

From: [Trials](#)
To: [Suhrid Wadekar](#); [Interference Trial Section](#); chad.walters@bakerbotts.com; harrison.rich@bakerbotts.com; ellyar.barazesh@bakerbotts.com; andrew.grado@bakerbotts.com; nmatch@mckoolsmith.com; cleone@mckoolsmith.com; DLAxDedroneIPRs@BakerBotts.com; mvella@vellapatentservices.com; [James Hall](#); chad.walters@bakerbotts.com; harrison.rich@bakerbotts.com; andrew.grado@bakerbotts.com; ellyar.barazesh@bakerbotts.com; DLAxDedroneIPRs@BakerBotts.com; mvella@vellapatentservices.com; [James Hall](#); mvella@vellapatentservices.com; [James Hall](#)
Cc: [Trials](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00525 and IPR2025-00629
Date: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 1:13:56 PM

Counsel,

In IPR2025-00525, counsel for Patent Owner appears to have filed a second, duplicative copy of its Patent Owner Preliminary Response. See Paper 11. Absent any objection from the parties, in order to avoid potential confusion resulting from duplicative filings, the Board intends to expunge Paper 11 and unseal Paper 10. If either party objects to this approach, please notify the Board not later than the close of business on July 31, 2025.

In IPR2025-00629, Patent Owner filed a motion for entry of a protective order and motion to seal Exhibit 2001. Patent Owner's motion indicates that the parties agree to entry of the Board's default protective order, but does not indicate whether Petitioner opposes the request to seal Exhibit 2001. If Petitioner intends to oppose Patent Owner's request to seal Exhibit 2001, Petitioner shall notify the Board of its intent to oppose the motion not later than the close of business on July 31, 2025. The Board will then treat the motion to seal as an opposed motion, and will consider any timely response filed by Petitioner. If Petitioner does not notify the Board of its intent to oppose by this deadline, the Board will treat Patent Owner's motion as an unopposed motion and may grant the relief sought by Patent Owner without awaiting any further filing by Petitioner.

Regards,

Andrew Kellogg,
Deputy Chief Clerk, Trials
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
USPTO
andrew.kellogg@uspto.gov
(571) 272-5366

From: Suhrid Wadekar <swadekar@princetonobel.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 6:10 PM
To: Interference Trial Section <InterferenceTrialSection@USPTO.GOV>; chad.walters@bakerbotts.com; harrison.rich@bakerbotts.com; ellyar.barazesh@bakerbotts.com; andrew.grado@bakerbotts.com; nmatch@mckoolsmith.com; cleone@mckoolsmith.com; DLAxDedroneIPRs@BakerBotts.com; mvella@vellapatentservices.com; James Hall

<jhall@prinelobel.com>; chad.walters@bakerbotts.com; harrison.rich@bakerbotts.com;
andrew.grado@bakerbotts.com; ellyar.barazesh@bakerbotts.com;
DLAxDedroneIPRs@BakerBotts.com; mvella@vellapatentservices.com; James Hall
<jhall@prinelobel.com>; mvella@vellapatentservices.com; James Hall <jhall@prinelobel.com>

Cc: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: RE: IPR2025-00525 and IPR2025-00629

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Ms. Franchesca I. Alicea Villanueva and the Board:

Thank you very much for your email below. Per your instructions, I have re-filed correctly labeled Exhibits in both IPR2025-00525 and IPR2025-00629. There, however, appear to be additional errors as noted below:

In IPR2025-00525:

- I understood the electronic filing system as asking me to file again Paper 10, i.e., Patent Owner Preliminary Response (POPR), as Paper 11. Without filing the POPR again, I was not able to upload and re-file any Exhibits.
- The POPR document filed today, i.e., Paper 11, is exactly the same as that originally filed on July 11, 2025, i.e., Paper 10.

In IPR2025-00629:

- Exhibit 2001 is erroneously designed “Filing Part and Board.” It should be designated “Parties and Board”
- I am not able to change the designation.

I apologize for these errors and the inconvenience this may cause. Could you please let me know how I may correct these errors?

