

Doc Code: PET.OP

Document Description: Petition for Review by the Office of Petitions

PTO/SB/64 (11-23)

Approved for use through 05/31/2024. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)		Docket Number (Optional) 10004-2000103
Page 1 of 3		
First named inventor: Jonathan S. Steuer		
Application No.: 13/914,870		Art Unit: _____
Filed: June 11, 2013		Examiner: _____
Title: MEDIA USAGE MONITORING AND MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD		
Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX (571) 273-8300		
NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact the Office of Petitions at (571) 272-3282.		
The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained.		
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION.		
NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:		
(1) Petition fee;		
(2) Reply and/or issue fee;		
(3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee – required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995, and for all design applications; and		
(4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.		
1. Petition fee (37 CFR 1.17(m))		
☒ Small entity fee \$ 840 (Fee Code 2453). Applicant asserts small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.		
☐ Micro entity fee \$ _____ (Fee Code 3453). Applicant has established or is establishing micro entity status. See 37 CFR 1.29. Form PTO/SB/15A or B or equivalent must either be enclosed or have been submitted previously.		
☐ Other than a small or micro entity (undiscounted) fee \$ _____ (Fee Code 1453).		
2. Reply and/or fee		
A The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office notice or action in the form of		
Response to Missing Parts with fee payments (identify the type of reply):		
☐ has been filed previously on _____.		
☒ is enclosed herewith.		
B The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ _____		
☐ has been paid previously on _____.		
☐ is enclosed herewith.		

A Federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with an information collection subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless the information collection has a currently valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 0651-0031. Public burden for this form is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the information collection. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or email InformationCollection@uspto.gov. **DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS.** If filing this completed form by mail, send to: **Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)**

Page 2 of 3

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee

- ☒ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
- ☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ _____) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).

4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unintentional.

Petitioner is reminded that a delay resulting from a deliberately chosen course of action on the part of the applicant does not become an "unintentional" delay within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.137 because:

- the applicant does not consider the claims to be patentable over the references relied upon in an outstanding Office action;
- the applicant does not consider the allowed or patentable claims to be of sufficient breadth or scope to justify the financial expense of obtaining a patent;
- the applicant does not consider any patent to be of sufficient value to justify the financial expense of obtaining the patent; or
- the applicant remains interested in eventually obtaining a patent, but simply seeks to defer patent fees and patent prosecution expenses.

Petitioner is further reminded that an intentional delay resulting from a deliberate course of action chosen by the applicant is not affected by:

- the correctness of the applicant's (or applicant's representative's) decision to abandon the application or not to seek or persist in seeking revival of the application;
- the correctness or propriety of a rejection, or other objection, requirement, or decision by the Office; or
- the discovery of new information or evidence, or other change in circumstances subsequent to the abandonment or decision not to seek or persist in seeking revival.

NOTE: Where the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is filed more than two years after the date the application became abandoned, the United States Patent and Trademark Office requires an additional explanation of the circumstances surrounding the delay that establishes the entire delay was unintentional. This requirement is in addition to the requirement to provide a statement that the entire delay was unintentional. See *Clarification of the Practice for Requiring Additional Information in Petitions Filed in Patent Applications and Patents Based on Unintentional Delay*, 85 FR 12222 (March 2, 2020). See MPEP 711.03(c)(II)(C)-(F) for additional guidance on the information required to establish that the entire delay was unintentional.

- ☒ Because this petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is being filed more than two years after the date the application became abandoned, additional explanation of the circumstances surrounding the delay that establishes the entire delay was unintentional is enclosed herewith.

Doc Code: PET.OP

Document Description: Petition for Review by the Office of Petitions

PTO/SB/64 (11-23)

Approved for use through 05/31/2024. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)**

Page 3 of 3

WARNING:

Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the application file and therefore are not publicly available.

