

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EP FAMILY CORP.,

Petitioner

v.

OFFICE KICK, INC.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2025-00497
Patent No. 11,910,926

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE*
ADMISSION OF ISAKU M. BEGERT**

I. REQUESTED RELIEF

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 and the Board's "Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response," (Paper No. 3), dated January 28, 2025, the Patent Owner requests the Board to admit Isaku M. Begert *pro hac vice* in this proceeding to serve as backup counsel for Office Kick, Inc. in this proceeding.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS SHOWING GOOD CAUSE FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during an inter partes review proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions the Board may impose. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Where "lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding." *Id.* The Patent Owner respectfully submits that the following facts establish good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Begert *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

Further, the Board requires that a motion for *pro hac vice* admission be filed in accordance with the "Order – Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission" in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 ("Representative Order"). The Representative Order states that the motion must "[c]ontain a statement of facts showing there is

good cause for the Board to recognize counsel pro hac vice during the proceedings,” and “[b]e accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following:”

1. Lead counsel, Ryan N. Phelan, is a registered practitioner (Registration No. 60,674).
2. Mr. Begert is an associate at the law firm of Marshall, Gerstein & Borun LLP. (See Exh. 2002 (Begert Declaration) at ¶ 1.)
3. Mr. Begert has been practicing in the field intellectual property, and particularly patent litigation, for approximately five years. (*Id.* at ¶ 2.)
4. Mr. Begert is a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Illinois, as well as the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, and *pro hac vice* in a number of other Federal District courts. (*Id.* at ¶ 3.)
5. Mr. Begert has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. (*Id.* at ¶ 4.)
6. Mr. Begert has never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied. (*Id.* at ¶ 5.)
7. Mr. Begert has never had sanctions or contempt citations imposed upon him by any court or administrative body. (*Id.* at ¶ 6.)

8. Mr. Begert has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations. (*Id.* at ¶ 7.)

9. Mr. Begert has read and will abide by and be subject to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 11.101 et. seq. and the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). (*Id.* at ¶ 8.)

10. Mr. Begert has not been admitted in proceedings before the Office in the past three years. (*Id.* at ¶ 9.)

11. Mr. Begert is an experienced litigation attorney and he is familiar with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. He has spent substantial time reviewing and analyzing U.S. Patent No. 11,910,926 in this proceeding, its file history, the Petition and the references cited therein. (*Id.* at ¶ 11.) As a result, Mr. Begert has acquired substantial understanding of the underlying legal and technological issues at stake in this proceeding. Patent Owner has spent time and resources consulting with Mr. Begert as counsel in this and other matters involving the subject matter at issue in this proceeding and wishes to continue using Mr. Begert as counsel in this proceeding. (*Id.*)

12. Further, in his declaration, Mr. Begert attests to each of the listed items required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). (See *Id.* at ¶¶ 1-12.)

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owners respectfully requests the Board to admit Isaku M. Begert *pro hac vice* in this proceeding to serve as backup counsel for Office Kick, Inc. in this inter partes review.

Respectfully submitted,

February 27, 2025

/ Ryan N. Phelan (Reg. No. 60,674)/

Ryan N. Phelan (Reg. No. 60,674)
rphelan@marshllip.com
Lead Counsel for Patent Owner

Raymond R. Ricordati, III (Reg. No. 62,856)
rricordati@marshallip.com
Benjamin T. Horton (*pro hac vice*)
bhorton@marshallip.com
Isaku M. Begert (*pro hac vice*)
ibegert@marshallip.com
Back-Up Counsel for Patent Owner

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
6300 WILLIS TOWER
233 S. WACKER DRIVE
CHICAGO, IL 60606
T: 312.474.6300
F: 312.474.0448
E: MGBLITDOCKET@MARSHALLIP.COM

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that, pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.6(e), a copy of the foregoing “PATENT OWNER’S MOTION FOR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF ISAKU M. BEGERT” is being electronically filed via the Patent Trial and Appeal Case Tracking System (P-TACTS) and served today, February 27, 2025, on Petitioner’s counsel:

Kevin Terraza (kterrazas@terrazaspllc.com) and
Joshua G. Simon (jsimon@calljensen.com).

/ Ryan N. Phelan (Reg. No. 60,674)/

Ryan N. Phelan (Reg. No. 60,674)
Lead Counsel for Patent Owner