

From: [Trials](#)
To: chad.walters@bakerbotts.com; harrison.rich@bakerbotts.com; andrew.grado@bakerbotts.com; ellyar.barazesh@bakerbotts.com; DLAxonDedroneIPRs@BakerBotts.com; swadekar@princetonobel.com; mvella@vellapatentservices.com; jhall@princetonobel.com
Cc: [Trials](#)
Subject: IPR2025-00530 exhibit 1011
Date: Thursday, October 23, 2025 1:31:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Counsel,

When reviewing the petition in IPR2025-00530, the Panel noted that the document that Petitioner filed as Exhibit 1011 (a published patent application) does not appear to be the same document that Petitioner describes in the Petition as being the Falk reference (an issued patent). It also appears that the Petition may contain a few citations to the potentially incorrect exhibit. For example, the citations to Exhibit 1011 on pages 35 and 36 of the Petition, and the first citation to Exhibit 1011 on page 37 of the Petition, appear to refer to paragraph numbers of the Falk patent application, rather than the issued Falk patent that the Petition identifies as Exhibit 1011.

The parties are directed to meet and confer regarding this issue and to send a joint email to the Board (Trials@uspto.gov) with a proposal to resolve this issue. The meet and confer shall occur on or before November 4, 2025, and the joint email shall be sent to the Board on or before November 5, 2025.

To the extent the parties are unable to reach an agreement on resolving this issue, each party should set forth its position in a single paragraph of 200 words or less in a joint email that shall be sent to the Board on or before November 5, 2025. The Board will then consider the parties' positions and determine whether additional briefing would be helpful.

Regards,

Andrew Kellogg,
Deputy Chief Clerk, Trials
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
USPTO
andrew.kellogg@uspto.gov
(571) 272-5366