***This petition must be signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.33. Please see 37 CFR 1.4(d) for the signature requirements. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required.**

/Michael Mauriel/

Signature*

December 19, 2024

Date

Michael Mauriel

Typed or Printed Name

44,226

Registration Number, if applicable

15 W. 26th Street, Seventh Floor New York, NY 10010

Address

(212) 532-2527

Telephone Number

Address

Enclosures:

- ☒ Petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(m)
☒ Reply and/or fee
☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form
☒ Additional sheet(s) containing statements establishing unintentional delay
☐ Other: _____

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

- ☐ Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
☐ Transmitted by USPTO patent electronic filing system, or by facsimile to (571)273-8300, to the USPTO on the date shown below.

Date

Signature

Typed or printed name of person signing certificate

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) collects the information in this record under authority of 35 U.S.C. 2. The USPTO's system of records is used to manage all applicant and owner information including name, citizenship, residence, post office address, and other information with respect to inventors and their legal representatives pertaining to the applicant's/owner's activities in connection with the invention for which a patent is sought or has been granted. The applicable Privacy Act System of Records Notice for the information collected in this form is COMMERCE/PAT-TM-7 Patent Application Files, available in the Federal Register at 78 FR 19243 (March 29, 2013). <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2013-03-29/pdf/2013-07341.pdf>

Routine uses of the information in this record may include disclosure to:

- 1) law enforcement, in the event that the system of records indicates a violation or potential violation of law;
- 2) a federal, state, local, or international agency, in response to its request;
- 3) a contractor of the USPTO having need for the information in order to perform a contract;
- 4) the Department of Justice for determination of whether the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requires disclosure of the record;
- 5) a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested the Member's assistance with respect to the subject matter of the record;
- 6) a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, in the course of presenting evidence, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations;
- 7) the Administrator, General Services Administration (GSA), or their designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906, in accordance with the GSA regulations and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive, where such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals;
- 8) another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c));
- 9) the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) for personnel research purposes; and
- 10) the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for legislative coordination and clearance.

If you do not furnish the information requested on this form, the USPTO may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings, abandonment of the application, and/or expiration of the patent.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: Jonathan S. Steuer

Docket No.: 10004-2000103

Application No.: 13/914,870

Group Art Unit:

Filing Date: June 11, 2013

Examiner:

Title: MEDIA USAGE MONITORING AND
MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD

Conf. No.: 1217

Mail Stop MISSING PARTS

Commissioner for Patents

PO BOX 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF MISSING PARTS

In response to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application mailed July 10, 2013, the following are enclosed:

- A. The required fees identified in the Notice to File Missing Parts, including the filing fee and surcharge.

Payment of Fees:

The undersigned authorizes the Commissioner to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-5394, Atty. Docket No. 10004-2000103. Applicant notes that, under the current USPTO fee schedule, some of the applicable fees for this response that can be entered into the USPTO filing system interface are lower than those amounts were at the time the Notice to File Missing Parts was mailed in 2013. Because Applicant is unable to access the older fee amounts through the current USPTO online fee-entry interface, Applicant specifically requests that, if the Office determines that the older fee amounts need to be paid in this instance, that the Commissioner charge any additional amounts required to the above-reference deposit account.

Dated: December 19, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Michael Mauriel/ Reg. No. 44,226

Michael Mauriel Registration No. 44,226
Maschoff Brennan Gilmore Israelsen & Mauriel LLP
15 W. 26th Street, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10010
(212) 532-2527
Attorney(s) for Applicant



ELECTRONIC PAYMENT RECEIPT

APPLICATION # 13/914,870	RECEIPT DATE / TIME 12/19/2024 09:54:33 PM Z ET	ATTORNEY DOCKET # 10004-2000103
------------------------------------	---	---

Title of Invention

MEDIA USAGE MONITORING AND MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD

Application Information

APPLICATION TYPE	Utility - Nonprovisional Application under 35 USC 111(a)	PATENT #	-
CONFIRMATION #	1217	FILED BY	Victoria Wilson
PATENT CENTER #	68456734	AUTHORIZED BY	Michael Mauriel
CUSTOMER #	51417	FILING DATE	06/11/2013
CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	-	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	Jonathan S. Steuer