Thank you and best regards,
Suhrid

Suhrid Wadekar, Ph.D., Esq.
Partner

Prince Lobel Tye LLP
One International Place, Suite 3700
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

swadekar@prinelobel.com

From: Interference Trial Section <InterferenceTrialSection@USPTO.GOV>

Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:08 PM

To: chad.walters@bakerbotts.com; harrison.rich@bakerbotts.com;
ellyar.barazesh@bakerbotts.com; andrew.grado@bakerbotts.com; nmatich@mckoolsmith.com;
cleone@mckoolsmith.com; DLAXonDedroneIPRs@BakerBotts.com; Suhrid Wadekar
<swadekar@prinelobel.com>; mvella@vellapatentservices.com; James Hall
<jhall@prinelobel.com>; chad.walters@bakerbotts.com; harrison.rich@bakerbotts.com;
andrew.grado@bakerbotts.com; ellyar.barazesh@bakerbotts.com;
DLAXonDedroneIPRs@BakerBotts.com; Suhrid Wadekar <swadekar@prinelobel.com>;
mvella@vellapatentservices.com; James Hall <jhall@prinelobel.com>; Suhrid Wadekar
<swadekar@prinelobel.com>; mvella@vellapatentservices.com; James Hall
<jhall@prinelobel.com>

Cc: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: IPR2025-00525 and IPR2025-00629

Counsel,

The Board has identified two deficiencies in the exhibits that Patent Owner filed with its preliminary responses in IPR2025-00525 and IPR2025-00629. First, Patent Owner filed its exhibits under seal as “Parties and Board Only” without filing a motion to seal or a motion for a protective order as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.54. Second, Patent Owner’s exhibits do not include the exhibit labels required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(d). Accordingly:

1. Exhibits 2001-2013 in IPR2025-00525 and 2001-2017 in IPR2025-00629 will be expunged.
2. Patent Owner is ordered to re-file Exhibits 2021-2013 in IPR2025-00525, and Exhibits 2001-2017 in IPR2025-00629, with the labels required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(d), on or before July 23, 2025. Patent

- Owner shall not make any modifications to the re-filed exhibits other than adding the required labels.
3. The re-filed exhibits shall be filed with availability set to “Public” unless Patent Owner files a motion to seal and a motion for entry of a protective order at the time it re-files the exhibits.
 4. If Patent Owner does not file a motion to seal and a motion for entry of a protective order in each of IPR2025-00525 and IPR2025-00629 asking to seal the Preliminary Responses, the availability of the Preliminary Responses filed in these two proceedings will be changed from “Parties and Board” only to “Public.”

The parties are advised of the following requirements and expectations concerning sealed documents and protective orders:

1. If either party files a motion to seal before entry of a protective order, a jointly proposed protective order shall be filed as an exhibit with the motion. It is the responsibility of the party whose confidential information is at issue, not necessarily the proffering party, to file the motion to seal. If the entity whose confidential information is at issue is not a party to the proceeding, please contact the Board.
2. The Board encourages the parties to adopt the Board’s default protective order if they conclude that a protective order is necessary. *See Consolidated Practice Guide* (Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>), App’x B (Protective Order Guidelines and Default Protective Order). If the parties choose to propose a protective order deviating from the default protective order, they must submit the proposed protective order jointly along with a marked-up comparison of the proposed and default protective orders showing the differences between the two and explain why good cause exists to deviate from the default protective order.
3. The Board has a strong interest in the public availability of trial proceedings. Redactions to documents filed in these proceedings should be limited to the minimum amount necessary to protect confidential information, and the thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted versions.
4. We also advise the parties that information subject to a protective order may become public if identified in a final written decision, and that a motion to expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history. *See Consolidated Practice Guide*, 19–22.

Regards,

Franchesca I. Alicea Villanueva
Supervisory Paralegal
Patent Trial & Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

This email is intended for the confidential use of the addressees only. Because the information is subject to the attorney-client privilege and may be attorney work product, you should not file copies of this email with publicly accessible records. If you are not an addressee on this email or an addressee's authorized agent, you have received this email in error; please notify us immediately at 617 456 8000 and do not further review, disseminate or copy this email. Thank you.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: Any federal tax advice or information included in this message or any attachment is not intended to be, and may not be, used to avoid tax penalties or to promote, market, or recommend any transaction, matter, entity, or investment plan discussed herein. Prince Lobel Tye LLP does not otherwise by this disclaimer limit you from disclosing the tax structure of any transaction addressed herein.