



Roger A. Denning
Managing Principal
denning@fr.com
T: 858 678 4784

Via E-Mail

Fish & Richardson P.C.
12860 El Camino Real
Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92130
T: 858 678 5070
F: 858 678 5099

April 29, 2025

MAYER BROWN LLP
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306

Re: *ImberaTek LLC v. Apple Inc.*, 3-25-cv-02206 (N.D. Cal.)

Counsel:

Apple Inc. (“Apple”) has filed a petition for *inter partes* review (IPR2025-00577) with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) to address the patentability of claims in U.S. Patent 7,732,909 challenged in this proceeding.

Apple hereby stipulates that, in the above captioned litigation, if the PTAB institutes review in this proceeding, Apple will not pursue in District Court litigation the specific grounds asserted in *inter partes* review in this proceeding, or any other ground that could have been reasonably raised in this proceeding (i.e., any ground that could have been raised under §§ 102 or 103 on the basis of prior art patents or printed publications). *See Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corporation*, IPR2020-01019, Paper 12 (PTAB Dec. 1, 2020). Apple also stipulates that, in the above captioned litigation, if the PTAB institutes review in this proceeding, Apple will not pursue in District Court litigation combinations of the prior art references asserted as part of a ground in this proceeding, as listed below, with unpublished system prior art or any other type of prior art. *Motorola Solutions, Inc. v. Stellar, LLC*, IPR2024-01205, IPR2024-01206, IPR2024-01207 & IPR2024-01208, Paper 19 (PTAB Mar. 28, 2025). Accordingly, Apple hereby stipulates that, in the above captioned litigation, if the PTAB institutes review in this proceeding, Apple will not pursue in District Court litigation the combinations of any of the Sakamoto, McCormack, Eiji, Imoto, and Sugaya references asserted as part of the ground of the proceeding with unpublished system prior art or any other type of prior art.

In so stipulating, Apple seeks to avoid multiple proceedings in different forums addressing the validity of the instituted claims based on the same grounds or prior art. The tables in attached Appendix A lists the grounds and references asserted in IPR2025-00577.



MAYER BROWN LLP
April 29, 2025
Page 2

For the sake of clarity and to avoid any doubt, if the PTAB declines to institute IPR2025-00577, Apple reserves the right to assert any grounds of invalidity in the District Court proceedings against the '909 Patent.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Roger A. Denning". The signature is stylized with a large, looped "R" and a long, sweeping "D".

Roger A. Denning
Managing Principal

Appendix A – Grounds and Prior Art References

Ground	Claims	§103 Basis
Ground 1A	12-21, 23-27	McCormack
Ground 1B	1-33	McCormack-Eiji
Ground 1C	12-27	McCormack-Eiji-Sugaya
Ground 2A	12-27	Sakamoto
Ground 2B	1-33	Sakamoto-Eiji
Ground 2C	12-27	Sakamoto-Eiji-Imoto

Reference	Date	Pre-AIA Basis
Sakamoto(APPLE-1004) JP 2001-339165A	Dec. 7, 2001 (published)	§§102(a)/(b)
McCormack(APPLE-1005) U.S. 2002/0175402	May 23, 2001 (filed)	§102(e)
Eiji(APPLE-1012) JP 2002-016327	Jan. 18, 2002 (published)	§§102(a)/(b)
Imoto(APPLE-1016) JP 2001-068624A	Mar. 16, 2001 (published)	§§102(a)/(b)
Sugaya(APPLE-1007) U.S. 2001/0030059	Oct. 18, 2001 (published)	§§102(a)/(b)