Payment Information

PAYMENT METHOD CARD / 4428	PAYMENT TRANSACTION ID E2024BIL57297411	PAYMENT AUTHORIZED BY Victoria Wilson
PRE-AUTHORIZED ACCOUNT 505394	PRE-AUTHORIZED CATEGORY 37 CFR 1.16 (National application filing, search, and examination fees); 37 CFR 1.17 (Patent application and reexamination processing fees); 37 CFR 1.21 (Miscellaneous fees and charges)	

FEE CODE	DESCRIPTION	ITEM PRICE(\$)	QUANTITY	ITEM TOTAL(\$)
2051	SURCHARGE- LATE FILING FEE, SEARCH FEE, EXAMINATION FEE, INVENTOR'S OATH OR DECLARATION, OR APPLICATION FILED WITHOUT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OR BY REFERENCE	64.00	1	64.00
2111	UTILITY PATENT APPL. SEARCH FEE	280.00	1	280.00
4011	BASIC FILING FEE- UTILITY	64.00	1	64.00
2311	EXAMINATION OF ORIGINAL PATENT APPLICATION	320.00	1	320.00

2054	Non-DOCX Filing Surcharge	160.00	1	160.00
2462	PETITIONS REQUIRING THE PETITION FEE SET FORTH IN 37 CFR 1.17(F) (GROUP I)	168.00	1	168.00
2453	PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN ABANDONED APPLICATION FOR A PATENT, FOR THE DELAYED PAYMENT OF THE FEE FOR ISSUING EACH PATENT, OR FOR THE DELAYED RESPONSE BY THE PATENT OWNER IN ANY REEXAMINATION PROCEEDING	840.00	1	840.00
2202	EACH CLAIM IN EXCESS OF 20	40.00	5	200.00
2201	EACH INDEPENDENT CLAIM IN EXCESS OF THREE	192.00	9	1728.00
TOTAL AMOUNT:				\$3,824.00

This Acknowledgement Receipt evidences receipt on the noted date by the USPTO of the indicated documents, characterized by the applicant, and including page counts, where applicable. It serves as evidence of receipt similar to a Post Card, as described in MPEP 503.

New Applications Under 35 U.S.C. 111

If a new application is being filed and the application includes the necessary components for filing date (see 37 CFR 1.53(b)-(d) and MPEP 506), a Filing Receipt (37 CFR 1.54) will be issued in due course and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the filing date of the application

National Stage of an International Application under 35 U.S.C. 371

If a timely submission to enter the national stage of an international application is compliant with the conditions of 35 U.S.C. 371 and other applicable requirements a Form PCT/DO/EO/903 indicating acceptance of the application as a national stage submission under 35 U.S.C. 371 will be issued in addition to the Filing Receipt, in due course.

New International Application Filed with the USPTO as a Receiving Office

If a new international application is being filed and the international application includes the necessary components for an international filing date (see PCT Article 11 and MPEP 1810), a Notification of the International Application Number and of the International Filing Date (Form PCT/RO/105) will be issued in due course, subject to prescriptions concerning national security, and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the international filing date of the application.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: Jonathan S. Steuer

Docket No.: 10004-2000103

Application No.: 13/914,870

Group Art Unit:

Filing Date: June 11, 2013

Examiner:

Title: MEDIA USAGE MONITORING AND
MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD

Conf. No.: 1217

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**STATEMENT ACCOMPANYING PETITION FOR REVIVAL
OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED
UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(A)**

Applicant concurrently submits a Petition to the Office under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(a) to revive U.S. Application No. 13/914,870 (“the ’870 application”) (the “Revival Petition”) solely for the purpose of paying the filing and other fees. Once those fees have been accepted by the Office, Applicant will immediately abandon the ’870 application.

The ’870 application was filed on June 11, 2013, and, as shown in the USPTO transaction history, was considered to be abandoned on February 13, 2014. The Revival Petition filed with this statement is therefore being filed more than two years after the date of abandonment and more than three months after receiving notice of abandonment. Thus, under MPEP § 711.03(c), additional information is required to support a conclusion that the entire delay was unintentional.

