; Michael Matulewicz-Crowley <mmatulewicz-crowley@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Christine E. Lehman <clehman@reichmanjorgensen.com>; Peter Park <ppark@reichmanjorgensen.com>

Subject: IPR2025-00486: Request for authorization: Response to PO's rehearing request on DDD

CAUTION: EXTERNAL SENDER

Dear Honorable Director,

Patent Owner (CV) has filed a request for rehearing on the Director's decision denying discretionary denial in IPR2025-00486. Petitioners respectfully request authorization to file a 5-page opposition to address arguments raised in the request for rehearing.

Good cause exists because CV's request is untimely—it was filed three months after the Director's decision and more than two months after the new evidence it relies upon (the district court scheduling order). It is untimely under 37 CFR § 42.75(c) and § 42.71(d). Additionally, good cause exists to address inaccuracies in CV's rehearing request, including with respect to the status of the district court litigation. Briefing would aid the Director by explaining the timing of CV's request and providing the full context of the underlying district court litigation.

Respectfully Submitted,

Harper Batts

Lead Counsel for Petitioner

Harper Batts

+1 650-815-2673 | direct

HBatts@sheppardmullin.com | [Bio](#)

Attention: This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

NOTICE: This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is

privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately via reply e-mail, and then destroy all instances of this communication. Thank you.

NOTICE: This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately via reply e-mail, and then destroy all instances of this communication. Thank you.