The Declaration of Michael Mauriel, attached as Exhibit A, provides support for this Statement. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(4), the entire delay from the due date of the Response to Missing Parts Notice of July 10, 2013 until the filing of the petition was unintentional.

As explained by Mr. Mauriel, Applicant first became aware of the improper priority claim to the ’870 application under 35 U.S.C. § 120, when a Decision on a Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78 (“the Decision”) in U.S. Application Serial No. 14/175,811 (“the

'811 application") was mailed to Applicant on December 17, 2024. The Decision noted that the filing fee and other fees were not timely filed in response to a Notice to File Missing Parts before the '870 application became abandoned. The Decision further noted that the priority benefit claim to the '870 application was improper and that a petition for revival under 37 CFR § 1.137(a) could be filed, along with the filing fee, to address the issue.

Mr. Mauriel believed that the priority claim to the '870 application by the '811 application (and all continuations and divisionals therefrom) was proper until the receipt of the Decision. The failure to pay the filing fee before the abandonment of the '870 application was made unintentionally based on the belief that the co-pendency and common inventorship of the '870 application and the '811 application (which was filed on February 7, 2014) was sufficient to form a proper priority claim to the '870 application. Notably, the Office treated the priority claim as effective for years, including though prosecution of the '811 application (a direct continuation) and numerous other issued patents claiming priority through the '870 application. Had Mr. Mauriel understood that the payment of the filing fee was required to form a proper priority claim, the '870 application would not have gone abandoned without the filing fee being paid. Had this issue been raised sooner, Mr. Mauriel would have immediately responded with the filing of a revival petition and the payment of the Missing Parts fees as is being done now.

The time period from receipt of the Decision, which is when Applicant first received notice of this issue, to filing of the accompanying Petition for Revival and payment of the filing fees and other fees necessary to form a complete response to the Notice of Missing Parts was clearly minimal.

As explained above, the entire delay between the date the payment of the filing fee and other fees were due and the date of the accompanying Petition for Revival and payment of the filing fee and other fees was unintentional, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(4).

The required reply to the Notice of Missing Parts, namely the payment of the filing fee and other fees, is being done concurrently to satisfy 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(1).

The required petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m) is submitted herewith to satisfy 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(2).

A Petition for Expedited Review Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.182 is also being filed with the accompanying Petition.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Office grant the Petition for Revival, and accept the payment of the filing fee and other fees to establish the remaining requirement for a proper priority claim. Once the '870 application has been revived and the filing and other fees have been accepted by the Office, Applicant will immediately abandon the '870 application.

Dated: December 19, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Michael Mauriel/ Reg. No. 44,226

Michael Mauriel
Registration No. 44,226
Maschoff Brennan Gilmore Israelsen & Mauriel LLP
15 W. 26th Street, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10010
(212) 532-2527
Attorney(s) for Applicant

EXHIBIT A

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL MAURIEL

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: Jonathan S. Steuer

Docket No.: 10004-2000103

Application No.: 13/914,870

Group Art Unit:

Filing Date: June 11, 2013

Examiner:

Title: MEDIA USAGE MONITORING AND
MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD

Conf. No.: 1217

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL MAURIEL

I, Michael Mauriel, hereby declare and state:

1. I am a registered patent attorney and partner at Maschoff Brennan Gilmore Israelsen & Mauriel LLP. I represent Anonymous Media Research Holdings, LLC (“Applicant”) in prosecuting several pending patent applications in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“the Office”).

2. I prosecuted non-provisional applications serial numbers 13/914,870 (“the ‘870 application”) and 14/175,811 (“the ‘811 application”), among others for Applicant.

3. A Decision on a Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78 (“the Decision”) in the ‘811 application was mailed December 17, 2024. The Decision noted that the filing fee and other fees were not timely filed in response to a Notice to File Missing Parts before the ‘870 application became abandoned. The Decision noted that the priority benefit claim to the ‘870 application was improper. The Decision also noted that a petition for revival under 37 CFR § 1.137(a) could be filed, along with the filing fee, to address the issue.

4. As the prosecuting attorney for the ‘870 application, the ‘811 application, and continuations and divisional applications therefrom, it had been my intention, and always my belief, that a priority claim including the ‘870 application was proper, until receiving the Decision on December 17, 2024. During prosecution of the ‘870 application, extension fees were paid to extend the response period to the Notice to File Missing Parts in the ‘870 application by five months, so that the extended response date was February 10, 2014. The extended response date allowed the ‘870 application to be co-pending with the ‘811 application, which was filed February 7, 2014. I believed the co-pendency and common inventorship of the ‘870 application and the ‘811 application was sufficient to form a proper priority claim in the ‘811 application and any

continuation and divisional applications therefrom. The '870 application went abandoned after the '811 application had been filed and because of my belief that the requirements for claiming priority had been met. Even during prosecution of the '811 application and numerous other applications claiming priority to the '870 application—including U.S. application no. 16/403,204 (now U.S. Patent No. 10,572,896), U.S. application no. 16/660,615 (now U.S. Patent No. 10,719,848) and U.S. application no. 16/660,624 (now U.S. Patent No. 10,719,849)—the Office did not raise any issue with the priority claims to the '870 application, but instead also treated those priority claims to the '870 application as proper and effective.

5. Based upon the Decision, I am now aware that the payment of the filing fee in the '870 application was also required during the obtained extension period to form the proper priority claim.

6. The delay in both paying the filing fee and filing the Revival Petition was entirely unintentional, as it was based on my belief that the co-pendency and common inventorship of the '870 application and the '811 application was sufficient to form a proper priority claim in the '811 application and any continuation and divisional applications therefrom. Had I understood that the payment of the filing fee was required to form a proper priority claim, even though the '811 application was filed before expiration of the extended response period to the Notice to File Missing Parts, the '870 application would not have gone abandoned without paying the filing fee. As mentioned above, the Office also treated the priority claim to the '870 application as effective during prosecution of the '811 application and many other applications. If the Office had raised this issue earlier, I would have immediately filed a petition to revive and paid the fees, as I am doing now. I have filed this petition and paid the fees as quickly as possible after receiving the Decision and would have responded in a similarly fast fashion had I been made aware of the problem earlier. The entire delay between the '870 application going abandoned and this requested petition to revive was entirely unintentional.

7. I, Michael Mauriel, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under §1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Date: 12-19-24


Michael Mauriel

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: Jonathan S. Steuer

Docket No.: 10004-2000103

Application No.: 13/914,870

Group Art Unit:

Filing Date: June 11, 2013

Examiner:

Title: MEDIA USAGE MONITORING AND
MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD

Conf. No.: 1217

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.182

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182, Applicant petitions for expedited review of the accompanying Petition for Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(a) (the “Revival Petition”).

As noted in the Revival Petition and supporting declaration, a Decision on a Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78 (“the Decision”) in U.S. application no. 14/175,811 (“the ’811 application”) was mailed to Applicant on December 17, 2024, making Applicant aware for the first time that the Office did not consider the priority claim to U.S. application no. 13/914,870 (“the ’870 application”) to be proper. Applicant then acted expeditiously to file the Revival Petition so that it can pay the appropriate fees, just as it would have done if it had been aware of this issue during pendency.

Expedited review of the 37 C.F.R. § 1.78 Petition is requested in light of the length of unintentional delay between the ’870 application being abandoned and the filing of the Revival Petition, Applicant’s intent to file a renewed Petition under § 1.78 in the ’811 application once the filing fees for the ’870 application have been paid, and because Applicant wants to avoid any potential delays in proceedings involving patents that claim priority to the ’870, including U.S. Patent Nos. 10,572,896 (IPR2024-01348 & IPR2024-01056), 10,719,849 (IPR2024-01349 & IPR2024-01058), and 10,719,848 (IPR2024-01350 & IPR2024-01057).

Thus, Applicant requests an expedited review of the Revival Petition filed with this Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.182.

The small entity fee of \$168 required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(f) is being paid with this request. Please apply any other necessary charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 50-5394, referencing the above docket number.

Dated: December 19, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Michael Mauriel/ Reg. No. 44,226

Michael Mauriel Registration No. 44,226
Maschoff Brennan Gilmore Israelsen & Mauriel LLP
15 W. 26th Street, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10010
(212) 532-2527
Attorney(s) for Applicant

ELECTRONIC ACKNOWLEDGEMENT RECEIPT

APPLICATION #	RECEIPT DATE / TIME	ATTORNEY DOCKET #
13/914,870	12/19/2024 09:54:33 PM Z ET	10004-2000103

Title of Invention

MEDIA USAGE MONITORING AND MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD

Application Information

APPLICATION TYPE	Utility - Nonprovisional Application under 35 USC 111(a)	PATENT #	-
CONFIRMATION #	1217	FILED BY	Victoria Wilson
PATENT CENTER #	68456734	FILING DATE	06/11/2013
CUSTOMER #	51417	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	Jonathan S. Steuer
CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	-	AUTHORIZED BY	Michael Mauriel

Documents

TOTAL DOCUMENTS: 4

DOCUMENT	PAGES	DESCRIPTION	SIZE (KB)
10004-2000103_expeditedpetition.pdf	2	Petition for review by the Office of Petitions	100 KB
10004-2000103_RespMissingParts.pdf	2	Applicant Response to Pre-Exam Formalities Notice	103 KB
10004-2000103_StatementAccompanyingPetition.pdf	7	Petition for review by the Office of Petitions	491 KB
10004-2000103_Petition-to-Revive.pdf	4	Petition for review by the Office of Petitions	163 KB

Digest

DOCUMENT	MESSAGE DIGEST(SHA-512)
10004- 2000103_expeditedpetition.pdf	092363E7BF3ED2847EA1AECC2A48C16A46284DF8813ED27F 0D50AE628159162738C78B7A7E735FE374FBBF4DBFEA6F7808 E54E00AF90109E0BFD854823CD639A9
10004- 2000103_RespMissingParts.pdf	BDEC10CDCCEE6D7F96D722B161FA95BEE5790EE05D2D1499 5C6AF694DB7C9100D90086F992DEFD63E0D5B93FE62C41A8 E8E5FE7530D8C1680CDC1E6D57D2B303F
10004- 2000103_StatementAccompany ingPetition.pdf	C7739FD6DB5989DAC61A130A01FE77DD05E1690A7735AD2B A598F1AA246455051B3C915DDC8CC2F59FAED30261BF4E09 9FB72D8EBC0ADC8E225B4D4E5A12287F
10004-2000103_Petition-to- Revive.pdf	B8F4BBCEDD33A18C6FBF56FD0A7D8E319B1CE4BF9743C52 FBDB4C22C7FCD90ED0305B0A4E163BBF23EE99D5058CFCD 66757DFCFB1C8145A18234BD093E26DFFD

This Acknowledgement Receipt evidences receipt on the noted date by the USPTO of the indicated documents, characterized by the applicant, and including page counts, where applicable. It serves as evidence of receipt similar to a Post Card, as described in MPEP 503.

New Applications Under 35 U.S.C. 111

If a new application is being filed and the application includes the necessary components for filing date (see 37 CFR 1.53(b)-(d) and MPEP 506), a Filing Receipt (37 CFR 1.54) will be issued in due course and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the filing date of the application

National Stage of an International Application under 35 U.S.C. 371

If a timely submission to enter the national stage of an international application is compliant with the conditions of 35 U.S.C. 371 and other applicable requirements a Form PCT/DO/EO/903 indicating acceptance of the application as a national stage submission under 35 U.S.C. 371 will be issued in addition to the Filing Receipt, in due course.

New International Application Filed with the USPTO as a Receiving Office

If a new international application is being filed and the international application includes the necessary components for an international filing date (see PCT Article 11 and MPEP 1810), a Notification of the International Application Number and of the International Filing Date (Form PCT/RO/105) will be issued in due course, subject to prescriptions concerning national security, and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the international filing date of the application.